

Activity of the FGFR1–3 inhibitor infigratinib in patients with upper tract urothelial carcinoma and urothelial carcinoma of the bladder: Latest efficacy findings and comprehensive genomic profiling/cell-free DNA data

EUR Urol Suppl 2019;18(11):e3405

De Giorgi U.¹, Pal S.K.², Rosenberg J.E.³, Hoffman-Censits J.H.⁴, Quinn D.I.⁵, Petrylak D.P.⁶, Galsky M.D.⁷, Vaishampayan U.N.⁸, Gupta S⁹, Burris H.A.¹⁰, Soifer H.S.¹¹, Li G.¹¹, Dambkowski C.L.¹², Moran S.¹¹, Ye Y.¹³, Daneshmand S.¹⁴, Delord J.P.¹⁵, Bajorin D.F.³

¹Istituto Scientifico Romagnolo per lo Studio e la Cura dei Tumori IRCCS, Medical Oncology, Meldola, Italy, ²City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center, Dept. of Medical Oncology & Experimental Therapeutics, Duarte, United States of America, ³Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, Dept. of Medical Oncology, New York, United States of America, ⁴Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins, Dept. of Medical Oncology, Baltimore, United States of America, ⁵USC Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Dept. of Medical Oncology, Los Angeles, United States of America, ⁶Yale Cancer Center, Smilow Cancer Hospital, Dept. of Medical Oncology, New Haven, United States of America, ⁷Mount Sinai School of Medicine, Dept. of Genitourinary Medical Oncology, New York, United States of America, ⁸Wayne State University, Dept. of Solid Tumor Oncology, Detroit, United States of America, ⁹Huntsman Cancer Institute - University of Utah Health Care, Dept. of Medical Oncology, Salt Lake City, United States of America, ¹⁰Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Dept. of Medical Oncology, Nashville, United States of America, ¹¹QED Therapeutics Inc., Dept. of Clinical Development, San Francisco, United States of America, ¹²QED Therapeutics Inc., Dept. of Business Development and Operations, San Francisco, United States of America, ¹³QED Therapeutics Inc., Dept. of Biostatistics, San Francisco, United States of America, ¹⁴Keck School of Medicine of USC, Dept. of Medical Oncology, Los Angeles, United States of America, ¹⁵IUCT – Oncopole, Clinical Research Unit, Toulouse, France

Introduction & Objectives: Infigratinib (BGJ398) is a potent and selective FGFR1–3 inhibitor with significant activity in patients with advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma (mUC) bearing *FGFR3* alterations [Pal et al 2018]. Given the distinct biologic characteristics of upper tract UC (UTUC) and urothelial carcinoma of the bladder (UCB), we sought to determine if infigratinib had varying activity in these settings.

Materials & Methods: Eligible patients had mUC with activating *FGFR3* mutations/fusions and prior platinum-based chemotherapy, unless contraindicated. Patients received infigratinib 125 mg orally daily (3 weeks on/1 week off). Overall response rate (ORR) and disease control rate (DCR) were characterized in UCB and UTUC patients. Comprehensive genomic profiling was performed on FFPE tissues in a CLIA-certified lab (Foundation Medicine; Cambridge, MA). Blood was collected for cell-free (cf)DNA analysis using a 600-gene panel on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 sequencer.

Results: 67 patients were enrolled; the majority (70.1%) had received ≥ 2 prior antineoplastic therapies. ORR was 25.4% and DCR was 64.2%. In the 8 patients with UTUC, 1 complete response (CR) and 3 partial responses (PRs) were observed (ORR 50%); the remainder had a best response of stable disease (SD; DCR 100%). One of the UTUC patients was a 61-year old male with a tumor bearing a *FGFR3-TACC3* fusion. Following receipt of infigratinib, he experienced a CR per central assessment on Day 55, which was later confirmed on Day 120. The CR continued until progressive disease (PD) developed on Day 260. UTUC patients were predominantly 2nd line (62.5%), with only 2 (25%) showing response to previous treatment. In patients with UCB, 13 PRs were observed (ORR 22%), and 22 patients had a best response of SD (DCR 59.3%). Notable

differences in genomic alterations between cohorts included a higher frequency of *FGFR3-TACC3* fusions (12.5% vs 5.8%) and *FGFR3 R248C* mutations (50% vs 11.5%), and a lower frequency of *FGFR3 S249C* mutations (25% vs 59.6%) in UTUC vs UCB. Consistent with previous reports [Sfakianos et al 2016], UTUC patients had a differential frequency of alterations in *HRAS*, *CDKN2B* and *ARID1A*. Sufficient cfDNA yield was obtained in UTUC and UCB patients; a comprehensive comparison of these data will be presented.

Conclusions: Differences in cumulative genomic profile were observed between UCB and UTUC in this *FGFR3*-restricted experience, underscoring the distinct biology of these diseases. Results with infigratinib in UTUC support a planned phase III adjuvant study predominantly in this population.