

Comment

What can AI learn from bionic algorithms?
Comment on “Does being multi-headed make you better at solving
problems? A survey of Physarum-based models and computations”
by Chao Gao et al.

Chang-Bing Tang^{a,1}, Yan Zhang^{b,1}, Lin Wang^{c,*,1}, Zhao Zhang^{d,*,1}

^a Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, PR China

^b Chair of Systems Design, ETH Zürich, Weinbergstrasse 56/58, CH-8092 Zürich, Switzerland

^c Mathematical Modelling of Infectious Diseases Unit, Institut Pasteur, Paris 75015, France

^d Department of Computer Sciences, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, PR China

Received 15 January 2019; accepted 24 January 2019

Available online 28 January 2019

Communicated by J. Fontanari

Keywords: Physarum-based algorithms; Artificial intelligence; Optimization; Bionic algorithms

Physarum polycephalum (literally, multi-headed slime mould) is a multinucleated, unicellular organism that belongs to the protoplast mucus of amoebina. Physarum is increasingly popular in diverse fields including biophysics, evolutionary computation, bioengineering, intelligent algorithms [1–8], because of its striking high-level of biologically intelligent behavior which was first reported in 2010 [9]. For example, inoculated in a maze of corridors on the agar surface with food resources placed at the two terminals of the maze, Physarum polycephalum is able to automatically detect the shortest path along which a protoplasmic pipeline will be formed to connect the food at the terminals [10]. Surprisingly, this is a self-organized process without centralized control.

Gao et al. [11] reviewed the latest progress of Physarum-based models and computations. Through a systematic review of publications in the Web of Science, they constructed a network of scientific citations to overview the hot research areas. Their major interests lie in the computational models inspired by the two fundamental features of Physarum’s foraging behavior, i.e., *extension* and *retraction*, which are applied as the *morphology*, *taxis* and *positive feedback dynamics* in the top-down and bottom-up modeling techniques. They also surveyed some real-world applications based on the core features of Physarum for solving difficult computational problems. Furthermore, they outlined recent advancements in bionic algorithms that are grounded in the bio-intelligence of Physarum polycephalum.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plrev.2018.05.002>.

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: lin.wang@pasteur.fr (L. Wang), zhaozhang@zjnu.cn (Z. Zhang).

¹ All authors contributed equally to this comment.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plrev.2019.01.006>

1571-0645/© 2019 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

This comprehensive review inspires a thought-provoking question: *What can artificial intelligence (AI) learn from bionic algorithms?* One of the mainstream directions in AI-based research and development is to guide machines to think like people [19,20]. Recent decades, AI has accumulated substantial achievements in supervised learning via synthesis with neuroscience, psychology and cognitive science [21]. However, unsupervised learning, as the main direction towards the “real” AI, is still a hard problem. Bionic algorithms may inspire useful tools to solving such problems.

For example, social insects such as ants and bees are typical organisms with distributed intelligence [12]. Their population survival relies on the collective predation, the observation of which leads to the establishment of Ant Colony Algorithms (ACA) that was first proposed by Marco Dorigo [13]. For path optimization problems such as “finding a shortest path between two points on a polyhedron”, the strategy of ACA is to continuously release “ants” from one of these two points and let them do random walks on the polyhedron. Similar to the real ants that excrete pheromones for triggering social response, the simulated “ants” record their positions to guide others in subsequent simulation iterations. In fact, the development of swarm-intelligence algorithms such as the ACA echoes the progress in modern statistical and machine learning (e.g. Markov chain Monte Carlo and Hamiltonian Monte Carlo [14–17]).

Let us revisit *Physarum polycephalum* reviewed by Gao et al. [11]. An interesting case study [2] has shown the potential of such unicellular organism in designing planar transportation networks. For example, if food sources are placed according to the spatial location of multiple cities on the map of a country, *Physarum* can connect the food resources by reshaping its body as a network of growth routes, which resembles the real-world roads and railways networks of that country. This is because of the strong deformability of *Physarum*, i.e. the dramatic local deformation of cell membrane in response to the chemical source concentration gradient of food resources. *Physarum* keeps on growing along the directions at which it perceives a higher food chemical concentration after deforming, while its body remains the same or retracts along the other directions with lower perceived concentration. This bio-intelligent strategy is conceptually similar to many other likelihood-based searching algorithms.

Although AI-based research and development have been highly successful in supervised learning, unsupervised learning is still running on a bumpy and winding road [18]. Organisms such as *Physarum polycephalum* and social insects have shown the advantages in self-organization, adaptive learning, distributed and dynamical optimization and control, etc. Bionic algorithms built by deciphering the mechanisms underlying biological intelligence will provide cues for designing unsupervised learning algorithms.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by the Key Projects of National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 61751303), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11475003, 71871233, 11771013, 11531011) and the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. LY16F030002, LD19A010001). The related research was conducted in part using the research computing facilities and advisory services offered by Information Technology Services, The University of Hong Kong.

References

- [1] Gunji YP, Shirakawa T, Niizato T, Yamachiyo M, Tani I. An adaptive and robust biological network based on the vacant-particle transportation model. *J Theor Biol* 2011;272(1):187–200.
- [2] Liu Y, Gao C, Liang M, Tao L, Zhang Z. A *Physarum*-inspired vacant-particle model with shrinkage for transport network design. In: Tan Y, Shi Y, Buarque F, Gelbukh A, Das S, Engelbrecht A, editors. *Advances in swarm and computational intelligence*. Lect notes comput sci, vol. 9140. Cham: Springer; 2015. p. 74–81.
- [3] Liu Y, Gao C, Zhang Z, Lu Y, Chen S, Liang M, et al. Solving NP-hard problems with *Physarum*-based ant colony system. *IEEE/ACM Trans Comput Biol Bioinform* 2017;14(1):108–20.
- [4] Tsompanas MAI, Sirakoulis GC. Modeling and hardware implementation of an amoeba-like cellular automaton. *Bioinspir Biomim* 2012;7(3):036013.
- [5] Dourvas N, Tsompanas MA, Sirakoulis GC, Tsalides P. Hardware acceleration of cellular automata *Physarum polycephalum* model. *Parallel Process Lett* 2015;25(01):1540006.
- [6] Jones J. Influences on the formation and evolution of *Physarum polycephalum* inspired emergent transport networks. *Nat Comput* 2011;10(4):1345–69.
- [7] Adamatzky A. If BZ medium did spanning trees these would be the same trees as *Physarum* built. *Phys Lett A* 2009;373(10):952–6.
- [8] Tero A, Kobayashi R, Nakagaki T. A mathematical model for adaptive transport network in path finding by true slime mold. *J Theor Biol* 2007;244(4):553–64.

- [9] Tero A, Takagi S, Saigusa T, Ito K, Bebbber DP, Fricker MD, et al. Rules for biologically inspired adaptive network design. *Science* 2010;327(5964):439–42.
- [10] Nakagaki T, Yamada H, Tóth Á. Intelligence maze-solving by an amoeboid organism. *Nature* 2000;407(6803):470.
- [11] Gao C, Liu C, Schencz D, Li XL, Zhang ZL, et al. Does being multi-headed make you better at solving problems? A survey of Physarum-based models and computations. *Phys Life Rev* 2019;29:1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plrev.2018.05.002> [in this issue].
- [12] Bonabeau E, Theraulaz G, Deneubourg JL, Aron S, Camazine S. Self-organization in social insects. *Trends Ecol Evol* 1997;12(5):188–93.
- [13] Optimization Dorigo M. Learning and natural algorithms. PhD thesis, Italy: Politecnico di Milano; 1992.
- [14] Wang L, Wu JT. Characterizing the dynamics underlying global spread of epidemics. *Nat Commun* 2018;9:218.
- [15] Li X, Li X. Reconstruction of stochastic temporal networks through diffusive arrival times. *Nat Commun* 2017;8:15729.
- [16] Duane S, Kennedy AD, Pendleton BJ, Roweth D. Hybrid Monte Carlo. *Phys Lett B* 1987;195(2):216–22.
- [17] Brooks S, et al. Handbook of Markov chain Monte Carlo. Chapman & Hall/CRC; 2011.
- [18] Ganguli S. The intertwined quest for understanding biological intelligence and creating artificial intelligence. https://hai.stanford.edu/news/the_intertwined_quest_for_understanding_biological_intelligence_and_creating_artificial_intelligence/, 2018.
- [19] Mnih V, Kavukcuoglu K, Silver D, Rusu AA, Veness J, et al. Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *Nature* 2015;518:529–33.
- [20] Poo MM. Towards brain-inspired artificial intelligence. *Nat Sci Rev* 2018;5:785.
- [21] Russell SJ, Norvig P. Artificial intelligence: a modern approach. Third ed. Pearson Education Limited; 2016.