



Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](#)

The Journal of Foot & Ankle Surgery

journal homepage: www.jfas.org



Erratum



In regard to the article: “Should We Use Intermetatarsal Angle as Primary Determinant to Define the Limits of Distal Chevron Osteotomy?” by Gökem Kiyak, Tanil Esemeli; published in *The Journal of Foot & Ankle Surgery*, September–October, Volume 58, Issue 5, pp 880–885, the legend for Figure 4 should be as follows:

(A1–A2) Another case with intermetatarsal angle 21° and hallux valgus angle 46° . Metatarsal head diameter is adequate and expected bony contact between the fragments is 83% and 85%, thus allowing a distal metatarsal displacement osteotomy. (B1–B2) Late postoperative radiograph (1 year) shows proper correction, sesamoid reduction, and healing.