

CORRESPONDENCE

Nordic Walking in Patients with Peripheral Artery Disease

We read, with great interest, the article entitled “Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Clinical Trials Examining the Benefit of Exercise Programmes Using Nordic Walking in Patients With Peripheral Artery Disease” by Golledge et al.¹

Although they reported no significant advantage to Nordic walking (NW) over standard exercise, other individual studies have suggested otherwise.^{2–4} Girolid et al. and Spafford et al. concluded that NW significantly improved walking distance after four and 12 weeks, respectively.^{3,4} This discordance may explain the significant quantitative (I^2 54–99%), and qualitative heterogeneity between studies,¹ arising from control differences (medical therapy, supervised treadmill walking) and age.² In addition, mean walking distance was estimated by multiplying the treadmill speed by walking time for some studies;² however, Table 1 highlights an alternative method, as multiplying summary effects do not give the summary quotient or product.

Table 1. Hypothetical example of deriving walking distance from multiplication of exercise duration and walking			
Study	Exercise duration (s)	Walking speed (m/s)	Walking distance (m)
A	1	2	2
B	2	4	8
C	3	6	18
D	4	8	32
E	5	10	50
F	6	12	72
G	7	14	98
H	8	16	128
I	9	18	162
J	10	20	200
Average	5.5	11	77

Product of average exercise duration and average walking = 5.5 s × 11 m/s = 60.5 m; however, arithmetic average of actual walking distance is 77 m.

REFERENCES

- 1 Golledge J, Maarij K, Moxon JV, Beard JD, Girolid S, Wrang H, et al. Systematic Review and meta-analysis of clinical trials examining the benefit of exercise programmes using nordic walking in patients with peripheral artery disease. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2018;56: 534–43.
- 2 Collins EG, O’Connell S, McBurney C, Jelinek C, Butler J, Reda D, et al. Comparison of walking with poles and traditional walking for peripheral arterial disease rehabilitation. *J Cardiopulm Rehab Prev* 2012;32:210–8.
- 3 Spafford C, Oakley C, Beard JD. Randomized clinical trial comparing Nordic pole walking and a standard home exercise programme in patients with intermittent claudication. *Br J Surg* 2014;101:760–7.
- 4 Girolid S, Rousseau J, Le Gal M, Coudeyre E, Le Henaff J. Nordic walking versus walking without poles for rehabilitation with

cardiovascular disease: randomized controlled trial. *Ann Phys Rehab Med* 2017;60:223–9.

Ian J.Y. Wee
 SingVaSC, Singapore Vascular Surgical Collaborative,
 Singapore
 Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of
 Singapore, Singapore

Nicholas Syn
 SingVaSC, Singapore Vascular Surgical Collaborative,
 Singapore

Andrew M.T.L. Choong*
 SingVaSC, Singapore Vascular Surgical Collaborative,
 Singapore
 Cardiovascular Research Institute, National University of
 Singapore, Singapore
 Department of Surgery, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine,
 National University of Singapore, Singapore
 Division of Vascular Surgery, National University Heart
 Centre, Singapore

*Corresponding author. Department of Cardiac, Thoracic and Vascular Surgery, National University Heart Centre, 9th Floor, National University Health System (NUHS) Tower Block, 1E Kent Ridge Road, 119228, Singapore. Email-address: suramctl@nus.edu.sg (Andrew M.T.L. Choong)

© 2018 European Society for Vascular Surgery. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvs.2018.10.019>

Response to ‘Re. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Clinical Trials Examining the Benefit of Exercise Programs Using Nordic Walking in Patients with Peripheral Artery Disease’

We thank Dr. Ian Wee and colleagues for their letter regarding our recent systematic review on Nordic walking for peripheral artery disease.¹ As was pointed out in the review,¹ the findings of prior clinical trials of Nordic walking in patients with peripheral artery disease have varied. One trial reported that patients randomised to a supervised programme of standard walking had better outcomes than those allocated a supervised programme of Nordic walking.² This contrasts with the findings from other trials, which reported no difference or better outcomes from Nordic walking.^{3–6} Importantly, all the included trials had small sample sizes and when findings were summarised in a meta-analysis there was no significant advantage of Nordic walking over standard

walking. As pointed out by Dr. Ian Wee *et al.* some of the treadmill data needed to be converted from time to distance, but this did not have any important influence on the findings of the analysis, as data from six minute walk tests (which did not require conversion) showed similar findings.¹ In general, meta-analyses of multiple randomised trials are considered to provide more reliable evidence than findings from a single randomised trial.

As pointed out in the discussion,¹ this meta-analysis does not rule out a small or moderate benefit (or detriment) of Nordic walking vs. standard walking as prior clinical trials have been too small to rule this out. Similar to Dr. Wee *et al.*, we are hopeful that a much larger clinical trial of Nordic walking will be conducted to reliably assess any such moderate treatment effects.

REFERENCES

- 1 Golledge J, Maarij K, Moxon JV, Beard JD, Girolid S, Wrang H, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials examining the benefit of exercise programmes using Nordic walking in patients with peripheral artery disease. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2018;**56**: 534–43.
- 2 Collins EG, O'Connell S, McBurney C, Jelinek C, Butler J, Reda D, et al. Comparison of walking with poles and traditional walking for peripheral artery disease rehabilitation. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev* 2012;**32**:210–8.
- 3 Bulińska K, Kropielnicka K, Jasiński T, Wojcieszczyk-Latos J, Pilch U, Dąbrowska G, et al. NPW improves walking capacity in patients with IC: a randomized controlled trial. *Disabil Rehabil* 2016;**38**:1318–24.
- 4 Girolid S, Rousseau J, Le Gal M, Coudeyre E, Le Henaff J. Nordic walking versus walking without poles for rehabilitation with cardiovascular disease: randomized controlled trial. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* 2017;**60**:223–9.
- 5 Spafford C, Oakley C, Beard JD. Randomized clinical trial comparing Nordic pole walking and a standard home exercise program in patients with intermittent claudication. *Br J Surg* 2014;**101**:760–7.
- 6 Langbein WE, Collins EG, Orebaugh C, Maloney C, Williams KJ, Littooy FN, et al. Increasing exercise tolerance of persons limited by claudication pain using polestriding. *J Vasc Surg* 2002;**35**:887–93.

Jonathan Golledge*, Joseph Moxon, Dylan Morris
Queensland Research Centre for Peripheral Vascular
Disease, College of Medicine and Dentistry, James Cook
University, Townsville, Queensland, Australia

*Corresponding author. Queensland Research Centre for
Peripheral Vascular Disease, College of Medicine and
Dentistry, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland
4811, Australia.

Email-address: jonathan.golledge@jcu.edu.au
(Jonathan Golledge)

Crown Copyright © 2018 Published by Elsevier B.V. on
behalf of European Society for Vascular Surgery. All rights
reserved.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvs.2018.10.014>
DOI of original article: [https://doi.org/10.1016/
j.ejvs.2018.10.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvs.2018.10.019)