



Review

Resistance to fosfomycin: Mechanisms, Frequency and Clinical Consequences



Matthew E. Falagas, MD, MSc, DSc^{a,b,c,*}, Florentia Athanasaki, MD^a,
Georgios L. Voulgaris, PharmD^d, Nikolaos A. Triarides, MD^{a,b},
Konstantinos Z. Vardakas, MD, PhD^{a,b}

^aAlfa Institute of Biomedical Sciences (AIBS), Athens, Greece

^bDepartment of Medicine, Henry Dunant Hospital Center, Athens, Greece

^cTufts University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

^dLaboratory of Pharmacokinetics and Toxicology, Department of Pharmacy, 401 General Military Hospital, Athens, Greece

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 March 2018

Accepted 16 September 2018

Editor: Dr Minggui Wang

Keywords:

Klebsiella

Escherichia coli

Acinetobacter

Staphylococcus

Enterococcus

Fosfomycin resistance

ABSTRACT

Fosfomycin has been used for the treatment of infections due to susceptible and multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria. It inhibits bacterial cell wall synthesis through a unique mechanism of action at a step prior to that inhibited by β -lactams. Fosfomycin enters the bacterium through membrane channels/transporters and inhibits MurA, which initiates peptidoglycan (PG) biosynthesis of the bacterial cell wall. Several bacteria display inherent resistance to fosfomycin mainly through MurA mutations. Acquired resistance involves, in order of decreasing frequency, modifications of membrane transporters that prevent fosfomycin from entering the bacterial cell, acquisition of plasmid-encoded genes that inactivate fosfomycin, and MurA mutations. Fosfomycin resistance develops readily in vitro but less so in vivo. Mutation frequency is higher among *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella* spp. compared with *Escherichia coli* and is associated with fosfomycin concentration. Mutations in cAMP regulators, fosfomycin transporters and MurA seem to be associated with higher biological cost in Enterobacteriaceae but not in *Pseudomonas* spp. The contribution of fosfomycin inactivating enzymes in emergence and spread of fosfomycin resistance currently seems low-to-moderate, but their presence in transferable plasmids may potentially provide the best means for the spread of fosfomycin resistance in the future. Their co-existence with genes conferring resistance to other antibiotic classes may increase the emergence of MDR strains. Although susceptibility rates vary, rates seem to increase in settings with higher fosfomycin use and among multidrug-resistant pathogens.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. and International Society of Chemotherapy. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Fosfomycin was discovered in 1969 and is a low molecular mass (138 Da) derivative of a phosphoric acid isolated from cultures of *Streptomyces* spp. (*Streptomyces fradiae*, *Streptomyces viridochromogenes*, and *Streptomyces wedmorensis*) [1–3]. It is also produced in a biosynthetic process involving a unique combination of carbon and phosphorus [1,3]. The structure of fosfomycin has two key features: an epoxide group, which is essential for its biological activity, and a phosphonic acid moiety.

Fosfomycin remains one of the first-line agents for the treatment of acute uncomplicated urinary tract infections (UTIs) mainly

caused by *Escherichia coli* (+/- extended spectrum β -lactamase [ESBL]), *Klebsiella* spp., *Proteus mirabilis*, *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, *Enterococcus* spp., and *Streptococcus agalactiae* [3,4]. Intravenous fosfomycin is also approved in several European countries for the treatment of infections outside the urinary tract [4]. There is a global interest to further investigate fosfomycin as monotherapy and in combination with other antimicrobial agents for the treatment of serious systemic infections due to multidrug-resistant (MDR) Gram-negative bacteria [5–8]. Hence, mechanisms of resistance, potential for development of resistance, frequency of resistant isolates, and possible clinical consequences are of major importance. These issues will be summarized in this review.

2. Mechanism of action

Fosfomycin invades the bacterium through two different membrane transportation systems: L-alpha glycerol-3-phosphate and

* Corresponding author: Matthew E. Falagas, MD, MSc, DSc, Alfa Institute of Biomedical Sciences (AIBS), 9 Neapoleos Street, 151 23 Marousi, Athens, Greece, Tel: +30-694-6110.000, Fax: +30-210-68.39.605.

E-mail address: m.falagas@aibs.gr (M.E. Falagas).

Table 1
Mechanisms of fosfomycin resistance.

Mechanism of resistance	Bacterium	No. of reference
Inherent resistance		
Cysteine to Aspartate change in the active site of MurA	<i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i> <i>Vibrio fischeri</i>	[12–14, 82]
Existence of recycling pathways in peptidoglycan synthesis that MurA does not participate	<i>Pseudomonas putida</i> <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i>	[12,15,16]
Acquired resistance		
Mutations in the structure of glpT and uhpT	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	[19,20, 83]
Modification in the uhpA gene → decreased expression of uhpT	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	[20]
Alterations in the ptsI & cyaA genes → reduction of intracellular levels of cAMP	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	[20–22]
New amino acid substitutions in MurA (Asp369Asn & Leu370Ile)	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	[19]
Overexpression of MurA & alterations lead to low affinity between enolpyruvyl transferase and fosfomycin	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	[25, 84]
FosA, FosA2, FosA3, FosA4, FosA5, FosA6 (Plasmid-borne resistance)	Enterobacteriaceae	[27,29,30,32,53]
FosB (Plasmid-borne resistance)	<i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. <i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	[35,38,39]
FosX (Plasmid-borne resistance)	<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	[42, 85]
FosC (Plasmid-borne resistance)	<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i>	[86]
FomA & FomB (kinases)	<i>Streptomyces</i> spp.	[44]

the glucose-6-phosphate transporter (G6P) (GlpT and UhpT, respectively) [1]. The chemical structure of fosfomycin imitates both glycerol-3-phosphate and G6P, which are normally transferred through GlpT and UhpT and induce their expression [8]. Cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) is also essential for the expression of the genes of both transportation systems [1].

The bacterial activity of fosfomycin involves interfering with the initiating reaction in the biosynthesis of peptidoglycan (PG), the main constituent of the bacterial cell wall. Specifically, fosfomycin inhibits the enzyme UDP-N-acetylglucosamine enolpyruvyl transferase (or MurA), which takes part in the transportation of the enolpyruvyl moiety of phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) to the 3'-hydroxyl group of UDP-N-acetylglucosamine (UNAG) that is required for the biosynthesis of PG [9,10]. Fosfomycin forms a covalent adduct with the thiol group of a cysteine and inactivates the active site of MurA. Consequently, UDP N-acetylmuramic acid – the precursor of PG – is not formed, leading to the loss of PG layer integrity, cell lysis and death [1,9]. Besides its direct antimicrobial activity, fosfomycin exerts immunomodulating action by altering the levels of TNF- α , interleukins, and leukotrienes, and modulating the function of neutrophils and T- and B-lymphocytes; it also reduces bacterial adherence to the epithelia of the respiratory and urinary tracts [1,11,12].

3. Mechanisms of resistance

Fosfomycin remains active against a significant proportion of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. MDR pathogens, including methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant *Enterococci* (VRE), and ESBL- and carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae are also susceptible to fosfomycin [1,11] (Table 1). However, several resistance mechanisms have been described. Specifically, mechanisms that constitute bacteria inherently resistant to fosfomycin have been identified, including *Chlamydia* spp., *Vibrio fischeri*, and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* that continue to grow in vitro even under high concentrations of the drug [12–14]. In addition, acquired, potentially transferable resistance mechanisms are increasingly reported. Heteroresistant populations have also been described.

3.1. Inherent resistance

Regarding the mechanisms of inherent resistance, mutations in the *MurA* gene confer resistance to fosfomycin due to a structural

replacement of cysteine with aspartate in the active site of MurA, which prevents fosfomycin binding to MurA. Similarly, *M. tuberculosis* isolates became susceptible following replacement of aspartate by cysteine in position 117 of MurA.

Chlamydial MurA is suggested to have limited enzymatic participation in the chlamydial life cycle, i.e. during the conversion of elementary bodies (EBs) to reticulate bodies (RBs) and at the beginning of cell differentiation. During these stages, the pH of the inclusion provides an optimal MurA activity that diminishes with the subsequent environmental changes due to cell development [12].

Recently, several studies confirmed the existence of recycling pathways for PG synthesis, instead of its de novo biosynthesis, in *Pseudomonas putida* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [15,16]. Specifically, a metabolic pathway consisting of an anomeric cell wall amino-sugar kinase (AmgK) and an uridylyl transferase (MurU) was described [15]. Together, these change N-acetylmuramic acids (MurNAc) into uridine diphosphate (UDP)-MurNAc, using MurNAc a-1-phosphate, thus bypassing the de novo synthesis of UDP-MurNAc, which is the target for fosfomycin action [15]. Recycling of PG by chlamydia during the later phases of the development cycle has also been postulated; this would render the chlamydial MurA less essential during the process of changing into more advanced forms [12].

An interesting phenomenon that renders *Listeria monocytogenes* resistant to fosfomycin in vitro but susceptible in vivo has been described. The bacterium cannot uptake the antibiotic in vitro, but in vivo or during infection it expresses the glucose-6-phosphate permease Hpt that accommodates fosfomycin uptake, thus rendering *L. monocytogenes* susceptible to fosfomycin [17].

A new gene called *abrP* conferring a 4-fold decreased susceptibility to fosfomycin in *A. baumannii* has recently been described; decreased susceptibility to tetracyclines, tigecycline and chloramphenicol was also confirmed [18]. The gene was located in the chromosome and was involved in modification of membrane permeability. The *abrP* gene was found to be essential for bacterial growth; deletion of the gene reduced growth rate by 17%.

3.2. Acquired resistance – fosfomycin transportation

Bacteria may develop resistance to fosfomycin following chromosomal mutations in the structural genes that encode the GlpT and UhpT membrane transporters, which transport glycerol and other carbohydrates required for metabolic functions and virulence

of bacteria like *E. coli*. Fosfomycin uses the same transporters to facilitate bacterial entry. Thus, these mutations block fosfomycin cell penetration [19,20]. The absence of the entire portion of the *uhp* region, such as *uhpA*, might reduce the effectiveness of the bacterial transportation systems [19]. The *uhpA* gene encodes a response regulator protein required for transcriptional activation of the *uhpT* promoter. Thus, modifications in the *uhpA* gene results in lower expression of *uhpT*. Furthermore, alterations in the *ptsI* and *cyaA* genes lead to reduction of intracellular levels of cAMP, which in turn lowers the expression of GlpT and UhpT transporters and consequently fosfomycin uptake by the bacteria [20–22].

Mutations in the *ptsI* and *cyaA* genes can also decrease biosynthesis of bacterial pilus (which helps them to survive and multiply in the urinary bladder). Decreased pilus biosynthesis reduces adherence to uroepithelial cells [21–23]. These data could explain the low virulence of fosfomycin-resistant bacteria in urinary infections [23,24].

3.3. Acquired resistance – murA related

A mutation has been described in which aspartate substitutes for cysteine in position 115 of the *E. coli* MurA and renders susceptible isolates highly resistant to fosfomycin [25]. Other amino acid substitutions in MurA (Asp369Asn and Leu370Ile) have also been associated with fosfomycin resistance [19]. The enhanced transcription of *murA* in the presence of specific substances that enhance bacterial growth results in fosfomycin resistance with a lower fitness cost compared with permeability mutants [25,26]. Clinical isolates involving this mechanism of resistance have not been reported.

3.4. Acquired resistance – antibiotic modification

Resistance to fosfomycin develops through the acquisition of plasmid-encoded genes that inactivate the antibiotic. The plasmid-mediated Fos enzymes belong to the glyoxalase superfamily [3]. This mechanism was first described for the metalloenzyme glutathione S-transferase encoded by *FosA* [27]; this enzyme uses Mn^{+2} and K^+ as cofactors. Glutathione S-transferase inactivates fosfomycin by opening the antibiotic epoxide group and adding the sulphhydryl group of the cysteine of tripeptide glutathione (GSH) to C1 of the epoxide ring of fosfomycin [27–29]. The *FosA* gene is mostly found in Enterobacteriaceae, *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Acinetobacter* spp. [27]. New subtypes with accurate description of the *FosA* structure have been identified: *FosA2*, *FosA3*, *FosA5*, and *FosA6* [21,30,31]. The *FosA2* gene has 95% amino acid identity to *FosA* [32]; other *FosA* genes were similar. Thus, the *FosA5* gene encodes a 139-amino-acid protein that shares 69–80% of its structure with proteins encoded by *FosA*, *FosA2*, *FosA3* and *FosA4* genes [30]. Concurrence of these genes, in either the same or a conjugate plasmid, with several other genes conferring resistance to β -lactams, aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, tetracyclines and sulfonamides has been described [21,33,34].

The second enzyme of the glyoxalase superfamily conferring resistance to fosfomycin is FosB [3]. Although the FosB amino acid sequence has 38% identity to FosA, it differs from FosA in that it is a Mg^{+2} -dependent enzyme and uses l-cysteine (l-Cys) as the physiologic thiol donor [35]. It catalyzes the nucleophilic addition of either l-Cys or bacillithiol (BSH) to fosfomycin, resulting in a modified compound with no bactericidal properties [21,35,36]. Expression of *FosB* requires the extracytoplasmic sigma factor, SigW [35], a regulator with a prominent role in providing inducible resistance to antimicrobial compounds [37]. The currently available data indicate that the *FosB* gene is mainly expressed in Gram-positive bacteria, and is either plasmid- (in *Staphylococcus* spp. and *Enterococcus* spp.) or chromosomally- (in *Bacillus subtilis*) encoded [35,38,39].

This gene was found in the same transposon of conjugative plasmids as the *vanA* gene in 10 of 18 VRE [38]. In addition, *FosB* was identified in 46% of fosfomycin-resistant *S. aureus* isolates in an older study [39]. However, *FosB* probably has a minor role in fosfomycin resistance among MRSA strains. In contrast, the presence of *FosA* and mutations in *MurA*, *glpT*, and *uhpT* genes might have a major role in conferring resistance to fosfomycin [35,39].

FosX is a hydrolase sharing 30–35% sequence identity with FosA and FosB [40]. It is an Mn^{+2} -dependent enzyme encoded by chromosomal genes of *L. monocytogenes*. Homologues of the *FosX* gene have been found in the genome of *Mesorhizobium loti*, *Clostridium botulinum* and *Brucella melitensis* [41]. FosX renders fosfomycin inactive by adding water to the C1 position of fosfomycin and opening its epoxide ring, like other Fos enzymes [42].

Lastly, kinases found in fosfomycin-producing bacteria, such as *Streptomyces wedmorensis* and *Streptomyces fradiae*, have been shown to protect against the bactericidal activity of fosfomycin. These enzymes are encoded by *fomA* and *fomB* genes and inactivate fosfomycin by phosphorylation [43]. The *fomA* gene catalyzes phosphorylation of the fosfomycin phosphate group to fosfomycin monophosphate and *fomB* converts fosfomycin monophosphate to fosfomycin diphosphate. Both reactions are catalyzed by ATP and Mg^{+2} , similar to Mg-ATP-binding sites of eukaryotic kinases [21,43,44]. Interestingly, *fomA* has 25.8% identity to the *fosC* gene produced by *Pseudomonas syringae*. FomC exhibits similar activity by converting fosfomycin to fosfomycin monophosphate [43].

3.5. Heteroresistance

Heteroresistance describes a phenomenon where small subpopulations of seemingly susceptible bacteria can grow after exposure to antibiotic (obviously due to resistance to the antibiotic).

Heteroresistance to fosfomycin has been described in 10 of 11 *Streptococcus pneumoniae* strains. When *MurA* was deleted, heteroresistance was abolished. The isolate that did not display heteroresistance had an amino acid substitution in MurA (Ala364Thr) [45]. To evaluate if this single substitution is associated with the absence of heteroresistance, this mutation was introduced into a non-heteroresistant strain: the phenotype did not change. As there is no other structural difference in MurA between the heteroresistant and susceptible strains, heteroresistance was concluded to be probably multifactorial [45]. Heteroresistance to fosfomycin was also described in MDR and non-MDR *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolates from Australian hospitals. Baseline population analysis profiles indicated heteroresistance in all tested isolates. In time-kill studies, treatment with fosfomycin at any concentration resulted in complete replacement of fosfomycin-susceptible by fosfomycin-resistant colonies [46].

4. Frequency of fosfomycin resistance

Early in vitro reports indicated that fosfomycin exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against Gram-negative and Gram-positive urinary isolates, including Enterobacteriaceae, staphylococci (including both methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* [MSSA] and MRSA) and *E. faecalis*, but not against *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* [47]. Reviews reported that fosfomycin exhibited cumulative susceptibility rates of 87.9% against MRSA and 87.2% against penicillin-non-susceptible pneumococcal isolates. Activity against VRE was much lower (30.3%) and more variable [48]. Fosfomycin was found to be considerably active against MDR Enterobacteriaceae isolates (96.8% of ESBL-producing *E. coli* and 81.3% of ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* isolates) [49]. However, fosfomycin was active against 30.2% of MDR *P. aeruginosa* isolates, but only against 3.5% of MDR *A. baumannii* and none of the 31 MDR *Burkholderia* species isolates [50].

The susceptibility of contemporary Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria to fosfomycin was summarized in a recent review of studies published between 2010 and 2015 [11]. Fosfomycin susceptibility generally remained relatively high but varied in individual bacteria and by geographical region. Declining activity was observed with increasing β -lactam resistance patterns but not with vancomycin resistance. In general, *S. aureus* and *E. coli* were susceptible to fosfomycin with low minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs). Fosfomycin activity ranged between 33.2% and 100% against *S. aureus* (including MRSA strains); from 30% to 100% for *Enterococcus* spp.; from 81% to 100% for ESBL-producing *E. coli*; from 15% to 100% for ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* and from 39.2% to 100% for carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae*. Susceptibility of ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates was higher in developed than in developing countries, but there was no difference between countries in KPC-producing *K. pneumoniae* strains [11]. Studies published after this review provided further evidence of fosfomycin activity against a variety of bacteria in various parts of the globe [51–56].

5. Factors influencing resistance mutation frequency

The frequency of mutations resulting in fosfomycin resistance in Gram-negative bacteria has been evaluated in several studies [21]. Mutants that are resistant to fosfomycin generally develop rapidly in vitro [24]. This occurs at higher frequency for strains of *P. aeruginosa* or *K. pneumoniae* compared with *E. coli* [57,58]. In addition, fosfomycin-resistant mutants of *E. coli* strains appeared more frequently than rifampin-resistant mutants; similarly, fosfomycin-resistant mutants of *P. aeruginosa* emerged more frequently than for tobramycin but not for imipenem [59]. Resistance to fosfomycin can develop through single-step mutations in *E. coli* hypermutable strains [58]. Hypermutable *E. coli* or *P. aeruginosa* strains have a 10- to 100-fold higher frequency of developing fosfomycin-resistant mutants [59–61]. However, such strains are also more likely to produce mutations that do not facilitate their longevity [62].

Resistance develops at a lower frequency with higher fosfomycin concentrations [57]. Emergence of fosfomycin-resistant mutants for different bacteria in vitro at three different fosfomycin concentrations (250, 1000 and 2000 mg/L) was inversely associated with fosfomycin concentration [57]. Although no fosfomycin-resistant mutants were seen with 2000 mg/L fosfomycin, resistant mutants were observed with 250 mg/L fosfomycin for all *K. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa* strains, and three of five *Proteus* spp. strains, but none of the three *E. coli* strains [57]. In a simulated in vitro model of bacterial cystitis, four *E. coli* strains with different susceptibility profiles were tested at different fosfomycin concentrations. Resistant mutants were observed with fosfomycin concentrations of 50 and 250 mg/L, even for the two fully susceptible strains. In contrast, no resistant mutants were observed when the peak fosfomycin concentration was 2500 mg/L [63]. These findings are clinically significant in view of studies showing high interindividual variability and reduced time > MIC, $AUC_{0-7\text{days}}/\text{MIC}$, and $C_{\text{max}}/\text{MIC}$ values in urinary concentrations of healthy women receiving 3 g fosfomycin trometamol [64].

Similar issues may arise in other body sites, where concentrations of fosfomycin are not as high as in urine. Although reported peak serum concentrations were variably high (up to 600 mg/L) after intravenous infusion [65], the respective concentrations in relevant tissues were significantly lower. Site-to-serum concentration ratios can be 0.39–0.69 for muscle, 0.32–0.54 for lung tissue, 0.39–0.49 for subcutaneous tissue, 0.23–0.26 for cortical bone, and 0.17–0.20 for cerebrospinal fluid [21,65]. The clinical significance of the variable penetration of fosfomycin in different body sites in terms of resistance development requires further study. Exposure of pathogens to different concentrations of antibiotics, particularly

those close to their MIC, may promote different levels of resistance [66,67].

6. Clinical significance of fosfomycin resistance

Historically, mutations in nutrient transporters were the mechanisms of resistance most frequently observed in vitro; Table 2 shows this remains the most common mechanism of resistance in contemporary studies [19,51,68–75]. Mutations in *MurA* gene and in *ptsI* and *cyaA* genes are relatively uncommon in clinical isolates. The importance of *MurA* in PG synthesis and of *ptsI* and *cyaA* in regulation of cAMP levels indicates that such mutations may be associated with high biological cost. Therefore, such mutations are not expected to be clinically significant unless the bacteria develop compensatory mechanisms [22]. Thus, although in vitro development of resistance in *E. coli* strains following mutations in *glpT*, *uhpA/T*, *ptsI*, and *cyaA* was highly probable, mutations in *ptsI* and *cyaA* were not observed in clinical isolates [22]. All mutations developing in vitro resulted in decreased bacterial growth rate of affected pathogens (either in urine or in laboratory media and in the presence or absence of fosfomycin) compared with that of susceptible isolates [22]. Further evidence supporting greater biological cost among fosfomycin-resistant strains comes from an *E. coli* murine infection model showing lower virulence among resistant compared with susceptible strains [76]. The two strains in this study seemed to carry *glpT* and *ptsI* mutations.

Decreased bacterial growth has been shown not only for *E. coli* strains but also for *K. pneumoniae* and *P. mirabilis* [23,77]. Furthermore, studies have shown that fosfomycin-resistant mutants have decreased capacity to adhere to uroepithelial cells [23,77], which indicates that mutations may be associated with decreased virulence in urinary tract infections. However, this does not seem to be the case for *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa*, in which resistance to fosfomycin does not seem to be associated with any fitness cost compared with wild-type strains [59,78].

Although the contribution of fosfomycin-inactivating enzymes in emergence and spread of fosfomycin resistance currently seems low-to-moderate, their presence in transferable plasmids may potentially provide the best means for the spread of fosfomycin resistance in the future. Several studies indicate outbreaks caused by such bacteria have occurred, and dissemination of these clones may render inactivating enzymes the predominant mechanism of fosfomycin resistance [51,68,69,78]. In addition, their co-existence with other genes conferring resistance to other antibiotic classes, including β -lactams, fluoroquinolones, tetracyclines, macrolides, sulfonamides and aminoglycosides, worsens the emergence of MDR strains. Co-occurrence in plasmids with *bla*CTX-M, *bla*CMY, *bla*TEM, *bla*SHV, *bla*SFO-1, *bla*AmpC, *bla*NDM, *bla*KPC, *bla*OXA, *gyrA*, *parC*, *parE*, *sul1*, *sul2*, *strA*, *strB*, *aac(6=)-Ib*, *aadA5*, *aphA6*, *tetA(A)*, *mphA*, *floR*, *dfrA7*, *rmtB*, and *merA* genes has been reported [1]. Emerging resistance to fosfomycin during treatment and an increase in β -lactam MICs following treatment with these agents was reported in three KPC *K. pneumoniae* isolates in a Greek hospital. The resistant bacteria were considered mutants of the pre-treatment bacteria [79].

Fosfomycin has not been widely used compared with other antibiotics; thus, fosfomycin resistance has not been observed in studies that did not account for fosfomycin consumption [21]. A meta-analysis showed that single-dose fosfomycin for cystitis treatment was not associated with resistance [49]. A second meta-analysis of 128 studies estimated the pooled probability for development of resistance during treatment for bacteremia, urinary tract, respiratory tract, bone and joint, and central nervous system infections was 3.4% (95% CI 1.8–5.1%) [80]. Resistance developed mainly in strains of *P. aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella* spp., *Proteus* spp. and *Enterobacter* spp. However, a 50% increase in fosfomycin

Table 2
Prevalence of resistance mechanisms to fosfomycin in contemporary isolates from clinical samples.

Author	Location	Microorganisms (N)	Resistance/ non-susceptibility to fosfomycin	MurA n/N (%)	Transporters n/N (%)	fos group n/N (%)	Remarks
White et al. 2017 [68]	USA	KPC-producing <i>Enterobacter</i> (19)	26% CLSI 42% EUCAST	NR	NR	fosA 8/19 (42.1)	4/8 of fosA producers were susceptible to fosfomycin
Bi et al. 2017 [69]	China	ESBL <i>E. coli</i> (356)	6.7% (CLSI)	3/24 (12.5)	3/24 (12.5)	fosA3 20/24 (83.3)	14/20 fosA3 in transferable plasmids
Ohkosi et al. 2017 [70]	Japan	<i>E. coli</i> (211)	0.4% (CLSI)	None	9/10 (90)*	none	reduction of <i>uhpT</i> expression was responsible for the reduced susceptibility
Lu et al. 2016 [71]	Taiwan	ESBL <i>K. pneumoniae</i> (108)	27.8% (CLSI)	21/30 (70)	29/30 (97)	none	NA
Fu et al. 2016 [72]	China	MRSA (96)	69.8% (EUCAST)	2/67 (7.3)	60/67 (89.6)	fosB 9/67 (13.4)	5 and 29 fosfomycin susceptible isolates carried <i>murA</i> and transporter mutations, respectively
Tseng et al. 2015 [73]	Taiwan	ESBL <i>E. coli</i> (145)**	9% (CLSI)	3/13 (23.1)	9/13 (69.2)	fosA3 4/13 (30.8)	NA
Jiang et al. 2015 [74]	China	KPC and ESBL <i>K. pneumoniae</i> (278 and 80)	60.8% and 12.5% (EUCAST)	Not done	Not done	fosA3 93/94 (98.9) and 10/10 (100)	Clonal dissemination
Li et al. 2015	China	<i>E. coli</i> (1109)***	7.8% (CLSI)	4/86 (4.7)	7/86 (8.1)	fosA3 69/86 (80.2)	29/69 fosA3 in transferable plasmids
Takahata et al. 2010 [19]	Japan	<i>E. coli</i> (6)	100% (CLSI)	2/6 (33)	4/6 (67)	NA	NA
Oteo et al. 2009 [75]	Spain	ESBL <i>E. coli</i> (26)	100% (NA)	0/4 (0)	2/4	NA	NA

* Ten strains (4.7%) had reduced susceptibility to fosfomycin (MIC \geq 8 mg/L).

** 22 strains were isolated from pigs; fosfomycin resistance was higher in these isolates (23% vs. 6.6%).

*** 67.2% ESBL-positive strains.

Abbreviations: CLSI, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; ESBL, extended spectrum β -lactamase; EUCAST, European Center for Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing; KPC, *K. pneumoniae* carbapenemase; MRSA, methicillin resistant *S. aureus*; NR, not reported; NA, not applicable.

use resulted in an increase of fosfomycin-resistant, ESBL-producing *E. coli* strains (from 2.2% in 2003 to 21.7% in 2008; $P < 0.001$) as well as among all isolates (from 1.6% in 2003 to 3.8% in 2008; $P < 0.001$) in a study that evaluated 17 602 urinary tract infections [75]. This finding was confirmed in a second 7-year-long study [81]. Other studies reported development of resistance during treatment in 0–6.7% of cases, with *P. aeruginosa* the leading strains developing resistance (7–20%) [21].

7. Conclusion

Fosfomycin is an old antibiotic that is being reconsidered for the treatment of lower urinary tract and other systemic infections caused by Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Its re-emergence as an antibiotic of interest is due to the global increasing resistance of several bacteria to numerous antimicrobials. There are several mechanisms of resistance to fosfomycin; the contribution of fosfomycin susceptibility rates varies in an evolving environment. Each mechanism may provide advantages to the mutant bacteria, but may also be associated with biological cost (reduced growth rate, lower virulence). There is a discrepancy between the data from in vitro and clinical studies regarding development of resistance. This may be because of the complex biological phenomenon of infection, in which there is interplay between bacteria, antibiotics, site of infection, presence of foreign materials and function of the immune system. Ultimately, the current fosfomycin activity seems to be more than satisfactory and justifies its use as monotherapy or in combination with other antibiotics for treatment of infections caused by susceptible and multidrug-resistant bacteria.

Funding

The review was conducted as part of our daily schedule.

Declarations

MEF participated in advisory boards of AstraZeneca, Infec-topharm, Tetrphase, Shionogi, and Xellia; received lecture hono-raria from Cipla, Merck, and Pfizer; and received research support from Shionogi, Tetrphase, Helperby and Xellia. The other authors have no conflicts of interest.

Conflict of interest

None

Ethical approval

None

References

- [1] Falagas ME, Vouloumanou EK, Samonis G, Vardakas KZ. Fosfomycin. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2016;29:321–47.
- [2] Hendlin D, Stapley EO, Jackson M, Wallick H, Miller AK, Wolf FJ, et al. Phosphonomycin, a new antibiotic produced by strains of streptomyces. *Science* 1969;166:122–3.
- [3] Zhanel GG, Walkty AJ, Karlowsky JA. Fosfomycin: A first-line oral therapy for acute uncomplicated cystitis. *Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol* 2016;2016:2082693.
- [4] Falagas ME, Giannopoulou KP, Kokolakis GN, Rafailidis PI. Fosfomycin: use beyond urinary tract and gastrointestinal infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008;46:1069–77.
- [5] Falagas ME, Kastoris AC, Kapaskelis AM, Karageorgopoulos DE. Fosfomycin for the treatment of multidrug-resistant, including extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing, Enterobacteriaceae infections: a systematic review. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2010;10:43–50.
- [6] Michalopoulos A, Virtzili S, Rafailidis P, Chalevelakis G, Damala M, Falagas ME. Intravenous fosfomycin for the treatment of nosocomial infections caused by carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in critically ill patients: a prospective evaluation. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2010;16:184–6.

- [7] Pontikis K, Karaiskos I, Bastani S, Dimopoulos G, Kalogirou M, Katsiari M, et al. Outcomes of critically ill intensive care unit patients treated with fosfomycin for infections due to pandrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant carbapenemase-producing Gram-negative bacteria. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2014;43:52–9.
- [8] Saiprasad PV, Krishnaprasad K. Exploring the hidden potential of fosfomycin for the fight against severe Gram-negative infections. *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2016;34:416–20.
- [9] Bensen DC, Rodriguez S, Nix J, Cunningham ML, Tari LW. Structure of MurA (UDP-N-acetylglucosamine enolpyruvyl transferase) from *Vibrio fischeri* in complex with substrate UDP-N-acetylglucosamine and the drug fosfomycin. *Acta Crystallogr Sect F Struct Biol Cryst Commun* 2012;68:382–5.
- [10] Eschenburg S, Priestman M, Schonbrunn E. Evidence that the fosfomycin target Cys115 in UDP-N-acetylglucosamine enolpyruvyl transferase (MurA) is essential for product release. *J Biol Chem* 2005;280:3757–63.
- [11] Carlone NA, Borsotto M, Cuffini AM, Savoia D. Effect of fosfomycin trometamol on bacterial adhesion in comparison with other chemotherapeutic agents. *Eur Urol* 1987;13(Suppl 1):86–91.
- [12] Yokota S, Okabayashi T, Yoto Y, Hori T, Tsutsumi H, Fujii N. Fosfomycin suppresses RS-virus-induced *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenzae* adhesion to respiratory epithelial cells via the platelet-activating factor receptor. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2010;310:84–90.
- [13] Vardakas KZ, Legakis NJ, Triarides N, Falagas ME. Susceptibility of contemporary isolates to fosfomycin: a systematic review of the literature. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2016;47:269–85.
- [14] McCoy AJ, Sandlin RC, Maurelli AT. In vitro and in vivo functional activity of Chlamydia MurA, a UDP-N-acetylglucosamine enolpyruvyl transferase involved in peptidoglycan synthesis and fosfomycin resistance. *J Bacteriol* 2003;185:1218–28.
- [15] Kumar S, Parvathi A, Hernandez RL, Cadle KM, Varela MF. Identification of a novel UDP-N-acetylglucosamine enolpyruvyl transferase (MurA) from *Vibrio fischeri* that confers high fosfomycin resistance in *Escherichia coli*. *Arch Microbiol* 2009;191:425–9.
- [16] De Smet KA, Kempell KE, Gallagher A, Duncan K, Young DB. Alteration of a single amino acid residue reverses fosfomycin resistance of recombinant MurA from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Microbiology* 1999;145(Pt 11):3177–84.
- [17] Gisin J, Schneider A, Nagele B, Borisova M, Mayer C. A cell wall recycling shortcut that bypasses peptidoglycan de novo biosynthesis. *Nat Chem Biol* 2013;9:491–3.
- [18] Borisova M, Gisin J, Mayer C. Blocking peptidoglycan recycling in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* attenuates intrinsic resistance to fosfomycin. *Microb Drug Resist* 2014;20:231–7.
- [19] Scotti M, Lacharme-Lora L, Wagner M, Chico-Calero I, Losito P, Vazquez-Boland JA. Coexpression of virulence and fosfomycin susceptibility in *Listeria*: molecular basis of an antimicrobial in vitro-in vivo paradox. *Nat Med* 2006;12:515–17.
- [20] Li X, Quan J, Yang Y, Ji J, Liu L, Fu Y, et al. Abp, a new gene, confers reduced susceptibility to tetracycline, glycoline, chloramphenicol and fosfomycin classes in *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2016;35:1371–5.
- [21] Takahata S, Ida T, Hiraishi T, Sakakibara S, Maebashi K, Terada S, et al. Molecular mechanisms of fosfomycin resistance in clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli*. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2010;35:333–7.
- [22] Tsuruoka T, Miyata A, Yamada Y. Two kinds of mutants defective in multiple carbohydrate utilization isolated from in vitro fosfomycin-resistant strains of *Escherichia coli* K-12. *J Antibiot (Tokyo)* 1978;31:192–201.
- [23] Karageorgopoulos DE, Wang R, Yu XH, Falagas ME. Fosfomycin: evaluation of the published evidence on the emergence of antimicrobial resistance in Gram-negative pathogens. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012;67:255–68.
- [24] Nilsson AI, Berg OG, Aspevall O, Kahlmeter G, Andersson DI. Biological costs and mechanisms of fosfomycin resistance in *Escherichia coli*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003;47:2850–8.
- [25] Marchese A, Gualco L, Debbia EA, Schito GC, Schito AM. In vitro activity of fosfomycin against gram-negative urinary pathogens and the biological cost of fosfomycin resistance. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2003;22(Suppl 2):53–9.
- [26] Tsuruoka T, Yamada Y. Characterization of spontaneous fosfomycin (phosphonomycin)-resistant cells of *Escherichia coli* B in vitro. *J Antibiot (Tokyo)* 1975;28:906–11.
- [27] Venkateswaran PS, Wu HC. Isolation and characterization of a phosphonomycin-resistant mutant of *Escherichia coli* K-12. *J Bacteriol* 1972;110:935–44.
- [28] Couce A, Briales A, Rodriguez-Rojas A, Costas C, Pascual A, Blazquez J. Genomewide overexpression screen for fosfomycin resistance in *Escherichia coli*: MurA confers clinical resistance at low fitness cost. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012;56:2767–9.
- [29] Arca P, Rico M, Brana AF, Villar CJ, Hardisson C, Suarez JE. Formation of an adduct between fosfomycin and glutathione: a new mechanism of antibiotic resistance in bacteria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1988;32:1552–6.
- [30] Pakhomova S, Rife CL, Armstrong RN, Newcomer ME. Structure of fosfomycin resistance protein FosA from transposon Tn2921. *Protein Sci* 2004;13:1260–5 First-Line Oral Therapy for Acute Uncomplicated Cystitis.
- [31] Bernat BA, Laughlin LT, Armstrong RN. Fosfomycin resistance protein (FosA) is a manganese metalloglutathione transferase related to glyoxalase I and the extradiol dioxygenases. *Biochemistry* 1997;36:3050–5.
- [32] Ma Y, Xu X, Guo Q, Wang P, Wang W, Wang M. Characterization of fosA5, a new plasmid-mediated fosfomycin resistance gene in *Escherichia coli*. *Letts Appl Microbiol* 2015;60:259–64.
- [33] Guo Q, Tomich AD, McElheny CL, Cooper VS, Stoesser N, Wang M, et al. Glutathione-S-transferase FosA6 of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* origin conferring fosfomycin resistance in ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2016;71:2460–5.
- [34] Xu H, Miao V, Kwong W, Xia R, Davies J. Identification of a novel fosfomycin resistance gene (fosA2) in *Enterobacter cloacae* from the Salmon River, Canada. *Letts Appl Microbiol* 2011;52:427–9.
- [35] Villa L, Guerra B, Schmoger S, Fischer J, Helmuth R, Zong Z, et al. IncA/C Plasmid Carrying bla(NDM-1), bla(CMY-16), and fosA3 in a *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Corvallis Strain Isolated from a Migratory Wild Bird in Germany. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015;59:6597–600.
- [36] Zhao JY, Zhu YQ, Li YN, Mu XD, You LP, Xu C, et al. Coexistence of SF0-1 and NDM-1 beta-lactamase genes and fosfomycin resistance gene fosA3 in an *Escherichia coli* clinical isolate. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2015;362:1–7.
- [37] Cao M, Bernat BA, Wang Z, Armstrong RN, Helmman JD. FosB, a cysteine-dependent fosfomycin resistance protein under the control of sigma(W), an extracytoplasmic-function sigma factor in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol* 2001;183:2380–3.
- [38] Thompson MK, Keithly ME, Goodman MC, Hammer ND, Cook PD, Jagessar KL, et al. Structure and function of the genomically encoded fosfomycin resistance enzyme, FosB, from *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Biochemistry* 2014;53:755–65.
- [39] Butcher BG, Helmman JD. Identification of *Bacillus subtilis* sigma-dependent genes that provide intrinsic resistance to antimicrobial compounds produced by Bacilli. *Mol Microbiol* 2006;60:765–82.
- [40] Qu TT, Shi KR, Ji JS, Yang Q, Du XX, Wei ZQ, et al. Fosfomycin resistance among vancomycin-resistant enterococci owing to transfer of a plasmid harbouring the fosB gene. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2014;43:361–5.
- [41] Etienne J, Gerbaud G, Fleurette J, Courvalin P. Characterization of staphylococcal plasmids hybridizing with the fosfomycin resistance gene fosB. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 1991;68:119–22.
- [42] Fillgrove KL, Pakhomova S, Newcomer ME, Armstrong RN. Mechanistic diversity of fosfomycin resistance in pathogenic microorganisms. *J Am Chem Soc* 2003;125:15730–1.
- [43] Castaneda-Garcia A, Blazquez J, Rodriguez-Rojas A. Molecular mechanisms and clinical impact of acquired and intrinsic fosfomycin resistance. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 2013;2:217–36.
- [44] Fillgrove KL, Pakhomova S, Schaab MR, Newcomer ME, Armstrong RN. Structure and mechanism of the genomically encoded fosfomycin resistance protein, FosX, from *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Biochemistry* 2007;46:8110–20.
- [45] Kobayashi S, Kuzuyama T, Seto H. Characterization of the fomA and fomB gene products from *Streptomyces wedmorensis*, which confer fosfomycin resistance on *Escherichia coli*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2000;44:647–650.
- [46] Pakhomova S, Bartlett SG, Augustus A, Kuzuyama T, Newcomer ME. Crystal structure of fosfomycin resistance kinase FomA from *Streptomyces wedmorensis*. *J Biol Chem* 2008;283:28518–26.
- [47] Engel H, Gutierrez-Fernandez J, Fluckiger C, Martinez-Ripoll M, Muhlemann K, Hermoso JA, et al. Heteroresistance to fosfomycin is predominant in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and depends on the murA1 gene. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013;57:2801–8.
- [48] Walsh CC, McIntosh MP, Peleg AY, Kirkpatrick CM, Bergen PJ. In vitro pharmacodynamics of fosfomycin against clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2015;70:3042–50.
- [49] Michalopoulos AS, Livaditis IG, Gougoutas V. The revival of fosfomycin. *Int J Infect Dis* 2011;15:e732–9.
- [50] Falagas ME, Roussos N, Gkegkes ID, Rafailidis PI, Karageorgopoulos DE. Fosfomycin for the treatment of infections caused by Gram-positive cocci with advanced antimicrobial drug resistance: a review of microbiological, animal and clinical studies. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 2009;18:921–44.
- [51] Falagas ME, Vouloumanou EK, Togiag AG, Karadima M, Kapaskelis AM, Rafailidis PI, et al. Fosfomycin versus other antibiotics for the treatment of cystitis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010;65:1862–77.
- [52] Falagas ME, Kastoris AC, Karageorgopoulos DE, Rafailidis PI. Fosfomycin for the treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant non-fermenting Gram-negative bacilli: a systematic review of microbiological, animal and clinical studies. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2009;34:111–20.
- [53] Perdigo-Neto LV, Oliveira MS, Rizek CF, Carrilho CM, Costa SF, Levin AS. Susceptibility of multiresistant gram-negative bacteria to fosfomycin and performance of different susceptibility testing methods. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2014;58:1763–7.
- [54] Li Y, Zheng B, Zhu S, Xue F, Liu J. Antimicrobial Susceptibility and molecular mechanisms of fosfomycin resistance in clinical *Escherichia coli* isolates in mainland China. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0135269.
- [55] Lee SY, Park YJ, Yu JK, Jung S, Kim Y, Jeong SH, et al. Prevalence of acquired fosfomycin resistance among extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical isolates in Korea and IS26-composite transposon surrounding fosA3. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012;67:2843–7.
- [56] Wachino J, Yamane K, Suzuki S, Kimura K, Arakawa Y. Prevalence of fosfomycin resistance among CTX-M-producing *Escherichia coli* clinical isolates in Japan and identification of novel plasmid-mediated fosfomycin-modifying enzymes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010;54:3061–4.
- [57] Demir T, Buyukoglu T. Fosfomycin: In vitro efficacy against multidrug-resistant isolates beyond urinary isolates. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist* 2017;8:164–8.

- [58] Sugianli AK, Ginting F, Kusumawati RL, Pranggono EH, Pasaribu AP, Gronthoud F, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in uropathogens and appropriateness of empirical treatment: a population-based surveillance study in Indonesia. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2017;72:1469–77.
- [59] Rossignol L, Vaux S, Maugat S, Blake A, Barlier R, Heym B, et al. Incidence of urinary tract infections and antibiotic resistance in the outpatient setting: a cross-sectional study. *Infection* 2017;45:33–40.
- [60] Ferrara A, Migliori GB. Influence of experimental conditions on in vitro activity of fosfomycin trometamol and emergence of resistant variants. *New Trends in Urinary Tract Infections: The Single-Dose Therapy*. Neu HC, Williams JD, editors. Basel: S Karger; 1988.
- [61] Lerner SA, Price S. Microbiological studies of fosfomycin trometamol against urinary isolates in vitro. In: Neu HC, Williams JD, editors. *New Trends in Urinary Tract Infections: The Single-Dose Therapy*. Basel: S Karger; 1988. p. 121–9.
- [62] Rodriguez-Rojas A, Macia MD, Couce A, Gomez C, Castaneda-Garcia A, Oliver A, et al. Assessing the emergence of resistance: the absence of biological cost in vivo may compromise fosfomycin treatments for *P. aeruginosa* infections. *PLoS One* 2010;5:e10193.
- [63] Ellington MJ, Livermore DM, Pitt TL, Hall LM, Woodford N. Mutators among CTX-M beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and risk for the emergence of fosfomycin resistance. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2006;58:848–52.
- [64] Denamur E, Bonacorsi S, Giraud A, Duriez P, Hilali F, Amorin C, et al. High frequency of mutator strains among human uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolates. *J Bacteriol* 2002;184:605–9.
- [65] Denamur E, Tenaillon O, Deschamps C, Skurnik D, Ronco E, Gaillard JL, et al. Intermediate mutation frequencies favor evolution of multidrug resistance in *Escherichia coli*. *Genetics* 2005;171:825–7.
- [66] Greenwood D. Activity of the trometamol salt of fosfomycin in an in vitro model of the treatment of bacterial cystitis. *Infection* 1986;14:186–9.
- [67] Wijma RA, Koch BCP, van Gelder T, Mouton JW. High interindividual variability in urinary fosfomycin concentrations in healthy female volunteers. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2018;24:528–32.
- [68] Roussos N, Karageorgopoulos DE, Samonis G, Falagas ME. Clinical significance of the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of fosfomycin for the treatment of patients with systemic infections. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2009;34:506–15.
- [69] Martinez JL, Baquero F. Mutation frequencies and antibiotic resistance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2000;44:1771–7.
- [70] Thi TD, Lopez E, Rodriguez-Rojas A, Rodriguez-Beltran J, Couce A, Guelfo JR, et al. Effect of *recA* inactivation on mutagenesis of *Escherichia coli* exposed to sublethal concentrations of antimicrobials. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011;66:531–8.
- [71] White BP, Stover KR, Barber KE, Galloway RC, Sullivan DC, King ST. Mechanisms of fosfomycin resistance in carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacter* sp. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2017;50:690–2.
- [72] Bi W, Li B, Song J, Hong Y, Zhang X, Liu H, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility and mechanisms of fosfomycin resistance in extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* strains from urinary tract infections in Wenzhou, China. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2017;50:29–34.
- [73] Ohkoshi Y, Sato T, Suzuki Y, Yamamoto S, Shiraishi T, Ogasawara N, et al. Mechanism of Reduced Susceptibility to Fosfomycin in *Escherichia coli* Clinical Isolates. *Biomed Res Int* 2017;2017:5470241.
- [74] Lu PL, Hsieh YJ, Lin JE, Huang JW, Yang TY, Lin L, et al. Characterisation of fosfomycin resistance mechanisms and molecular epidemiology in extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2016;48:564–8.
- [75] Fu Z, Ma Y, Chen C, Guo Y, Hu F, Liu Y, et al. Prevalence of fosfomycin resistance and mutations in *mura*, *glpt*, and *uhpt* in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from blood and cerebrospinal fluid samples. *Front Microbiol* 2016;6:1544.
- [76] Tseng SP, Wang SF, Kuo CY, Huang JW, Hung WC, Ke GM, et al. Characterization of Fosfomycin Resistant Extended-Spectrum beta-Lactamase-Producing *Escherichia coli* Isolates from Human and Pig in Taiwan. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0135864.
- [77] Jiang Y, Shen P, Wei Z, Liu L, He F, Shi K, et al. Dissemination of a clone carrying a *fosA3*-harbouring plasmid mediates high fosfomycin resistance rate of KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in China. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2015;45:66–70.
- [78] Oteo J, Orden B, Bautista V, Cuevas O, Arroyo M, Martinez-Ruiz R, et al. CTX-M-15-producing urinary *Escherichia coli* O25b-ST131-phylogroup B2 has acquired resistance to fosfomycin. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009;64:712–17.
- [79] Kasai T, Tsuruoka T. [Pathogenicity of fosfomycin-resistant strains isolated from *Escherichia coli*]. *Jpn J Antibiot* 1999;52:34–40.
- [80] Li Pira G, Pruzzo C, Schito GC. Monuril and modification of pathogenicity traits in resistant microorganisms. *Eur Urol* 1987;13(Suppl 1):92–7.
- [81] Mei Q, Ye Y, Zhu YL, Cheng J, Chang X, Liu YY, et al. Testing the mutant selection window hypothesis in vitro and in vivo with *Staphylococcus aureus* exposed to fosfomycin. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2015;34:737–44.
- [82] Fridmott-Moller N. Fosfomycin. In: Grayson ML, editor. *Kucers' The use of antibiotics*. 6th ed. London, United Kingdom: Edward Arnold Ltd; 2010. p. 935–44.
- [83] Arca P, Reguera G, Hardisson C. Plasmid-encoded fosfomycin resistance in bacteria isolated from the urinary tract in a multicentre survey. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1997;40:393–9.
- [84] Horii T, Kimura T, Sato K, Shibayama K, Ohta M. Emergence of fosfomycin-resistant isolates of Shiga-like toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O26. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999;43:789–93.
- [85] Rigsby RE, Fillgrove KL, Beihoffer LA, Armstrong RN. Fosfomycin resistance proteins: a nexus of glutathione transferases and epoxide hydrolases in a metalloenzyme superfamily. *Methods Enzymol* 2005;401:367–79.
- [86] Garcia P, Arca P, Evaristo Suarez J. Product of *fosC*, a gene from *Pseudomonas syringae*, mediates fosfomycin resistance by using ATP as cosubstrate. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1995;39:1569–73.