



Original Article

NICU Nurses' Suggestions for Improving End-of-Life Care Obstacles[☆]Renea L. Beckstrand^{*,1}, Rebecca F. Isaacson, Janelle L.B. Macintosh, Karlen E. Luthy, Lacey Eden

Brigham Young University, Provo, UT, USA



A B S T R A C T

Background: Neonatal deaths (infants less than 28 days old) account for two thirds (66.7%) of all infant deaths with most occurring in an ICU setting. NICU nurses are frequently involved in end-of-life (EOL) care and face unique obstacles.

Objective: The objective of this study was to obtain NICU nurses' suggestions for improving obstacles in EOL care in NICUs.

Methods: Suggestions were obtained through mailed survey research in qualitative study design. Returned surveys yielded 121 nurse respondents who gave a total of 138 suggestions.

Results: A total of 10 cohesive themes were identified: (1) environmental design issues, (2) improved communication between healthcare teams, (3) ending futile care earlier, (4) realistic and honest physician communications to families, (5) providing a "good death," (6) improved nurse staffing, (7) need for EOL education, (8) earlier entry into hospice/palliative care, (9) availability of ancillary staff, and (10) allowing parents more time to prepare for death.

Conclusions: Despite the variety of obstacles encountered in providing EOL care to dying infants and their families, NICU nurses can influence environmental factors, help improve communication, and use self-assessment tools to identify current EOL care practices.

Neonatal deaths (infants less than 28 days old) account for two thirds of all infant deaths with most occurring in an ICU setting (Conway-Orgel and Edlund, 2015). A National Vital Statistics Reports paper documented 23,455 deaths in 2015 for children less than 1 year of age. Of these infant deaths, 15,652 were neonates less than 28 days old; accounting for 66.7% of the total deaths that year (Murphy et al., 2017). These high numbers demonstrate that NICU nurses care for dying infants on a daily basis.

Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) nurses' exposure to death has been described as ongoing and unremitting (Kain, 2006) meaning NICU nurses are consistently responsible for providing end-of-life (EOL) care to patients and families. NICU nurses face numerous unique obstacles to providing EOL care for neonates and their families (Conway-Orgel and Edlund, 2015).

Background

EOL care obstacles are common for nurses caring for dying infants and their families. Research has been published on obstacles to EOL care in hospital settings including adult ICUs (Kirchhoff and Beckstrand, 2000; Beckstrand and Kirchhoff, 2005), emergency rooms (Beckstrand et al., 2008, 2012a), oncology (Beckstrand et al., 2009, 2012b), pediatric ICUs (Beckstrand et al., 2010), and NICUs (Conway-Orgel and Edlund, 2015; Murphy et al., 2017; Wright et al., 2011;

Rombsberg, 2007). Two articles were found specifically looking at NICU nurses' perceptions of EOL care obstacles. In 2011, Kain interviewed 24 registered NICU nurses for the purpose of determining nurses' opinions regarding identified EOL care obstacles (Kain, 2011). Using an interpretive research framework, focus groups were conducted in Australia at three NICU's. These nurses reported primary barriers to incorporating palliative care practices in NICUs were: (1) inadequate staffing to care for dying babies; (2) environmental factors that were uncondusive to EOL care such as lack of rooms, small spaces, and loud environment; (3) technological advances leading to futile care; and, (4) parental expectations being unrealistically high about long-term outcomes. Completed in the same year, Wright, Prasun, and Hilgenburg reported on barriers and facilitators to providing high quality EOL care in NICUs (Wright et al., 2011). Using the Neonatal Palliative Care Attitude Scale Questionnaire, 50 NICU nurses were sampled (Wright et al., 2011). The five most reported obstacles were: (1) nurses inability to express opinions, values, and beliefs regarding palliative care; (2) negative physical environment issues; (3) technological life support used in futile cases; (4) parental demands to continue life extending care; and, (5) lack of EOL care education for nurses (Wright et al., 2011). These researchers concluded that obstacles in NICU EOL care and further research is warranted (Wright et al., 2011).

While both articles identified common obstacles to providing EOL care in NICUs, no other studies were found specifically look at NICU

[☆] Funded by a grant from BYU College of Nursing, USA.

* Corresponding author. BYU College of Nursing 422 KMBL, Provo, UT 84602, USA.

E-mail address: renea@byu.edu (R.L. Beckstrand).

¹ Home: 825 S 890 E, Orem, UT, 84097, USA.

nurses' suggestions for improving EOL care. Specific research looking at NICU nurses' perceptions on EOL care is limited.

Significance

The purpose of this study was to investigate NICU nurses suggestions for improving EOL care for dying infants. Categorizing themes from NICU nurses' suggestions may help identify areas where improvement is most needed and would be most beneficial to providing compassionate and dignified EOL care to dying infants and their families.

Methods

Design

A cross-sectional survey study design was used looking at NICU nurses' suggestions for improving EOL care for dying NICU patients and their families. Nurses suggestions were obtained through mailed survey research. Both quantitative and qualitative data were obtained. Quantitative obstacle and helpful behavior data has yet to be published. Returned data from one open-ended item on the questionnaire supplied the material analyzed for this paper.

Sample and setting

After institutional review board approval, a geographically-dispersed sample of 1058 NICU nurses was acquired from the National Association of Neonatal Nurses (NANN). NICU nurses who were members of NANN, read English, and had cared for at least one dying newborn were eligible to participate. Return of the questionnaire was considered consent to participate.

Instrument

The *National Survey of NICU Nurses' Perceptions of End-of-Life Care* questionnaire was modified from four similar questionnaires used with adult ICU nurses (Kirchhoff and Beckstrand, 2000; Beckstrand and Kirchhoff, 2005) emergency room nurses (Beckstrand et al., 2008, 2012a) oncology nurses (Beckstrand et al., 2009, 2012b), and pediatric ICU nurses (Beckstrand et al., 2010). Input from experts was used to further revise the questionnaire. The final instrument contained 68 items. Fifty-one were Likert-type, 4 were open-ended, and 13 were demographic items. The questionnaire was pretested by 24 NICU nurses for content and clarity and took about 30 min to complete.

Responses to one of the open-ended items formed the data that was analyzed for this report. The item asked: "If you had the ability to change just one aspect of the EOL given to dying infants, what would it be?"

Procedure

After purchasing the mailing list of potential subjects from NANN, the initial mailing to nurses in the U.S. consisted of a cover letter explaining the purpose of the study, the questionnaire, and a self-addressed stamped return envelope. Non-respondents were sent one additional mailing a few months after the initial mailing.

Data analysis

The data were entered into a Microsoft Excel™ (2016) file and suggestions were categorized (based on theme) by individual members of the research team. The team included researchers with expertise in critical care nursing, qualitative research, EOL care, NICU nursing, and a graduate student with five years of fulltime NICU nurse experience. Each suggestion was evaluated until all researchers agreed on

Table 1
Demographics of nurses.

	^a n = 121	%		
Sex				
Male	3	2.5		
Female	118	97.5		
Age (y)	<i>M</i>		<i>SD</i>	<i>Range</i>
	50.4		11.3	29–70
Years as RN	21.7		12.1	3–45
Years in NICU	18.7		10.5	1–42
Hours worked/week	34.8		10.5	0–72
Number of beds in unit	45.8		23.6	6–120
Dying patients cared for:	<i>n</i>	%		
> 30	35	(28.9)		
21–30	16	(13.2)		
11–20	25	(20.7)		
5–10	32	(26.4)		
< 5	13	(10.7)		
Highest degree:	<i>n</i>	%		
Diploma	10	(8.3)		
Associate	11	(9.1)		
Bachelor	51	(42.2)		
Master	42	(34.7)		
Doctoral	7	(5.8)		
Ever certified as CCRN	<i>n</i>	%		
Yes	35	(28.9)		
No	84	(69.4)		
Currently CCRN	<i>n</i>	%		
Yes	25	(20.7)		
No	89	(73.6)		
Years as CCRN	<i>M</i>		<i>SD</i>	<i>Range</i>
	10.3		9.4	1–27
Position Held at Facility:	<i>n</i>	%		
Direct care/bedside nurse	75	(62)		
Neonatal Nurse Practitioner	25	(20.7)		
Department Manager/Educator	14	(11.6)		
Clinical Nurse Specialist	7	(5.8)		
Facility type:	<i>n</i>	%		
Community, non-profit	59	(48.8)		
University Medical Center	35	(28.9)		
Community, profit	14	(11.6)		
County hospital	8	(6.6)		
State	3	(2.5)		
Military hospital	1	(0.8)		

^a n = valid percent.

categorization of the response.

Results

Demographics

Of the 1058 questionnaires originally mailed, 15 came back undeliverable and 142 were returned with subjects stating that they were ineligible to participate, leaving 901 as the useable total sample. Of these, 234 subjects completed and returned the questionnaire, giving a final response rate for the larger study of 26%. Of the 234 who returned the questionnaire, a total of 121 (51.7%) subjects provided a response to the open-ended question for this report, which resulted in 138 total suggestions.

Demographic data were obtained. Of the subjects reporting gender, 118 (97.5%) were female and 3 (2.5%) were male. Subjects reported a mean of 21.7 (SD = 12.1) years as an RN and a mean of 18.7 (SD = 10.5) years of NICU experience. Nurses' age in years ranged from 29 to 70 (M = 50.4, SD = 11.3). Nurses reporting having earned a bachelor degree or higher included more than 80% or respondents. Remaining demographic data are presented in Table 1.

Major themes

After analyzing and categorizing responses, a total of 10 cohesive themes were identified with a few additional miscellaneous items (n = 9, 6.5%). The top six obstacle themes comprised: (1)

environmental design issues, (2) improved communication between healthcare teams, (3) ending futile care earlier, (4) realistic and honest physician communications to families (5) providing a “good death,” and, (6) improved nurse staffing. Nurses’ suggestions for these top six obstacles follow.

Environmental design issues. NICU nurses caring for dying infants and their families desired to have the physical environment changed to provide better EOL care (n = 27, 19.6%). Change suggestions included improving privacy, adding to limited space, and the need for special family areas.

Privacy. A common theme related to environmental/design issues focused on improving privacy for the infant and family. One nurse suggested,

I wish every patient and family had access to a private room. Unfortunately, our sicker babies are in a large open pod. EOL care can become a side show for other parents and staff sometimes. It can be very morbid.

Another NICU nurse wrote, “[I would suggest] private rooms for infant and family to be together and grieve/cry in private. We have curtains around the bedside – NOT PRIVATE!”

Limited space. An example of limited space contained in NICUs was shared by this nurse,

Our NICU is a large, one room NICU and there is no space except two back private rooms with curtains. This is the room the dying infant would be in. The family is still aware of the activity in the NICU. We [can] move them to a unit close by with private (adult) rooms, but this is not optimal.

Special areas for families. Several nurses suggested special areas be designed for families at the EOL. One nurse requested, “To have a special area for family to do things with their infant they will never get to do again—a walk outside, or a bath.” Another nurse included, “Having a garden [outside of the NICU] for parents to take their child to be with them when they die.”

Improved communication between health care teams. Providing consistent information to families and other team members was the second largest suggestion made by NICU nurses (n = 18, 13.0%). One nurse suggested that, “The interdisciplinary team (attending MD, surgeon, specialty services, etc.) would all be on the same page and deliver a consistent message to the family.” Another nurse wrote,

Our NICU has four teams. Many teams don’t always share the same diagnosis and/or treatment the other physician presented to the family. This [lack of consistency] causes serious conflict among the family. When physicians don’t present a united front, it causes conflict with staff as well as families.

Another nurse noted the need for physicians to agree and accept responsibility for care. She stated,

Having the team of physicians in agreement about care and willing to accept responsibility for [that] care. We have a team of physicians and often the one on night duty will do anything to keep a baby alive so he doesn’t have to deal with the death on his shift and can leave it for the attending physician [to handle in the morning].

Ending futile care earlier. Many nurses (n = 15; 10.8%) recognized the common futility of care and wanted that futile care to stop earlier. One nurse said, “We prolong suffering too long. Make a decision earlier and faster.” Other nurses described their desire to stop care sooner to decrease the infant’s pain. One nurse shared, “When you are all aware the infant is near death, don’t keep poking for an IV. Sometimes enough is enough. Think of the pain the infant is experiencing.” Another nurse described his/her experience this way, “I would not allow parents the choice to keep dying infants alive when death is certain. I find it inhumane and unethical to keep infants alive when their tissue is splitting open from edema.”

Realistic and honest physician communications. Other nurses suggested that physicians have more realistic and honest communications with families and not give families false hope (n = 14; 10.1%). A poignant example from one nurse said,

I would change the physician’s approaches to the parents when discussing EOL. The [doctors] always seem to chicken out when the parents are sitting in front of them. Most, unfortunately, don’t want to be the ‘bad guy.’ So the patient lingers on because parents will hold onto any hope you give them. But if [doctors] would have been straightforward with parents [earlier], I think most parents would be able to reach that difficult ‘withdraw’ decision sooner, as a result, the patient would suffer that much less.

Providing a good death. Nurses desired providing a good death for infants including having the child die unattached to technology, being held, and out of pain (n = 14; 10.1%). One nurse shared,

I recently had to watch a baby die in its bed attached to vent and drips while his heart slowly stopped. I would like all babies to leave this world cradled in someone’s loving arms. No baby should die untouched [while] attached to machines.

Improved nurse staffing. Nurses suggested that dying infants be afforded the attention from one nurse rather than having the nurse care for two patients (n = 13, 9.4%). “Having the dying infant be my only patient,” wrote one nurse while another echoed with, “Always being there for the family, hence having only one baby until it passes.”

Remaining themes

The remaining themes included: (7) need for EOL education (n = 9, 6.5%), (8) earlier entry into hospice/palliative care (n = 9, 6.5%), (9) availability of ancillary staff (n = 7, 5.1%) and, (10) allowing parents more time to prepare for death (n = 3, 2.2%).

EOL education. Most suggestions regarding improved EOL education centered around NICU nurses being taught appropriate things to say to families. One nurse said, “Increased education about what to say, what words or phrases to avoid, and words or phrases to use to convey genuine compassion.”

Earlier hospice/palliative care. Nurses wished to include hospice or palliative care earlier. “[My suggestion would be to] bring in palliative care earlier to give parents an option. Hospice should not be a scary thing, but should be embraced so more of these babies do not suffer and parents do not feel guilty.”

More ancillary staff. A few nurses wanted 24 h staff availability in the form of social work, chaplain, or secretarial support. One nurse asked for, “Having access to resources 24 h a day.”

More time for parents to prepare. While many nurses expressed frustration at continuing care they deemed as futile, a few nurses wanted more time for parents to prepare for the death of their child. Two nurses expressed need for more time, “More time for parents to ‘accept’ the infant’s death,” and, “Time for parents to prepare and accept the decision BEFORE the infant dies.”

Discussion

Advances in neonatal care have positively impacted survivability of infants who previously would have died (Moura et al., 2011). NICU nurses play an essential role in how death occurs and are keenly aware of obstacles impeding a peaceful death experience (Bloomer et al., 2016). Impeding obstacles can be include external factors such as limited space and privacy, lack of education or lack of staff, hospice/palliative care policies not being used; or, human factors such as the need for honest communication between physicians and families, recognizing futile care earlier, providing a good death, and allowing parents time to prepare for death.

External factors

NICU nurses' priorities of care are patients and families. External factors such as limited space and privacy, lack of staff or EOL education, and hospice/palliative care policies not being used all greatly impact EOL experiences, but are not easily remedied during the EOL process.

Many NICUs are designed with large rooms with multiple beds in each room to allow nurses to watch multiple infants at once. Lack of privacy can affect the family's grieving process if they feel on display to other families in the NICU. EOL displays can also be traumatic to other families who become acutely aware of the impending death of a neighboring infant while worrying about the health of their own newborn. In Kain's focus group study, nurses described lack of private rooms as barriers to providing EOL care and noted that relocation to private rooms was not often feasible (Kain, 2011).

Additionally, nurses in Kain's study also reported that lack of staffing precluded their ability to provide adequate EOL care (Kain, 2011). The time-intensive nature of providing EOL care requires adequate staffing that is not always available which can lead to substandard EOL care being provided.

In a quantitative study of 50 NICU nurses examining barriers to EOL care in the NICU, nurses all expressed need for EOL care education, but less than half reported that they had ever received EOL care education (Wright et al., 2011). A 2003 report released by the Institute of Medicine recommended that physicians, nurses, and other healthcare members should receive EOL care education to be able to provide adequate and appropriate EOL care to dying infants and children (Field and Behrman, 2003).

The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) released an official statement about palliative care for children of all ages in 2000. Members of AAP stated that palliative care programs are essential for providing EOL care to support dying infants, families, and health care teams (American Academy of Pediatrics). Although data has been published about the need for palliative care programs, our responses did not confirm that palliative care programs were either available or being used in NICUs.

Human factors

Nurses are at the bedside more than physicians, parents, or other types of staff and often recognize futile cases earlier than other staff members or parents. Many nurses recommended recognizing futility earlier and stopping care sooner. In a 2013 article reviewing ethical principles in NICUs, researchers stated the ethical principle of non-maleficence, or do no harm, is violated when infants with a poor prognosis are kept alive by technology and/or other means that cause suffering and pain (Sundean and McGrath, 2013). The principle of doing no harm supports nurses suggestions to improve EOL care by recognizing futility earlier and stopping that care sooner.

Physicians are responsible for communicating each patient's prognosis to parents and family members. Nurses requested physicians be more open and honest in their communication of each patient's prognosis. Lack of direct physician communication could be related to reports from two articles published in 2013 and 2006 where both discussed that most medical schools do not train provide education about EOL care communication (Martin, 2013; Kopelman, 2006).

Wanting to provide a good death for a terminally-ill infant is a natural response for nurses providing EOL care. If a good death is provided, infants will experience less pain and families will receive needed emotional support. In a 2016 article, researchers asked nurses how they provided a good death for dying infants (Bloomer et al., 2016). Nurses stated they would collect keepsakes for family members to remember their dying infant, allow parents to hold their infant, and remove as much technology as possible prior to death (Bloomer et al., 2016).

Implications for NICU nurses

Though the physical environment in which EOL care is provided can be most difficult to manipulate, nurses can modify the existing environment by moving infants to more private corners or ends of rooms so that dying infants and families can have increased privacy. When new units are being built or remodeled, NICU nurses should be on planning committees providing input on unit design to facilitate privacy and adequate space for appropriate EOL care.

Clearer communication regarding prognoses and likely outcomes can also be reinforced by NICU nurses. By acting as leads in the coordination with interdisciplinary team members nurses can advocate for dying infants so that clear, uniform prognoses, along with possible care options, can be communicated to families.

NICU nurses can also conduct self-assessments of their current ability to provide quality EOL care. In a study published in 2015, researchers created a self-assessment tool to evaluate healthcare workers' current perceptions of EOL care (Cortezzo et al., 2015). The self-assessment had four sections: (1) individual opinions on EOL care, (2) opinions about current EOL care practices in the individual's work setting, (3) how well the healthcare team provides EOL care, and (4) the neonatologists' role in EOL care (Cortezzo et al., 2015). Questions in these sections asked: (1) if individuals felt they had received EOL care education, (2) if family's questions were answered, (3) if dying babies were comfortable during EOL care, (3) if pain management was provided, (4) if team communication occurred to clarify plan of care, and (5) if the neonatologist provided respectful honest communication about the baby's prognosis and other similar questions (Cortezzo et al., 2015). Nurses can use this self-assessment tool to evaluate their unit's current EOL care practices to identify, and then improve on, common issues.

Once nurses identify issues, coordination with appropriate healthcare team members to create and implement solutions to the obstacles identified in EOL care can be completed. NANN and AAP state that respectful, compassionate, and competent EOL care should be provided dying newborns and their families (American Academy of Pediatrics; Bai, 2015). As NICU nurses assess their unit's current EOL care practices, identify obstacles, and help implement solutions, compliance with and advocating for NANN and AAP standards will create better EOL care experiences for many dying babies and their families.

Limitations

Responses were only collected from members of NANN. NICU nurses from other professional organizations or those not part of a professional organization may have different suggestions to improve EOL care.

Conclusion

NICU nurses face a variety of obstacles in providing EOL care to dying infants and their families. While these obstacles vary in size and frequency for each unit, NICU nurses can be key in assessing, identifying, and working to create solutions to these obstacles to provide competent, respectful, and compassionate EOL care to dying infants and their families.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest, including financial, consultant, institutional, or other relationships that might lead to bias or conflict of interest.

References

American Academy of Pediatrics: Committee on Bioethics and Committee on Hospital Care. Palliative.

- Bai, J.H., 2015. End of life/palliative care in newborns and infants. *Asian J. Nurs. Edu. Res.* 5 (2), 297–299. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2349-2996.2015.00059.2>.
- Beckstrand, R.L., Kirchoff, K.T., 2005. Providing end-of-life care to patients: critical care nurses' perceived obstacles and supportive behaviors. *Am. J. Crit. Care* 14 (5), 395–403.
- Beckstrand, R.L., Smith, M.D., Heaston, S., Bond, A.E., 2008. Emergency nurses' perceptions of size, frequency, and magnitude of obstacles and supportive behaviors in end-of-life care. *J. Emerg. Nurs.* 34 (4), 290–300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jen.2007.09.004>.
- Beckstrand, R.L., Moore, J., Callister, L.C., Bond, A.E., 2009. Oncology nurses' perceptions of obstacles and supportive behaviors at the end of life. *Oncol. Nurs. Forum* 36 (4), 446–453. <https://doi.org/10.1188/09.ONF.446-453>.
- Beckstrand, R.L., Rawle, N.L., Callister, L., Mandelco, B.L., 2010. Pediatric nurses' perceptions of obstacles and supportive behaviors in end-of-life care. *Am. J. Crit. Care* 19 (6), 543–552. <https://doi.org/10.4037/ajcc2009497>. Epub 2009 Dec 21.
- Beckstrand, R.L., Wood, R.D., Callister, L.C., Luthy, K.E., Heaston, S., 2012a. Emergency nurses' suggestions for improving end-of-life care obstacles. *J. Emerg. Nurs.* 38 (5), e7–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jen.2012.03.008>.
- Beckstrand, R.L., Collette, J., Callister, L., Luthy, K.E., 2012b. Oncology nurses' obstacles and supportive behaviors in end-of-life care: providing vital family care. *Oncol. Nurs. Forum* 39 (5), E398–E406. <https://doi.org/10.1188/12.ONF.E398-E406>.
- Bloomer, M.J., Endacott, R., Copnell, B., O'Connor, M., 2016. 'Something normal in a very, very abnormal environment' –Nursing work to honour the life of dying infants and children in neonatal and paediatric intensive care in Australia. *Intensive Crit. Care Nurs.* 33, 5–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2015.09.001>. Epub 2015 Dec 11.
- Conway-Orgel, M., Edlund, B.J., 2015. Challenges in change: the perils and pitfalls of implementing a palliative care program in the neonatal intensive care unit. *J. Hosp. Palliat. Nurs.* 17 (3), 206–212.
- Cortezzo, D., Sanders, M., Brownell, E., Moss, K., 2015. End-of-life care in the neonatal intensive care unit: experiences of staff and parents. *Am. J. Perinatol.* 32 (8), 713–724. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1395475>.
- Field, M.J., Behrman, R.E. (Eds.), 2003. When children die: improving palliative and end-of-life care for children and their families. National Academies Press (US). <https://doi.org/10.17226/10845>.
- Kain, V.J., 2006. Palliative care delivery in the NICU: what barriers do neonatal nurses face? *Neonatal Netw* 25 (6), 3387–3392. <https://doi.org/10.1891/0730-0832.25.6.3873>.
- Kain, V., 2011. Exploring the barriers to palliative care practice in neonatal nursing: a focus group study. *Neonatal Paediatr. Child Health Nurs.* 14 (1), 9–14.
- Kirchoff, K.T., Beckstrand, R.L., 2000. Critical care nurses' perceptions of obstacles and helpful behaviors in providing end-of-life care to dying patients. *Am. J. Crit. Care* 9 (2), 96–105.
- Kopelman, A.E., 2006. Understanding, avoiding, and resolving end-of-life conflicts in the NICU. *Mt. Sinai J. Med.* 73 (3), 580–586. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16758093>.
- Martin, M., 2013. Missed opportunities: a case study of barriers to the delivery of palliative care on neonatal intensive care units. *Int. J. Palliat. Nurs.* 19 (5), 251–256. <https://doi.org/10.12968/ijpn.2013.19.5.251> care. for children. 2000. *Pediatrics*. 106(2):351-357. doi: 10.1542/peds.106.2.351.
- Moura, H., Costa, V., Rodrigues, M., Almeida, F., Maia, T., Guimarães, H., 2011. End of life in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Clinics* 66 (9), 1569–1572. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1807-59322011000900011>.
- Murphy, S.L., Xu, J., Kochanek, K.D., Curtin, S.C., Arias, E., 2017. National Vital Statistics Reports. Deaths: Final Data for 2015 66 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (6). November 27, 2017.
- Rombsberg, T.L., 2007. Building a case for neonatal palliative care. *Neonatal Netw.* <https://doi.org/10.1891/0730-0832.26.2.111>.
- Sundean, L.J., McGrath, J.M., 2013. Ethical considerations in the neonatal intensive care unit. *N. born Infant Nurs. Rev.* 13 (3), 117–120. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.nainr.2013.07.002>.
- Wright, V., Prasun, M.A., Hilgenberg, C., 2011. Why is end-of-life care delivery sporadic?: A quantitative look at the barriers to and facilitators of providing end-of-life care in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Adv. Neonatal Care* 11 (1), 29–36. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ANC.0b013e3182085642>.