

References

1. Blackburne LH. *Surgical Recall*. 1st ed Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1994.
2. Hyder JA, Wakeam E, Arora V, Hevelone ND, Lipsitz SR, Nguyen LL. Investigating the “Rule of W,” a mnemonic for teaching on postoperative complications. *J Surg Educ*. 2015;72:430–437.
3. Sonnenberg EM, Reinke CE, Bartlett EK, et al. Wind, water, wound, walk—do the data deliver the dictum? *J Surg Educ*. 2015; 72:164–169.
4. American College of Surgeons- National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. ACS- NSQIP user guide for the 2016 Participant data use file.
5. Chapter 4: ACS NSQIP Variables and Definitions. ACS NSQIP Operations Manual 2016.
6. Cohen ME, Ko CY, Bilimoria KY, et al. Optimizing ACS NSQIP modeling for evaluation of surgical quality and risk: patient risk adjustment, procedure mix adjustment, shrinkage adjustment, and surgical focus. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2013;217:336–346. e331.
7. Schaeffer AJ, Matulewicz RS, Klumpp DJ. Infections of the urinary tract. In: Wein AJKL, Partin AW, Peters CA, eds. 11 ed. *Campbell-Walsh Urology*. 1, Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2015:261.
8. Patel HD, Ball MW, Cohen JE, Kates M, Pierorazio PM, Allaf ME. Morbidity of urologic surgical procedures: an analysis of rates, risk factors, and outcomes. *Urology*. 2015;85:552–559.
9. McLaughlin JC, Sarma AV, Wallner LP, et al. Preoperative and intraoperative risk factors associated with 30-day morbidity following urological surgery: the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. *J Urol*. 2006;176:2179–2186. discussion 2186.
10. Gould MK, Garcia DA, Wren SM, et al. Prevention of VTE in non-orthopedic surgical patients: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis. 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines *Chest*. 2012;141:e227S–e277S.
11. Ocak G, Vossen CY, Verduijn M, et al. Risk of venous thrombosis in patients with major illnesses: results from the MEGA study. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2013;11:116–123.
12. Kakkar VV, Balibrea JL, Martínez-González J, Prandoni P, Group CS. Extended prophylaxis with bemiparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after abdominal or pelvic surgery for cancer: the CANBESURE randomized study. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2010;8:1223–1229.
13. Rausa E, Kelly ME, Asti E, et al. Extended versus conventional thromboprophylaxis after major abdominal and pelvic surgery: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Surgery*. 2018;164:1234–1240.
14. Rasmussen MS, Jørgensen LN, Wille-Jørgensen P. Prolonged thromboprophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin for abdominal or pelvic surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2009 CD004318.
15. Bottaro FJ, Elizondo MC, Doti C, et al. Efficacy of extended thrombo-prophylaxis in major abdominal surgery: what does the evidence show? A meta-analysis. *Thromb Haemost*. 2008;99:1104–1111.

examine “The Rule of “W” in Urology,” a rule-concerning temporal association of postoperative complications. The authors are to be commended for challenging surgical dictum and dogma through proper study rigor by comparing 30-day morbidity in 60,000 urology patients with 450,000 general surgery patients. A thoughtful description of the complications, not only from a rate perspective, but also from a time perspective is given. The data provide insight on proper differential diagnosis in evaluation of postoperative patient issues during and beyond the hospital encounter. Additionally, the data also show “a one-size-fits-all” approach to postsurgical patients is inappropriate as the timing and frequency of complications differed between the 2 groups. This manuscript is meaningful for, not only attending academic physicians and private practitioners, but also for residents or other providers seeing these patients in the postoperative setting.

Furthermore, complications are profoundly expensive with estimates of \$6,000,000 annually for academic institutions.³ Moreover, complications in Partial Nephrectomy, a NSQIP-included procedure, were found to increase cost by \$3700 per case with certain morbidities increasing cost by over \$30,000.⁴ Complications decrease value from both the cost and outcomes perspectives. As we continue to move toward value-based reimbursement, the effect of complications on value will only become more apparent. Proper and timely identification of complications have the potential to mitigate associated decrease in value, and likely represents an appropriate quality improvement focus.

Andrew M. Harris, University of Kentucky Medical Center, Lexington, KY

References

1. Khuri SF, Daley J, Henderson W, et al. The Department of Veterans Affairs’ NSQIP: the first national, validated, outcome-based, risk-adjusted, and peer-controlled program for the measurement and enhancement of the quality of surgical care. National VA Surgical Quality Improvement Program. *Ann. Surg*. 1998;228:491.
2. Khuri SF. The NSQIP: a new frontier in surgery. *Surgery*. 2005;138: 837–843.
3. Davenport DL, Henderson WG, Khuri SF, et al. Preoperative risk factors and surgical complexity are more predictive of costs than postoperative complications. *Ann. Surg*. 2005;242:463–471.
4. Harris AM, Hensley P, Goodwin J, et al. Examining and understanding value: the cost of preoperative characteristics, intraoperative variables, and postoperative complications of minimally-invasive partial nephrectomy. *Urol. Pract*. 2018. Available at: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2352077918301729>. Accessed 25 September 2018.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2019.01.075>
UROLOGY 130: 34, 2019. © 2019 Elsevier Inc.

EDITORIAL COMMENT



The National Surgery Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP), which began in the Veterans Affairs’ hospital in 1991, was “the first national, validated, outcome-based, risk-adjusted, and peer-controlled” database for use in measuring and enhancing surgical quality.¹ The NSQIP was subsequently adopted by the private sector given the potential for the availability of widespread outcomes data to be used for quality improvement.² In this thoughtful manuscript, Dr. Rocco et al use the NSQIP database to

AUTHOR REPLY



The authors wholeheartedly agree with the comments from Dr. Harris. Several national scale outcomes-based programs, NSQIP being one among them, are in place to allow us to perform more robust analysis into the risk factors for morbidity in our patients. Use of these statistically validated,