

References

1. Eley VA, Christensen R, Kumar S, Callaway LK. A review of blood pressure measurement in obese pregnant women. *Int J Obstet Anesth* 2018;**35**:64–74.
2. Nathan HL, de Greeff A, Hezelgrave N, Chappell L, Shennan A. An accurate semi-automated oscillometric blood pressure (BP) device for use in pregnancy in a low- and middle-income country population: the Microlife 3AS1-2. *Blood Press Monit* 2014;**20**:52–5.
3. Nathan HL, Seed PT, Hezelgrave NL, et al. Early warning system hypertension thresholds to predict adverse outcomes in pre-eclampsia: a prospective cohort study. *Pregnancy Hypertens* 2018;**12**:183–8.
4. Nathan HL, De Greeff A, Hezelgrave N, Chappell L, Shennan A. Accuracy validation of the microlife 3AS1-2 blood pressure device in an African pregnant population with low blood pressure. *Blood Press Monit* 2015;**20**:299–302.
5. Nathan HL, Vousden N, Lawley E, et al. Development and evaluation of a novel Vital Signs Alert device for use in pregnancy in low-resource settings. *BMJ Innovations* 2018;**4**:192–8.

0959-289X/\$ - see front matter

Crown Copyright © 2018 Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijoa.2018.11.006>

In reply



We thank you for your interest in our article.¹ We agree that the ability to accurately measure blood pressure in low-, medium- and high-resource settings is crucial. It is also important to be able to measure both low and high blood pressure accurately. On page 67 of the published manuscript¹ we state that “...five of 14 of the devices intended for clinic use (as opposed to home blood pressure monitoring use) were validated according to approved protocols and were without protocol violations.” This is a citation of the 2018 paper by Bello et al.² which was published in the journal *Hypertension*.

Consistent with our citation, Bello et al.² concluded that the validation process applied in the manuscripts published by Nathan et al.^{3,4} contained minor protocol violations, which they define in their online supplementary materials. For these studies, they attributed the minor protocol violations to the systolic and diastolic blood pressure ranges.

The purpose of describing the findings of Bello et al.² in our manuscript was to highlight the difficulties faced in validating blood pressure measurement devices in pregnant women, even before the issues of obesity and very large arm circumference are considered. We did not intend to list all the devices evaluated by Bello et al.²

As the title suggests, our manuscript is a review of blood pressure measurement in obese pregnant women. The Microlife 3AS1-2TM was validated in women with an arm circumference of up to 36 cm (in women with low blood pressure⁴) and in women with a mean arm circumference of 31 cm (including women with preeclampsia,

no range or standard deviation published). It is therefore reasonable that this device was not a focus of our review.

V. Eley, R. Christensen

Department of Anaesthesia and Perioperative Medicine
 The Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital and The
 University of Queensland, Faculty of Medicine, Brisbane
 Queensland, Australia
 E-mail address: v.eley@uq.edu.au

S. Kumar

Mater Research Institute and Department of Maternal
 Fetal Medicine, The Mater Mothers' Hospital, Brisbane
 Queensland, Australia

L. Callaway

The University of Queensland, Faculty of Medicine and
 Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology and Obstetric
 Medicine, The Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital
 Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

References

1. Eley V, Christensen R, Kumar S, Callaway L. A review of blood pressure measurement in obese pregnant women. *Int J Obstet Anesth* 2018;**35**:64–74.
2. Bello NA, Woolley JJ, Cleary KL, et al. Accuracy of blood pressure measurement devices in pregnancy. *Hypertension* 2018;**71**:326.
3. Nathan LH, De Greeff LA, Hezelgrave CN, Chappell HL, Shennan HA. An accurate semiautomated oscillometric blood pressure device for use in pregnancy (including pre-eclampsia) in a low-income and middle-income country population: the Microlife 3AS1-2. *Blood Press Monit* 2015;**20**:52–5.
4. Nathan LH, De Greeff LA, Hezelgrave CN, Chappell HL, Shennan HA. Accuracy validation of the Microlife 3AS1-2 blood pressure device in a pregnant population with low blood pressure. *Blood Press Monit* 2015;**20**:299–302.

0959-289X/\$ - see front matter

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijoa.2018.11.003>

Are high-risk obstetric patients properly identified and managed?



Maternal morbidity and mortality are epidemics that are on the rise world-wide, with maternal morbidity and pregnancy-related mortality rates more than doubling in the United States in the last 30 years. The causes are widespread and multivariant, however, before we can address the issue of preventable harm, we must firstly develop a valid measure of assessing and identifying those at risk. Once identified, appropriate triage to higher levels of care or resource allocation can be assessed, to appropriately prepare for potentially complicated deliveries or antepartum or postpartum management. In addition, coordinated care plans specifically designed to address