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Abstract
TADDOL-derived P-donor ligands are of interest as ligands in transition metal catalyzed transformations; however, few studies have been conducted on the steric and electronic properties of P-donor ligands derived from TADDOL. To gain more insight into these properties four tetracarbonylmolybdenum(0) and two pentacarbonyltungsten(0) complexes of monodentate P-donor ligands derived from TADDOL have been studied. These studies show that exchanging the chloro substituent on the phosphorus for OMe increases the donor ability of the ligand and that the cis-tetracarbonylmolybdenum(0) complex of the ligand having the OMe substituent spontaneously isomerizes in solution. X-ray crystallographic analyses indicate that the orientations of the 1,3,2-dioxaphospholidine ring and the aryl substituents are not always conserved, even within the same complex.

1. Introduction
Since the original reports of the synthesis of TADDOLs by Seebach and coworkers [1], substituted TADDOLs have become important precursors to chiral organic molecules and chiral ligands in transition metal catalysts [2−5]. The interest in the use of TADDOLs is due to multiple factors: 1) TADDOL can easily be synthesized from the readily available chiral tartaric acid; 2) multiple P-donor ligands (phosphochloridite esters, phosphites, phosphoramidites and phosphonites) can easily be synthesized from the diol; 3) many derivatives of the TADDOL can easily be achieved by modifying the aryl substituents or the acetal ring (Fig. 1)[5].

Despite the successes of TADDOL-derived P-donor ligands in catalytic transformations, the coordination chemistry of these ligands in transition metal complexes has not been extensively studied. This is particularly true for TADDOL-derived phosphite ligands. As of this writing, the structure of only one coordination compound with a TADDOL-derived phosphite has been determined [4].

To better understand how TADDOL-derived phosphite ligands behave when coordinated to transition metals in catalytic systems, the coordination geometries and electronic properties of simple, monodentate phosphite ligands should be studied. In this paper, we present the syntheses, and the NMR spectroscopic and X-ray crystallographic characterizations of cis- and trans-Mo(CO)4 complexes with two TADDOL-derived monodentate P-donor ligands. The electronic properties of the ligands were determined by measuring their 3JWP coupling constants in their W(CO)5 complexes. A Rh(I) complex of the monodentate TADDOL-derived phosphite ligand was also evaluated as a catalyst for the hydroformylation of styrene to provide a baseline for future comparisons with catalysts having more complicated TADDOL-derived phosphites.

2. Results and discussion
2.1. Ligand synthesis and NMR characterization
The TADDOL-derived phosphochloridite ester (L1) was synthesized by a previously published literature protocol [6]. Scheme 1 shows the reaction pathway for the conversion of L1 into the monodentate TADDOL-derived phosphite ligand L2. The reagents and products involved in these reactions are highly air-sensitive; therefore, Schlenk techniques were used.

The 31P{1H} NMR spectrum of L2 displayed a singlet at 133.78 ppm that is shifted upfield relative to the 31P{1H} NMR
resonance of L1 (148.92 ppm). One peak is expected as the RR chirality of the starting dimethyl 2,3-O-isopropylidene-L-tartrate is neither lost in the formation of TADDOL nor in the formation of the P-donor ligands. The $^1$H NMR spectrum of L2 displays four distinct regions. The aromatic region shows a complex second-order resonance due to the multiple couplings within the aromatic rings between chemically and magnetically inequivalent protons. The C-H protons in the acetal ring give rise to two broad doublets. This splitting pattern appears to be due to coupling to the other acetal proton, which is magnetically inequivalent, as well as long range coupling to the phosphorus. The -POCH$_3$ protons give rise to two separate doubletts. This could be due to loss of the C$_2$ symmetry because of the pyramidal phosphorus and conformational equilibrium between different arrangements about the 1,3,2-dioxaphosphetane. Finally, the furthest upfield resonances are singlets due to the methyl substituents on the acetal ring.

L1 and L2 hydrolyze when exposed to air, therefore $^{31}$P($^1$H) and $^1$H NMR spectra were used to evaluate their purity. After the purity was determined, the ligands were used to synthesize coordination complexes with tetracarbonylmolybdenum and pentacarbonylnickel metal centers. Additionally, L2 was used in the Rh(I) catalyzed hydroformylation of styrene.

2.2. Styrene hydroformylation catalyzed by the Rh(I) complex of L2

Rhodium(I) complexes containing L2 were used as catalysts in the hydroformylation of styrene (Scheme 2). The results for these reactions are given in Table 1 and are compared to those obtained with an analogous P-donor ligand 2,2’-(C$_{12}$H$_8$O$_2$)POCH$_3$. L3. Two catalytic runs were performed at each set of conditions in order to measure reproducibility. The activities and regioselectivities were also measured under the same conditions in the absence of any P-donor ligand to determine the effects of the ligands.

Because the active catalyst forms in situ, the catalytically active species is not known. Possibilities include RhH(CO)$_2$($n$-phosphite)$_n$ ($n$ = 1, 0), HRh(CO)$_{2n}$ ($n$ = 3, 4), and rhodium carbonyl clusters depending on the reactions conditions [7–9]. Martin and co-workers showed that increasing the P-donor ligand concentration has no effect on the regioselectivity of the reaction but drastically decreases the activity. This suggests that the active catalyst is formed at low ratios of ligand to rhodium [10].

Catalytic runs at 80 °C and 20 atm of syn gas with L2 present in solution show increases in both iso-selectivity and activity when compared to those with only Rh(CO)$_2$(acac) but show decreases in both iso-selectivity and activity when compared to catalytic runs with the biphenol-derived P-donor ligand L3 in solution. These and previously reported results [10] suggest that the lower steric hindrance around the P-donor atom in L3 leads to a higher iso-selectivity and a more active catalyst under this set of reaction conditions. The catalytic runs with L2 present in solution showed a slight enantiomeric excess (3%) of the R enantiomer of the iso product.

It is well established that decreasing the temperature in the hydroformylation of styrene results in higher iso-selectivities and lower rates [11]. With this in mind, catalytic runs were conducted with L2 in solution at 45 °C. While the catalyst with L2 showed an iso-selectivity near 90%, a marked improvement over catalytic solutions containing only Rh(CO)$_2$(acac) at the same temperature, the reaction took more than 20 h to reach completion. At the lower temperatures, the enantiomeric excess increased slightly from 3% R to 7% R.

2.3. Model complexes

2.3.1. Use in studies of coordination geometries

During alkene hydroformylation, catalysts with Rh(I) metal centers exhibit numerous coordination geometries. Because of this, it is beneficial to study ligands used in such reactions in a variety of
chemical environments that model the coordinate geometries observed in these reactions. In addition, it is also possible to use coupling of NMR-active metal nuclei to phosphorus to evaluate the effect of substituents on the donor ability of the ligand [12–18] and the σ-character of the W-P bond [12,19].

2.4. Synthesis and characterization of molybdenum model complexes

The cis-Mo(CO)4(L)2 (L = L1, L2) complexes were synthesized using the synthetic pathway shown in Scheme 3 with dry DCM as the solvent. These reactions took no more than 2 h. Following each reaction, the mixture was evaporated to dryness, and the norbornadiene byproduct was removed through diffusion recrystallization using DCM/methanol. Some spontaneous cis/trans isomerization occurred during the purification of 2a. To determine the trans/cis equilibrium ratios for each complex, solid mercury(II) chloride was added to solutions of the complexes in THF-d6. The 31P{1H} NMR spectra were then obtained for each sample at regular intervals until an equilibrium had been established. Upon addition of the HgCl2, 2a immediately and completely transformed to trans-Mo(CO)4(L2)2 (2b). The isomerization of 1a to trans-Mo(CO)4(L1)2 (1b), whose 31P{1H} NMR spectra are shown in Fig. 2, took 5.25 h; reaching equilibrium at a 4:1 ratio of 1b to 1a. These reaction mixtures were then filtered through silica gel to obtain pure 1b and 2b.

The complexes (1a-2b) were characterized by 31P{1H}, 13C{1H}, and 1H NMR spectroscopy. The 31P{1H} NMR spectral data for all complexes in this study are summarized in Table 2. All the resonances of the molybdenum complexes are singlets. That of 1a showed an upfield shift of 5 ppm from that of L1, while that of 2a showed a downfield shift of nearly 26 ppm from that of L2. The very different coordination chemical shifts could be due to different conformational changes about the phosphorus upon coordination to the Mo(0) center. The resonances of the trans complexes, 1b and 2b, are downfield of those of their respective free ligands, and for the carbonyl cis to both P-donor ligands and for the carbonyl trans to the P-donor ligands. These doublets also have satellite peaks due to 185W isotope. The magnitudes of the 1JWC coupling constants allow the determination of the relative donor abilities of the P-donor ligands to be determined [12–18]. Based on the coupling constants in Table 2, replacing the chloro group in L1 with the OMe group in L2 increases the donor ability of the ligand. Likewise, the σ-character of the L1-W bond is slightly greater than is the σ-character of the L2-W bond.

2.5. Synthesis and characterization of tungsten model complexes

The W(CO)5L complexes (1c, 2c) were synthesized as shown in Scheme 4 using dry THF as the solvent. These reactions required up to 48 h to reach completion. Complexes 1c and 2c were characterized by 31P{1H}, 13C{1H}, and 1H NMR spectroscopy. The 31P{1H} NMR spectra of the tungsten complexes 1c and 2c each displays a singlet with a superimposed doublet due to the 185W isotope (1/2). While the resonances of both complexes are upfield of those of their respective free ligands, the resonance of 1c shows a great upfield shift than does that of 2c.

The 13C{1H} NMR spectra of 1c and 2c show doublets due to the carbonyls cis to both P-donor ligands and for the carbonyl trans to the P-donor ligands. These doublets also have satellite peaks due to the 185W isotope. The 1H NMR spectra of 1c and 2c are similar to those of 1a and 2b; however, the splitting patterns are less complex in 1c and 2c presumably due to the presence of only one P-donor ligand. The magnitudes of the 1JWQ coupling constants allow the determination of the relative donor abilities of the P-donor ligands to be determined [12–18]. Based on the coupling constants in Table 2, replacing the chloro group in L1 with the OMe group in L2 increases the donor ability of the ligand. Likewise, the σ-character of the L1-W bond is slightly greater than is the σ-character of the L2-W bond.

2.6. X-ray crystal structures of model complexes

X-ray quality crystals of most of the metal complexes synthesized in this research were obtained. The details of the individual data collections are in Table S1. In each case, the X-ray data confirmed the coordination geometry and agreed with NMR spectroscopic analyses. Select bond lengths and angles are given in Tables 3–4 and the structural diagrams are shown in Figs. 3–7. All the structures were solved without issue.

Each of the molybdenum carbonyl complexes has a distorted octahedral metal center. The largest distortion from the ideal angle is in the P-Mo-P bonds in 1a and 2a (99.29(5)° and 103.20(5)°, respectively) and in the C-Mo-P angles for the carbonyl ligands cis

![Scheme 3. Synthesis of 1a and 2a.](image-url)
to both of the P-donor ligands, which deviate significantly from the ideal 90°. None of the structures exhibited significantly different C-O bond lengths for carbonyls cis to both the P-donor ligands and those trans to one of the P-donor ligands as might be expected due to the different orbital overlap [20,21]. With exception for 1b, the Mo-P bond lengths are also within the average determined by Mogul 2.440(17) [22].

It is of interest to determine if each of the P-donor ligands exhibits a similar conformation within each complex and between the complexes. The most basic aspect of the ligand conformation is the conformation of the 1,3,2-dioxaphosphane ring. Fig. 8 shows that the seven torsion angles around the 1,3,2-dioxaphosphane ring have similar orientations and the angles, with the exception of one

![Fig. 2. cis/trans isomerization reaction of 1a to 1b with catalytic HgCl₂.](image)

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Free Ligand</th>
<th>cis-Mo(CO)₄(L)₂</th>
<th>trans-Mo(CO)₄(L)₂</th>
<th>W(CO)₅(L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L₁</td>
<td>148.92 (s)</td>
<td>143.08 (s)</td>
<td>149.2 (s)</td>
<td>110.89 (s), 110.89 (d, JWP = 432.5 Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L₂</td>
<td>133.78 (s)</td>
<td>159.54 (s)</td>
<td>168.18 (s)</td>
<td>132.89 (s), 132.91 (d, JWP = 403.3 Hz)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Scheme 4. Synthesis of 1c and 2c.](image)

![Fig. 3. Solid-state structure of 1a, Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level, hydrogen atoms and solvent are omitted for clarity.](image)
ligand in 1b, and that these torsion angles fall within a range of about 20°. In the conserved conformation, the oxygen and non-bridgehead carbons are planar or nearly planar. The phosphorus atom is above this plane while the bridgehead carbons are twisted about this plane. This twist is due to the chirality of the starting alcohol and can also be seen in the structure of the previously synthesized trans-PdCl2 complex of a polyether derived TADDOL ligand [4]. In the unique conformation (P1 of 2a), the ligand exhibits a more symmetrical orientation with a pseudo-C2 rotation axis through the phosphorus and the quaternary carbon in the acetal ring. It is interesting to note that the preferred conformation of the 1,3,2-dioxaphosphepane ring is found in the structure of 1c, which is a pentacarbonyltungsten(0) complex that contains only a single P-donor ligand that should experience minimal intramolecular steric interactions.

A related aspect of the ligand conformation is the orientation of the phenyl rings relative to the 1,3,2-dioxaphosphepane rings. These are determined by the torsion angles about the bonds between the ipso-phenyl carbons and the 1,3,2-dioxaphosphepane rings. The torsion angles reported in Table 5 are calculated using the adjacent 1,3,2-dioxaphosphepane ring oxygen and the orthophenyl carbon that gives the smaller torsion angle. The torsions in 1a and 2a are equal in sign and similar in magnitude. This could be expected as the ligands in the cis complexes are held in close proximity. However, in the trans complexes and in the pentacarbonyltungsten(0) complex, the torsion angles of the aryl rings are not conserved. This suggests that fewer orientations are possible when the ligands are adjacent to one another.

A comparison of the conformations of the 1,3,2-dioxaphosphhepane rings and aryl groups in the TADDOL-derived P-donor ligands in the same Mo(CO)4 complexes is given by the wireframe overlays of the ligands in Fig. 9. These overlays indicate that the conformations of the ligands are most conserved in 2b. The conformations of the ligands are also quite similar in 2b, but the methoxy and molybdenum exchange positions on the phosphorus. The greatest differences in the ligand conformations are seen in 1b in which the different 1,3,2-dioxaphosphhepane ring conformations result in very different aryl ring conformations.
The fact that the ligand conformations are more similar in the cis complexes suggests that a requirement for ligands designed to yield high enantioselectivities in the hydroformylation of styrene would be backbones that hold the TADDOL-derived P-donor groups in close proximity and restrict the conformational mobility of the 1,3,2-dioxaphosphepane rings and their aryl substituents. This approach is seen in the TADDOL-derived P-donor ligands with o-catechol-derived backbones reported by Breit and coworkers [3g].

To determine how the arrangement of the aryl rings affect the steric bulk of the phosphorus donor ligands, cone angles (\(\theta\)) were determined for each ligand (Table 6) [23–25]. The complex with the smallest difference in cone angles is 2a (2.4°), which is expected given the similarities in the conformations of the two ligands. The other complexes have cone angles that differ by 10°–15° with all the cone angles falling within a 20° range.

### 3. Conclusion

The first family of TADDOL-derived P-donor ligands coordinated to (CO)\(_4\)Mo(0) centers have been prepared and have been characterized by \(^{31}\)P{\(^1\)H}, \(^{13}\)C{\(^1\)H}, and \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopies and by X-ray crystallography. These results show that the orientation of the 7-membered 1,3,2-dioxaphosphepane ring is conserved in all but one of the nine ligands coordinated to Mo(0) centers. The ability of these ligands to exhibit different conformations could affect the chirality transfer in catalysis to prochiral substrates. This suggests that better chirality transfer could potentially be seen in ligands that have less conformational flexibility, like bidentate bridging ligands. Also, the spontaneous cis/trans isomerization of 2a to 2b was observed. An equilibrium ratio of 4:1 (1b:1a) was observed when HgCl\(_2\) was added to solution containing 1a.

A Rh(I) complex of L\(_2\) was evaluated as a catalyst for the HF of styrene. This ligand showed improved activity and regioselectivity over solutions that contained no ligand under all reaction conditions tested. At 45°C, these complexes showed an iso-selectivity of nearly 90%; however, the lower temperature resulted in a diminished catalytic activity. The enantioselectivity of the reaction increased as the temperature was lowered, but never rose above a 7% ee of the R enantiomer. Backbones that lead to less conformational variability may lead to better chirality transfer and therefore higher enantioselectivities.

Complexes with TADDOL-derived P-donor ligands coordinated to (CO)\(_5\)W(0) centers have been prepared and have been characterized by \(^{31}\)P{\(^1\)H}, \(^{13}\)C{\(^1\)H}, and \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopies and X-ray crystallography. The magnitudes of the \(J_{WP}\) coupling constants in these complexes are useful in determining the relative donor abilities of the P-donor ligands and demonstrate that L1 is a better donor than L2.

This study is the first to date that probes the coordination of TADDOL-derived phosphate ligands to octahedral metal centers. Octahedral geometries are often observed in transition metal catalyzed reactions. Due to this, it would be of continued interest to study complexes with TADDOL-derived P-donor ligands coordinated to octahedral metal centers.

---

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>1a</th>
<th>2a</th>
<th>1b</th>
<th>2b</th>
<th>1c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>41.49</td>
<td>34.86</td>
<td>–30.96</td>
<td>–42.95(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>25.07</td>
<td>39.45</td>
<td>–46.13</td>
<td>–15.25(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>–44.33</td>
<td>–46.17</td>
<td>–38.19</td>
<td>–46.46</td>
<td>26.8(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>–22.34</td>
<td>–25.01</td>
<td>–18.45</td>
<td>–19.71</td>
<td>44.9(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>46.28</td>
<td>42.84</td>
<td>–23.15</td>
<td>–19.53</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>38.61</td>
<td>33.51</td>
<td>–24.38</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>–59.94</td>
<td>–50.3</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>–40.51</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>–24.53</td>
<td>–23.06</td>
<td>47.24</td>
<td>–21.19</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>1a</th>
<th>1b</th>
<th>1c</th>
<th>2a</th>
<th>2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>154.6</td>
<td>154.4</td>
<td>147.8</td>
<td>151.7</td>
<td>135.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>140.4</td>
<td>141.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>154.1</td>
<td>145.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 8.** a) Ligand from 1a with 1,3,2-dioxaphosphane torsion angles numbered. b) the 1,3,2-dioxaphosphane torsion angles for all complexes.

**Fig. 9.** a) wireframe overlay of both ligands of 1a. b) wireframe overlay of both ligands of 1b. c) wireframe overlay of both ligands of 2a. d) wireframe overlay of both ligands of 2b.
study bidentate TADDOL-derived phosphate ligands coordinated to similar metal centers.

4. Experimental

4.1. Materials and methods

Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was initially dried over MgSO4 for at least 12 h, then distilled from CaH2 and finally distilled from Na/benzophenone. It was stored over molecular sieves (3 Å; 8–12 mesh) and used within a few hours. Toluene was dried by distillation from Na and stored over molecular sieves (3 Å; 8–12 mesh). Dichloromethane (DCM) was dried by distillation from CaH2 and stored over molecular sieves (3 Å; 8–12 mesh). Triethylamine was initially dried over KOH for a minimum of 12 h, distilled from Na/benzophenone, and stored over molecular sieves (3 Å; 8–12 mesh). Other solvents were reagent grade and were degassed using high-purity (99.998%) nitrogen before use. Literature procedures were used to prepare cis-Mo(CO)4(CH3)2 (nbd = norbornadiene) [26], W(CO)5(acac) [27] (acac = acetylacetone), Rh(CO)2(acac) (acac = acetonitrile), and vacuum drying yielded 0.57 g (88%) of spectroscopically pure L2 as a colorless solid. cis-Mo(CO)4(CH3)2 (nbd = norbornadiene) was dried over KOH for a minimum of 12 h, distilled from Na/benzoquinone (5 mesh). Other solvents were distilled from Na and stored over molecular sieves (3 Å; 8–12 mesh). Methanol was stored over molecular sieves (3 Å; 8–12 mesh) and vacuum dried. The THF was filtered through silica gel. The THF was removed by distillation of the filtrate by rotary evaporation to yield crude 1b as an off-white powder. Recrystallization from DCM/methanol yielded 0.22 g (47%) of analytically pure 1a as an off-white powder. Recrystallization from DCM/methanol yielded 0.22 g (47%) of analytically pure 1a in the form of colorless crystals. Anal. Calc for C31H28O4POCH3 (CH3)2): 81.84 (s, TADDOL-CH), 79.19 (s, CH3). 

4.2. Characterization

NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker DRX-400, Avance-500, and Avance-700 MHz spectrometers. Solutions of the complexes in deuterated solvents were prepared under a stream of N2 gas, and all NMR experiments were performed at room temperature. The 31P{1H} NMR spectra were referenced to external 85% H3PO4 in a co-solvent CDCl3, and the 13C{1H} and 1H NMR spectra were referenced to internal ppm. Some assignments were based on 2D NMR spectra (1H–13C HSQC, 1H–1H 3JHH). Elemental analyses (C and H) were performed by Atlantic Microbals, Inc.

cis-Mo(CO)4(CH3)2 (L2). A solution of 0.066 g (1.2 mmol) of L1 in 25.0 mL of dry THF was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 0.050 mL (1.2 mmol) methanol and 0.17 mL (1.2 mmol) of dry triethylamine in 25 mL of dry THF. After addition was completed, the dropping funnel was washed with 10.0 mL of dry THF, and the reaction mixture was then stirred for 2 h at room temperature under a constant stream of N2(g). The solution was then cannula transferred to a dry 200 mL fritted funnel containing a mixture of Celite and basic alumina and filtered via positive N2 pressure into a 100 mL Schlenk flask to remove the triethylammonium chloride precipitate byproduct and any hydrolysis byproducts. The residue in the filter was next washed with two 10 mL portions of dry THF. Removal of the solvent from the filtrate through rotary evaporation and vacuum drying yielded 0.57 g (88%) of spectroscopically pure L2 as a colorless solid. 31P{1H} NMR (chloroform-d): δ 133.78 (s). 1H NMR (chloroform-d): δ 7.55–7.51 (m, 4H, Ar-CH), 7.46–7.45 (m, 2H, Ar-CH), 7.36–7.14 (m, 14H, Ar-CH), 5.07 (bd, 1H, JHH = 8 Hz, TADDOL-CH), 5.02 (bd, 1H, JHH = 8 Hz, TADDOL-CH), 3.48 (d, 1.5H, JHH = 10 Hz, POCH3), 3.47 (d, 1.5H, JHH = 10 Hz, POCH3), 0.91 (s, 3H, CH3), 0.42 (s, 3H, CH3).

cis-Mo(CO)4(CH3)2 (L2). A solution of 0.40 g (0.75 mmol of L1 and 0.10 g (0.37 mmol) cis-Mo(CO)4(CH3)2 in 25.0 mL of dry THF was stirred at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere for 2 h. Then, the THF was removed by rotary evaporation to yield a brown solid. The solid product was triturated with 15.0 mL methanol and dried in vacuo overnight to yield crude 1a as an off-white powder. Recrystallization from DCM/methanol yielded 0.22 g (47%) of analytically pure 1a in the form of colorless crystals. Anal. Calc for C31H28O4POCH3 (CH3)2: C, 61.57; H, 4.40. Found: C, 61.52; H, 4.65. 31P{1H} NMR (chloroform-d): δ 143.08 (s). 1H NMR (chloroform-d): δ 7.58 (d, JHH = 8 Hz, 2H, Ar-CH), 7.56 (d, JHH = 8 Hz, 2H, Ar-CH), 7.38 (d, JHH = 8 Hz, 2H, Ar-CH), 7.28–7.09 (m, 12H, Ar-CH), 5.94 (d, JHH = 8 Hz, 1H, TADDOL-CH), 4.97 (d, JHH = 8 Hz, 1H, TADDOL-CH), 1.29 (s, 3H, CH3), 0.22 (s, 3H, CH3). 13C{1H} NMR (chloroform-d): δ 211.77 (m, trans-CO), 205.90 (t, JCP = 15 Hz, cis-CO), 144.79 (bs, TADDOL-Cq), 143.37 (s, Ar-Cq), 139.89 (bs, TADDOL-Cq), 139.40 (s, Ar-Cq), 129.18 (bs, Ar-CH), 129.03 (s, Ar-CH), 128.17 (s, Ar-CH), 128.89 (s, Ar-CH), 127.85 (s, Ar-CH), 127.81 (s, Ar-CH), 127.79 (s, Ar-CH), 127.77 (s, Ar-CH), 127.52 (s, Ar-CH), 127.44 (s, Ar-CH), 127.28 (s, Ar-CH), 127.04 (s, Ar-CH), 112.82 (s, C(CH3)2), 81.84 (s, TADDOL-CH), 79.19 (s, Ar-CH), 27.64 (s, CH3), 25.23 (s, CH3).
The progress of the reaction was monitored using the Agilent software. The activity of the catalyst was expressed in terms of a pseudo-first order rate constant \( k \). The pressure drop versus time data was fit to the natural exponential equation \( P = (P_0 - P_i) e^{-kt} \), where \( P_0 \) is the pressure drop \( (P_0 - P_i) \), \( P_i \) is the final pressure, and \( k \) is the pseudo-first order rate constant, using Graphical Analysis version 3.4 [29]. The regioselectivities (% \( \text{cis} \)) were determined from integrations of the \( ^1H \) NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures at the ends of the reactions. Enantioselectivities were measured using a chiral Supelco \( \beta \)-DEX 225 column and a Shimadzu GC/MS-QP5000 Spectrometer following the method developed by Whiteker and Kloosin [30].

4.4. X-ray data collection and solution

A suitable single crystal was mounted on a MicroLoop (MiTeGen, Inc.) after coating in Paratone-N oil (Hampton Research Corp.). Data were collected at the specified temperature with a Bruker CCD diffractometer (SMART APEX2) fitted with a low temperature device (Oxford Cryostream). The diffractometer used graphite monochromated Cu \( K_{\alpha} \) radiation with a detector distance of 1 cm. The program SAINT was used to collect and reduce the data [31]. Unit cell constants are based upon the refinement of the XYZ-centroids found using a standard indexing routine (APEX2) [32]. All data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and were scaled using the numerical method SADABS [33]. The structure was solved and refined using the Bruker SHELXTL Software Package [34]. Heavy atoms were found using either Direct Methods or Pattersons, and the remainder of the non-hydrogen atoms were located in difference Fourier maps. Full-matrix least-squares refinement on \( F^2 \) was performed on positional and anisotropic parameters for these atoms. Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions with the appropriate molecular geometry (\( \text{H} - \text{C} = 0.96 \AA \)). The isotropic thermal parameter associated with each hydrogen atom was fixed equal to 1.2 times the \( U_{eq} \) of the atom to which it is bound. CCDC 1871695 (1a), 1871696 (1b), 1871697 (2a), 1871698 (2b), 1877439 (1c) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. The data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.
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