



## Letter to the Editor

**Bactericidal activity of octenidine against *Staphylococcus aureus* harbouring genes encoding multidrug resistance efflux pumps**


Sir,

The bactericidal activity of the antiseptic octenidine dihydrochloride (OCT) against several antimicrobial-resistant bacteria, such as methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), including isolates resistant to mupirocin [1], as well as the most relevant multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens in health-care facilities [2], has been shown previously. Resistance to biocides is usually associated with a reduction in the intracellular concentration of the drug, either by a decrease in cell wall permeability or through multidrug efflux pumps that are able to extrude different classes of antimicrobial agents from the cytoplasm, including biocides or antiseptic molecules. Multidrug efflux pumps have been frequently described among *S. aureus* leading to therapeutic and/or decolonisation failure and the spread of resistant pathogens. In *S. aureus*, various biocide resistance genes have been identified, located either on plasmids (e.g. *qacAB* and *smr*) or on the chromosome (including *norA*, *sepA*, *lmrS* and *mepA*) [3].

In this study, the in vitro bactericidal activity of OCT against MRSA and methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* clinical isolates harbouring different genes encoding multidrug efflux pumps, all associated with decreased efficacy of disinfectants, was investigated for the first time.

Isolates were selected from the Staphylococcal Culture Collection of the Molecular Genetics Laboratory (Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica, Oeiras, Portugal), belonging to different sequence types and tested for the presence of *norA*, *sepA*, *mepA*, *lmrS*, *qacAB* and *smr* genes by PCR [4] (Table 1).

The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) and minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) to OCT (Schülke & Mayr GmbH, Norderstedt, Germany) were determined by the broth micro-dilution method according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [5]. The efficacy of OCT was analysed according to European Standard guideline EN 13727:2012 + A1, a quantitative suspension test to evaluate chemical disinfectants and antiseptics [6]. Samples were incubated for different contact times (30 s or 5 min) with OCT diluted to final test concentrations ranging from 0.001% to 0.1% (w/w). Following the given contact time, 1 mL of sample was added to 9 mL of neutralising solution (0.1% tryptone, 0.85% NaCl, 3% Tween 80, 0.3% lecithin, 3% saponin and 0.1% histidine) to terminate the activity of OCT without interfering with bacterial growth and then serial dilutions were spread onto neutralising agar plates. Colonies were counted following incubation for 24 h and 48 h at 37 °C and the reduction factor was

determined. Tests were also performed with 0.3% mucin (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) and with 3 g/L bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma-Aldrich) + 3 mL/L ovine erythrocytes (Oxoid, Madrid, Spain) to simulate different organic challenges commonly found on mucous membranes or wounds that may reduce the bactericidal effectiveness of biocides. A 5 log<sub>10</sub> reduction was considered effective within 5 min according to EN 13727 [6]. *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538 was used as a standard strain for quality control in the biocide efficacy tests.

OCT at very low concentrations of 0.001% (=10 ppm) and 0.01% (=100 ppm) with no organic load was fully effective against all tested *S. aureus* isolates within 30 s of contact time only (Table 1). However, the presence of 3 g/L BSA + 3 mL/L erythrocytes or even the more challenging 0.3% mucin reduced the bactericidal activity of OCT for some, but not all, staphylococcal isolates in a time-dependent manner. All *S. aureus* isolates tested showed an OCT MIC of 0.5 µg/mL and an MBC of 1 µg/mL. A delay in the efficacy of different wound antiseptics, including polyhexanide biguanide, povidone-iodine and OCT, has been previously reported for bacterial strains without genes associated with biocidal resistance when using a high albumin concentration [7]. Noteworthy, in this study we showed that OCT is highly effective even in the presence of a high organic load against all *S. aureus* isolates at clinically used concentrations (0.05% and 0.1%) and at a short contact time, independently of the presence of different genes encoding multidrug efflux pumps. The efficacy of OCT against isolates harbouring genes encoding efflux pumps is of major importance since significant frequencies of the principal genes responsible for antiseptic resistance in *S. aureus* (plasmid-borne genes *qacAB* and *smr*) have been reported all over the world (up to 42% and 44% in Europe, 83% and 77% in Asia, 40.5% and 3.7% in Africa and 2% and 7% in Canada [3,4]). The current finding is of clinical relevance as several healthcare facilities have started to implement preventative strategies, such as whole-body patient decontamination on intensive care units or prior to surgery, based on antiseptics such as OCT in order to reduce infections within hospitals. In view of impending antimicrobial resistance associated with higher morbidity and mortality as well as increased therapeutic costs, it is also important to regularly monitor the efficacy of widely used antiseptics.

#### Funding

This study was partially supported by Schülke & Mayr GmbH and by project PTDC/DTP-EPI/0842/2014 from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT), Portugal, and Project LISBOA-01-0145-FEDER-007660 (Microbiologia Molecular, Estrutural e Celular) funded by FEDER funds through COMPETE2020 – Programa Operacional Competitividade e Internacionalização (POCI) and by national funds through FCT. TC received grant SFRH/BPD/72422/

**Table 1**  
Characteristics of six *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates and log<sub>10</sub> reduction factor (RF) for octenidine (OCT) determined under different organic load conditions.

Isolate	Multidrug efflux pump genes	ST	MRSA/MSSA	Organic load	Contact time	Log <sub>10</sub> RF at OCT concentration of:			
						0.001%	0.01%	0.05%	0.1%
ATCC 6538	<i>sepA, mepA, norA</i>	464	MSSA	No organic load	30 s	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
				BSA + erythrocytes <sup>a</sup>	30 s	4.14	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
				Mucin 0.3%	30 s	3.61	2.79	≥5	≥5
					5 min	3.46	4.62	≥5	≥5
ANG565	<i>sepA, mepA, norA, qacAB</i>	15	MSSA	No organic load	30 s	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
				BSA + erythrocytes	30 s	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
				Mucin 0.3%	30 s	4.13	4.39	≥5	≥5
					5 min	4.13	4.39	≥5	≥5
ANG646A	<i>sepA, mepA, norA, lmrS</i>	152	MSSA	No organic load	30 s	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
				BSA + erythrocytes	30 s	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
				Mucin 0.3%	30 s	3.93	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	3.93	≥5	≥5	≥5
CV479	<i>sepA, mepA, norA, lmrS, qacAB, smr</i>	1633	MSSA	No organic load	30 s	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
				BSA + erythrocytes	30 s	4.77	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
				Mucin 0.3%	30 s	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
HPV56	<i>sepA, mepA, norA, lmrS, qacAB</i>	247	MRSA	No organic load	30 s	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
				BSA + erythrocytes	30 s	4.26	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
				Mucin 0.3%	30 s	4.83	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	4.91	≥5	≥5	≥5
ANG688	<i>sepA, mepA, norA, lmrS, smr</i>	8	MRSA	No organic load	30 s	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	≥5	≥5	≥5	≥5
				BSA + erythrocytes	30 s	3.90	≥5	≥5	≥5
					5 min	4.76	≥5	≥5	≥5
				Mucin 0.3%	30 s	3.98	3.90	≥5	≥5
					5 min	3.98	≥5	≥5	≥5

ST, sequence type; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*; MSSA, methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus*; BSA, bovine serum albumin.

OCT efficacy was determined according to the EN 13727 for clean (no organic load) and dirty (BSA + erythrocytes and mucin 0.3%) conditions, with a 5 log<sub>10</sub> reduction considered effective within 5 min of contact time.

<sup>a</sup> 3 g/L BSA + 3 mL/L ovine erythrocytes.

2010 from FCT. Active compounds were provided by Schülke & Mayr GmbH (Norderstedt, Germany).

### Competing interests

None declared.

### Ethical approval

Not required.

### References

- Conceição T, de Lencastre H, Aires-de-Sousa M. Efficacy of octenidine against antibiotic-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* epidemic clones. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2016;71:2991–4. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw241.
- Alvarez-Marin R, Aires-de-Sousa M, Nordmann P, Kieffer N, Poirel L. Antimicrobial activity of octenidine against multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2017;36:2379–83. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10096-017-3070-0.
- Costa SS, Viveiros M, Amaral L, Couto I. Multidrug efflux pumps in *Staphylococcus aureus*: an update. *Open Microbiol J* 2013;7:59–71. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1874285801307010059.
- Conceição T, Coelho C, de Lencastre H, Aires-de-Sousa M. High prevalence of biocide resistance determinants in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from three

African countries. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2016;60:678–81. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02140-15.

- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard—ninth edition. CLSI document M07-A9. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2012.
- EN 13727:2012+A. Chemical disinfectants and antiseptics. Quantitative suspension test for the evaluation of bactericidal activity in the medical area. Test method and requirements (phase 2, step 1) English version. Brussels, Belgium: European Committee for Standardization; 2013.
- Kapalschinski N, Seipp HM, Kuckelhaus M, Harati KK, Kolbenschlag JJ, Daigeler A, et al. Albumin reduces the antibacterial efficacy of wound antiseptics against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Wound Care* 2017;26:184–7. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.12968/jowc.2017.26.4.184.

Teresa Conceição

Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica António Xavier, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Oeiras, Portugal

Hermínia de Lencastre<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica António Xavier, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Oeiras, Portugal

<sup>b</sup>Laboratory of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA

Marta Aires-de-Sousa<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, Instituto de Tecnologia Química e  
Biológica António Xavier, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Oeiras,  
Portugal

<sup>b</sup>Escola Superior de Saúde da Cruz Vermelha Portuguesa, Lisbon,  
Portugal

\* Corresponding author. Present address: Escola Superior de  
Saúde da Cruz Vermelha Portuguesa, Avenida de Ceuta no 1,  
Edifício UrbiCeuta, 1300-125 Lisbon, Portugal.  
E-mail address: [msousa@esscvp.eu](mailto:msousa@esscvp.eu) (M. Aires-de-Sousa).

Received 19 October 2018

Available online 10 February 2019