Sensitivity of food spoilage fungi to a smoke generator sanitizer

Angélica Olivier Bernardi, Tamires Santos da Silva, Andrieli Stefanello, Marcelo Valle Garcia, Gilson Parussolo, Rosa C. Prestes Dornelles, Marina Venturini Copetti*

Federal University of Santa Maria, Department of Food Technology and Science, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil

ABSTRACT

Smoke generator sanitizers are easy to handle and can access to hard-to-reach places. They are a promising alternative for controlling food and air borne fungi, which are known to cause losses in the bakery, meat, and dairy industries. Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate the efficiency of a smoke generator sanitizer based on orthophenylphenol against ten fungal species relevant to food spoilage. The tests were carried out according to the norms by the French protocol NF-T-72281, with adaptations specific for disinfectants diffused in the air. The tests were performed in an enclosed room of approximately $32\,m^3$. *Aspergillus brasiliensis* (ATCC 16404), *Candida albicans* (ATCC 10231), *Aspergillus flavus* (ATCC 9643), *Aspergillus chevalieri* (IMI 211382), *Cladosporium cladosporioides* (IMI 158517), *Lichtheima corymbifera* (CCT 4485), *Mucor hiemalis* (CCT 4561), *Penicillium commune* (CCT 7683), *Penicillium polonicum* (NGT 33/12), and *Penicillium roqueforti* (IMI 217568) were exposed to the smoke generator sanitizer for 7 h. The product was efficient against *C. albicans* and *C. cladosporioides*, although it was unable to reduce 4 log of the other tested species. The variable sensitivity of the fungal species to the sanitizer emphasizes the importance of confronting a target microorganism (causing problems in a specific food industry) with the sanitizer aiming to control it and obtain satisfactory results in hygiene programs.

1. Introduction

Fungi are widely distributed in the environment and can be found in the air, water, and soil. In order to adapt to their surroundings, these microorganisms must have different parameters of multiplication, sporulation capacity and dissemination (Pitt and Hocking, 2009). Airborne fungi are dispersed in the air in the form of small propagules and spread through airflow in the production environments of food industry facilities. This action is facilitated by the activity of collaborators, communication between distinct rooms, floor drains, and the ventilation system. These fungal particles, which are mostly spores, act as a contamination source at the location in which they settle in (Hedrick and Heldman, 1969).

After contaminating the food, the multiplication of these microorganisms can lead to product spoilage, promoting considerable economic losses, and in some cases, even pose risks to consumer health (Filtenborg et al., 1996; Pitt and Hocking, 2009; Samson et al., 2004). However, the negative economic impact can be minimized by contamination prevention and attention to the factors that enable microbial multiplication in food products (Asefa et al., 2010). Adopting

hygienic-sanitary measures, such as effective cleaning and sanitization methods, can reduce the contamination of surfaces and the environment to adequate levels (Kuaye, 2017). It is well known that clean environments have reduced microbial counts and, therefore, less initial microbial load in processed foods, which extends their shelf life.

Smoke generator sanitizers are easy to handle, can access hard-to-reach places, and exert their effect during the exposure period while leaving little or no residue. These agents can be an important alternative for microbial control in food industries (Sholberg et al., 2004). In general, there is great concern to prevent bacterial development due to food safety issues. On the other hand, fungi are commonly identified just when the problem reaches considerable magnitudes, which results in food waste and economic losses (Dagnas et al., 2017; Garnier et al., 2017). Aerial dispersion of spores is considered a crucial point in controlling food spoilage fungi (Samson et al., 2004). Smoke generator agents are usually cheap and easy to use, making them a viable alternative to the bakery, meat, and dairy industries. These industries are the most affected by fungal deterioration, being air contamination a critical point for spore dissemination (Chitarra and Chitarra, 2005).

Phenolic sanitizers, such as orthophenylphenol (OPP) ($C_{12}H_{10}O$),

---

are broad-spectrum, low-toxicity germicides, and their action is not impaired by the presence of organic matter. In addition to their recommended use on floors, footbaths, and having little residual activity (Grezzi, 2008), phenolic sanitizers can be used to decontaminate ambient air if used as smoke generator agents.

In Brazil, the use of orthophenylphenol-based disinfectant agents is authorized to sanitize food-processing environments and related industries. Moreover, the disinfectant tested in the present study is the first authorized in the country for this purpose (ANVISA (National Health Surveillance Agency), 2013). Orthophenylphenol-based disinfectant agents should be applied to food industry environments without the presence of food, even if no significant residual effects on citrus fruit are observed and they are below the residual limits recommended by Codex Alimentarius (10 mg Kg^{-1}) and the European Union (5 mg Kg^{-1}) (Besil et al., 2016). Orthophenylphenol exhibited low acute toxicity in animal experiments (Bomhard et al., 2002). The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classifies OPP as belonging to Group 3 (not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans) (IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer), 1999). Moreover, this organic compound is known to cause irritation to the skin, eye, and respiratory tract (PubChem, 2018), and, as a result, it should be manipulated with caution.

In countries close to Brazil, such as Uruguay, OPP or its sodium salt are used as a specific post-harvest fungicide (Besil et al., 2016). In other countries, such as the United States and European Union (EU) countries, this compound is most commonly used to decontaminate hospital areas. Some EU countries often employ this agent as a pesticide and preservative for citrus fruit and vegetables because of its efficacy as a biocide against bacteria, fungi, and yeasts (CDC, 2018; FAO, 1999; Coelhan et al., 2006).

Data on the antifungal activity of smoke generator agents against fungal species involved with food spoilage were not found in the literature. Therefore, this study aimed to verify the efficiency of an OPP-based fumigant sanitizer dispersed, in the air in the form of dry smoke, against fungal species present in the air of food industries and commonly involved in the deterioration of food products.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Smoke generator sanitizer, recommended concentrations, and test room

The tests were performed in an enclosed room, which was exclusively used and prepared for this test, of approximately 32 m^3.

The product tested was an OPP 15% w/w smoke generator disinfectant. This product is commercialized in Brazil and authorized to sanitize food industry environments without the presence of food or raw materials. The product is presented in a cylindrical tin packaging format. Firing of the foot fumigation chamber was done by removing the seal and wick detachment. Then, it was lit and the smoke immediately dispersed, which quickly covered all the space of the test room. The sanitizer can was lit on the floor according to instructions in the manufacturer's manual.

The manufacturer indicates an OPP concentration of 1 g of product per m^3 of for this type of utility and exposure time of 7 h (the place of application must remain closed for 7 h and, once opened, the environment must be ventilated for at least 15 min before personal entrance). It is also recommended to clean the surfaces and equipment before reusing them.

2.2. Microorganisms used and standardization of the initial inoculum

Ten strains (2 standard strains for the sanitizing tests: *Aspergillus brasiliensis* (ATCC 16404) and *Candida albicans* (ATCC 10231); and 8 strains commonly related to ambient air and food product spoilage: *Aspergillus flavus* (ATCC 9643); *Aspergillus chevalieri* (Syn. *Eurotium chevalieri*) (IMI 211382); *Cladosporium cladosporioides* (IMI 158517);

Lichtheima corymbifera (Syn. *Absidia corymbifera*) (CCT 4485); *Mucor hiemalis* (CCT 4561); *Penicillium commune* (CCT 7683); *Penicillium polonicum* (NGT 33/12); *Penicillium roqueforti* (IMI 217568)) were used. For preservation and quality assurance of viable cells, all strains were lyophilized and kept under refrigeration during the experiment.

For the initial spore solution preparation, tubes containing Malt Extract Agar (MEA) [glucose, 20 g (Neon, São Paulo, Brazil); peptone, 1 g (Himedia, Mumbai, India); malt extract, 30 g (Bacto™, MD, USA); metal traces, 1 mL; distilled water, 1 L], were inoculated with each fungal strain, followed by incubation for 7 days at 25 °C. Spores were collected by scraping the mycelium using sterile aqueous solution of polysorbate 80% (0.05%). Spore concentration was standardized in 10^2, 10^3, 10^4, and 10^5 spores/mL to evaluate the neutralization efficiency of the sanitizing effect of the product and 10^8 spores/mL for *in vitro* efficacy of the antifungal activity of the smoke generator sanitizer. Neutralization efficiency was confirmed after dilution in 0.1% peptone water [peptone, 0.1 g (Himedia, Mumbai, India); distilled water, 1 L] a Neubauer chamber and confirmed by inoculation in plates containing MEA and incubation for 5 days at 25 °C.

2.3. Evaluation of in vitro antifungal efficacy of the OPP smoke generator

The tests were carried out following the norms of the French protocol NF-T-72281 (Norme Française, NF T 72-281, 2014), with adaptations specific for sanitizers diffused by air. The test is illustrated in Fig. 1.

As carriers of microorganisms, 304 stainless steel discs of 2 cm diameter (TSM inox®, Santa Maria, Brazil), which were previously treated with 5% Triton ™ X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) for 60 min to remove impurities, were used. The disks were rinsed and left immersed in 70% (v/v) isopropanol for 24 h (Neon, São Paulo, Brazil).

2.3.1. Neutralizer efficacy evaluation

A neutralization step was carried out to ensure that the action of the fumigant agent only occurred during the test exposure time. Neutralization was performed with a solution containing casein tryptone 1 g/L, sodium chloride 8.5 g/L, polysorbate 80% 5 g/L, and a denominated recovery liquid (Jaenisch et al., 2010; Norme Française, NF T 72-281, 2014).

Previous tests were performed to evaluate the neutralization efficiency of the sanitizing effect of the product, as indicated in NF-T-72281. Two discs not contaminated with the fungal inoculum were used for this test. The discs were exposed to the fumigant for 7 h in order for the sanitizer to impregnate them.

Then, the impregnated discs were immersed in 100 mL of the previously described neutralizing liquid (recovery liquid) for 5 min. A 1 mL aliquot of the homogenate was then added to the sterile Petri dishes, followed by 1 mL of the inoculum previously adjusted at 10^2, 10^3, 10^4, and 10^5 spores/mL. Finally, 20 mL of Malt Extract Agar (malt extract, food grade, 30 g/L, 15 g/L agar) was added, homogenized, and left to solidify (pour plating).

The plates were incubated at 25 °C for 5 days. Afterwards, the colonies were counted and the neutralization effectiveness of the results compared with the positive control while following the tests described below.

2.3.2. Evaluation of in vitro antifungal efficacy

The smoke generator sanitizer efficacy test was performed by inoculating discs with 50 μL of a suspension of fungal spores previously adjusted to 10^8 spores/mL followed by the addition of 0.05% reconstituted skim milk powder (Elegê, São Paulo, Brazil), which simulated the presence of organic matter present in the environment. Five discs were inoculated with the fungi to be tested in each experiment. Three discs were exposed to the agent and used to test the sanitizer action. The other two discs were not exposed to the sanitizer and used as positive control of fungal inoculum.

Fig. 1. Scheme of the performance of the test for *in vitro* efficacy tests of air dispersion sanitizers against fungal species according to the standard established by NF-T-72281.

A: Carriers inoculated with 50 μL of spore solution adjusted to 108 spores/mL + addition of 50 μL of reconstituted powdered milk 0.05%. B: Drying at 35 °C for approximately 40 min for adherence of the inoculum in the carriers. C/D: Positive control, without exposure, and test itself, exposed to the sanitizing fumigant. E: Stabilization of microorganisms in neutralizing solution and stirring for 1 minute for detachment and recovery of cells from disc with the aid of glass beads. F: Performed serial dilutions (10-1; 10-2; 10-3). G/H: Inoculation of 1 mL of each dilution in Petri dishes followed by addition of culture medium (pour plating), homogenization and incubation at 25 °C.

Once inoculated, the discs from both tests were placed in the oven at 35 °C for approximately 40 min in order to fix the inoculum. After this period, the discs of the positive control were maintained in the laboratory during the same period that the other discs were exposed to the disinfectant.

Exposure to the fumigating agent was done by placing the discs 2.6 m away from the point where the disinfectant was released. It was vertically positioned with the surface containing the inoculum facing away from the fumigation site.

At the end of the treatment, both inoculated carriers (unexposed and exposed to the fumigant agent) had the viable microorganisms recovered in 100 mL of recovery liquid and stirred for 1 min with 10 g of glass beads. Serial dilutions (10^{-1}; 10^{-2}; 10^{-3}) were performed in 0.1% (m/v) peptone water.

A 1 mL aliquot of each dilution was added to the sterile Petri dishes. Then, 20 mL of Malt Extract Agar (malt extract, food grade, 30 g/L, 15 g/L agar) was added, homogenized, and left to solidify (pour plating).

The plates were incubated at 25 °C for 5 days, the colonies counted, and the results expressed in logarithmic units (log). All tests were performed twice and on different days.

2.3.3. Data analyses

The sanitizer efficacy is evaluated by the difference between the number of fungal cells recovered from the positive control (without exposure to the sanitizer) and the microorganisms exposed to the sanitizer.

According to NF-T-72281, in order to be considered an effective sanitizer, the fumigating agent must be able to reduce, in the tested fungi population (exposed to fumigation), 4 log from the initial amount of microorganisms recovered in the positive control.

Variance analysis (ANOVA) was performed. Means of fungal recovery after exposure to the smoke generator OPP sanitizer was analyzed using the Scott-Knott test ($p < 0.05$). Statistical analyses were performed using the version 5.6 of SISVAR® Software (Ferreira, 2011).

Fig. 2. Average of fungal reduction before and after exposure of different species to a fumigant disinfectant based in orthophenylphenol 15%.
[1]Different lowercase letters in the same line indicate differences observed in the reduction of population among fungi exposed to a same concentration of sanitizer according to Scott-Knott test (p < 0.05).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Evaluation of the in vitro efficacy of the antifungal activity of the fumigant sanitizers

The average fungal reduction and standard deviation obtained after exposure of the microorganisms to the fumigant sanitizer tested is shown in Fig. 2.

The results of the neutralizing efficacy tests were considered satisfactory, since the count of colonies from the standard inoculum was similar to the counts obtained after the neutralization effectiveness test in the presence of the sanitizer (data not shown).

Recovery of viable fungal cells from the positive control after dilution in the recovery liquid was 10^4 CFU/mL of the solution for each fungus tested, thus, to meet the efficiency requirements, no microorganisms should be detected after fumigation. The fumigant sanitizer tested was effective (> 4 log reduction) for the two strains, *C. albicans* (ATCC 10231) and *C. cladosporioides* (IMI 158517).

The sensitiveness of these fungal species to other sanitizers has already been reported. Reynolds et al. (2004) achieved a reduction of > 5-log cycles of *Cladosporium* sp. in 5 min of exposure to sodium hypochlorite at 2.4%. Bernardi et al. (2018) obtained a 5-log reduction of *C. cladosporioides* (IMI 158517) and *C. albicans* (ATCC 10231) at 15 min of exposure when testing different sanitizers and concentrations (peracetic acid, benzalkonium chloride, sodium hypochlorite, and quaternary ammonium).

C. albicans is yeast of public health concern related to the spoilage of fruit juices (Paula et al., 2011). *C. cladosporioides* is a psychrophilic fungus commonly related to the deterioration of refrigerated cheese and described as one of the main causes of the so-called "black spot" defect in cured meat (Alía et al., 2016). This fungal genus has been frequently isolated in a variety of meat processing plants and is always found in the air or on equipment surface, although not in raw materials (Sørensen et al., 2008), which demonstrates the relevance of air and environment sanitization.

The sanitizer was not effective against the three *Penicillium* species tested. *P. roqueforti* (IMI 217568) was the most resistant.

Other studies reported resistance of *P. roqueforti* to sanitizers. Bungaard-Nielsen and Nielsen (1995), while using another methodology and liquid sanitizer, showed that ammonium quaternary compounds were inefficient for the *P. roqueforti* strain (lET 11,524). Bernardi et al. (2018) also showed that the strain of *Penicillium roqueforti* (IMI 217568) was resistant to the biguanide sanitizer. On the other hand, these authors reported the efficiency of peracetic acid in controlling this species. Korukluoglu et al. (2006) found similar results.

P. polonicum and *P. commune* are mainly related to the deterioration of cheese (Kure and Skaar, 2000; Kure et al., 2001, 2004; Hayaloglu and Kirbag, 2007). In addition, *P. commune* is associated with the "phenolic

defect" that occurs during the maturation of Italian hams (Spotti et al., 1988), in addition to being related to the deterioration of salamis and other matured meat products (Asefa et al., 2009, 2010; Sørensen et al., 2008). Additionally, *P. polonicum* (NGT 33/12) is related to the deterioration of frozen chicken nuggets because it can grow at low temperatures (Saccomori et al., 2015).

The fumigant was capable of a 3-log reduction regarding the positive control for the species of *P. commune* (CCT 7683) and *P. polonicum* (NGT 33/12) used in the test, thus, not achieving the necessary reduction to be considered effective. By comparing the results here with other studies that have evaluated the antifungal activity of chemical sanitizers in liquid form, it is possible to note the low efficacy of the fumigant product used here. Bernardi et al. (2018) obtained a 5-log reduction of these strains at concentrations of 0.5 and 1% of sodium hypochlorite and 1.5 and 3% of peracetic acid tested. However, the authors also observed the resistance of *P. commune* and *P. polonicum* to the biguanide sanitizer tested at concentrations of 2, 3.5, and 5%, where only the 1-log reduction of the strains. No studies regarding phenol-based compounds were found for comparison.

At high concentrations, phenolic compounds act as macroscopic protoplasmic venom, penetrating and rupturing the cell wall of microorganisms and precipitating cellular proteins. Low concentrations of phenol and phenol derivatives of higher molecular weight cause bacterial death by inactivating essential enzyme systems and extravagating essential cell wall metabolites. These compounds are bacteriostatic at lower concentrations, and bactericidal and fungicidal at higher concentrations (Paulino, 2006; Kuana, 2009). However, data on fungal sensitivity to OPP and its mechanism of action are still limited.

The zygomycetes *M. hiemalis* (CCT 4561) and L. *corymbifera* (CCT 4485), which are considered indicators of hygienic ambient air quality, and *A. chevalieri* (IMI 211382), a potentially deteriorating species of generally low water activity aw and bakery products (Jahn et al., 2013; Garcia et al., 2018), showed a 3-log reduction in relation to the positive control. In other protocols for testing sanitizers, such as liquid sanitizers that follow the European Committee for Standardization (CEN) model, the 3-log reduction in relation to the initial control count would be enough to be considered an effective sanitizer (European Standard, n. 13697, 2001). However, this level of reduction is not sufficient according to the French Protocol NF T 72-281 (Norme Française, NF T 72-281, 2014), which is the only regulation available for testing aerial dispersion sanitizers, and that request 4-log reduction.

Aspergillus flavus (ATCC 9643) and *Aspergillus brasiliensis* (ATCC 16404) were also resistant to the product, with a reduction of only 1 log. This can be extensively compared with other studies available in the literature, which used different methodologies but also tested fumigants. Pornpukdeewattana et al. (2017) tested the volatile components of rice vinegar as a fumigant for reducing *A. flavus* in maize grains and achieved a total reduction of the initial conidial population (6 log

CFU/mL) of this species after 5 h of exposure. Similar results were found by Boukaew et al. (2017), who obtained an inhibition of this fungus after 6 h of fumigation with essential oils (clove and vatica).

A. flavus is one of most widespread and important fungi related to food spoilage and aflatoxin production in cereals in the world (Pitt and Hocking, 2009). *A. brasiliensis* (previously classified as *Aspergillus niger*) is also related to the post-harvest deterioration of fresh fruits, such as pears, apples, peaches, grapes, (Snowdon, 1990) tomatoes (Muhammad et al., 2004), and as a cause of deterioration in soft cheeses (Hocking and Faedo, 1992).

4. Conclusion

This study aimed to verify the efficacy of an orthophenylphenol-based smoke generator sanitizer against fungal species commonly present in the air of food industries. The product was effective against *C. albicans* and *C. cladosporioides*, although it was unable to reduce 4 log of the other species tested. Despite the feasibility and easy diffusion of smoke generator sanitizers in food production facilities, more attention should be paid to fungal control when evaluating their efficacy. This study showed variable sensitivity of food spoilage fungal species to the sanitizer tested. Therefore, we emphasize the importance of previously testing the target microorganism (causing a specific problem in the food industry) with the sanitizer aimed at controlling it.

Funding sources

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Alía, A., Andrade, M.J., Rodríguez, A., Reyes-Prieto, M., Bernáldez, V., Córdoba, J.J., 2016. Identification and control of moulds responsible for black spot spoilage in dry-cured ham. Meat Sci. 122, 16–24.

ANVISA (National Health Surveillance Agency), 2013. Consultation With Authorized Sanitizing Products. Available: https://consultas.anvisa.gov.br/#/saneantes/produtos/25351204436201319/, Accessed date: 20 March 2018.

Asefa, D.T., Gjerde, R.O., Sidhu, M.S., Langsrud, S., Kure, C.F., Nesbakken, T., Skaar, I., 2009. Moulds contaminants on Norwegian dry-cured meat products. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 128, 435–439.

Asefa, D.T., Kure, C.F., Gjerde, R.O., Omer, M.K., Langsrud, S., Nesbaken, T., Skaar, I., 2010. Fungal growth pattern, sources and factors of mould contamination in dry-cured meat production facility. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 140, 131–135.

Bernardi, A.O., Stefanello, A., Garcia, M.V., Parussolo, G., Stefanello, R.F., Moro, C.B., Copetti, M.V., 2018. Efficacy of commercial sanitizers against fungi of concern in the food industry. LWT Food Sci. Technol. 97, 25–30.

Besil, N., Pérez-Parada, A., Cesio, V., Varela, P., Rivas, F., Heinzen, H., 2016. Degradation of imazalil, orthophenylphenol and pyrimethanil in Clementine mandarins under conventional postharvest industrial conditions at 4 °C. Food Chem. 194, 1132–1137.

Bomhard, E.M., Brendler-Schwaab, S.Y., Freyberger, A., Herbold, B.A., Leser, K.H., Richter, M., 2002. *O*-phenylphenol and its sodium and potassium salts: a toxicological assessment. Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 32 (6), 551–625.

Boukaew, S., Prasertsan, P., Sattayasamitsathit, S., 2017. Evaluation of antifungal activity of essential oils against aflatoxigenic *Aspergillus flavus* and their allelopathic activity from fumigation to protect maize seeds during storage. Ind. Crop. Prod. 97, 558–566.

Bungaron-Nielsen, K., Nielsen, P.V., 1995. Fungicidal effect of 15 disinfectants against 25 fungal contaminants commonly found in bread and cheese manufacturing. J. Food Prot. 59, 268–275.

CDC Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities. https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/disinfection/disinfectionmethods/chemical.html/, Accessed date: 20 March 2018.

Chitarra, M.I.F., Chitarra, A.B., 2005. Pós–Colheita de Frutos e hortaliças: Fisiologia e Manuseio, 2 ed. UFLA, Brasil: Lavras, pp. 785.

Coelhan, M., Bromig, K.-H., Glas, K., Roberts, A.L., 2006. Determination and levels of the biocide ortho-phenylphenol in canned beers from different countries. J. Agric. Food Chem. 54, 5731–5735.

Dagnas, S., Gougouli, M., Onno, B., Koutsoumanis, K.P., Membré, J.-M., 2017. Quantifying the effect of water activity and storage temperature on single spore lag times of three moulds isolated from spoiled bakery products. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 240, 75–84.

European Standard, n. 13697, 2001. Chemical Disinfectants and Antiseptics – Quantitative Non-porous Surface Test for the Evaluation of Bactericidal and/or Fungicidal Activity of Chemical Disinfectants Used in Food, Industrial, Domestic and Institutional Areas - Test Method and Requirements without Mechanical Action (Phase 2, Step 2).

FAO, 1999. Pesticide Management, Evaluations of Pesticide Residues. available at. http://www.fao.org/ag/AGP/AGPP/Pesticid/ JMPR/Download/pes_alp.html/, Accessed date: 20 August 2018.

Ferreira, D.F., 2011. Sisvar: a computer statistical analysis system. Cienc. Agrotecnol. 35, 1039–1042.

Filtenborg, O., Frisvad, J.C., Thrane, U., 1996. Moulds in food spoilage. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 33, 85–102.

Garcia, M.V., Bernardi, A.O., Parussolo, G., Moro, C.B., Stefanelo, A., Copetti, M.V., 2018. Spoilage Fungi in Bread Chain Facility. (Submmited).

Garnier, L., Valence, F., Pawtowski, A., Auhustsinava-Galerne, L., Frotté, N., Baroncelli, R., Deniel, F., Cotona, E., Mounier, J., 2017. Diversity of spoilage fungi associated with various French dairy products. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 241, 191–197.

Grezzi, G., 2008. Limpeza e Desinfecção na Avicultura. Ergomix Online. Available. http://pt.engormix.com/MA-avicultura/saude/artigos/limpeza-desinfeccao-avicultura-t100/165-p0.htm/, Accessed date: 20 March 2018.

Hayaloglu, A.A., Kirbag, S., 2007. Microbial quality and presence of moulds in Klufu cheese. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 115, 376–380.

Hedrick, T.I., Heldman, D.R., 1969. Air quality in fluid and manufactured milk products plants. J. Milk Food Technol. 32, 265–269.

Hocking, A.D., Faedo, M., 1992. Fungi causing thread mould spoilage of vaccum packaged Cheddar cheese during maturation. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 16, 123–130.

IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer), 1999. Preamble to the IARC Monographs (IARC Monographs Volume 73). Available. https://monographs.iarc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/mono73-21.pdf, Accessed date: 22 August 2018.

Jaenisch, F.R.F., Kuchiishi, S.S., Coldebella, A., 2010. Atividade antibacteriana de desinfetantes para uso na produção orgânica de aves. Cienc. Rural 40 (2), 384–388.

Jahn, R.C., Wigmann, E.F., Saccomori, F., Bernardi, A.O., Boeira, C.P., Copetti, M.V., 2013. Deterioração fúngica de panetones. Anais de Simpósio Latino Americano de Ciência de Alimentos. SLACA, Campinas, São Paulo, Brasil.

Korukluoglu, M., Sahan, Y., Yigit, A., 2006. The fungicidal efficacy of various commercial disinfectants used in the food industry. Ann. Microbiol. 56 (4), 325–330.

Kuana, S.L., Di Fábio, J., 2009. Limpeza e desInfecção de Instalações avícolas. In: Júnior, A.B., Silva, E.N., Sesti, L., Zuanaze, M.A.A. (Eds.), Doenças Das Aves, 2ª ed. (Campinas: Facta, 1.104 p).

Kuaye, A.Y., 2017. Limpeza e Sanitização na Indústria de Alimentos, 4 ed. (Brasil: Rio de Janeiro, (Capítulo 6 e 7)).

Kure, C.F., Skaar, I., 2000. Mould growth on the Norwegian semi-hard cheeses Norvegia and Jarlsberg. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 62, 133–137.

Kure, C.F., Skaar, I., Brendehaug, J., 2004. Mould contamination in production of semi-hard cheese. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 93, 41–49.

Kure, C.F., Wasteson, Y., Brendehaug, J., Skaar, I., 2001. Mould contaminants on Jarlsberg and Norvegia cheese blocks from four factories. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 70, 21–27.

Muhammad, S., Shehu, K., Amusa, N.A., 2004. Survey of the market diseases and aflatoxin contamination of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) fruits in Sokoto, northwestern Nigeria. J. Nutr. Food Sci. 34, 72–76.

Norme Française, NF T 72-281, 2014. Procédés de Désinfection des Surfaces par Vole Aérienne – Détermination de L'activité Bactéricide, Fongicide, Leveduricide, Mycobactéricide, Tuberculocide Sporicide et Virucide Incluant lês Bactériophages.

Paula, A.T., Casarotti, S.N., Braga, H.F., Grandi, A.Z., Rossi, D.A., 2011. Avaliação microbiológica em suco de laranja *in natura* pelo sistema rápido Compact Dry®. Unopar Científica Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde 13 (1), 55–58.

Paulino, C.A., 2006. Antissépticos e desinfetantes. In: Spinosa, H., Gorniak, S., Bernardi, M. (Eds.), Farmacologia Aplicada a Medicina Veterinária, 4ª ed. vol. 35. Guanabara Koogan, Rio de Janeiro, pp. 441–447.

Pitt, J.I., Hocking, A.D., 2009. Fungi and Food Spoilage. Blackie Academic and Professional, London.

Pornpukdeewattana, S., Kerdpiboon, S., Jindaprasert, P.P., Teerarak, M., Krusong, W., 2017. Upland rice vinegar vapor inhibits spore germination, hyphal growth and aflatoxin formation in *Aspergillus flavus* on maize grains. Food Control 71, 88–93.

PubChem, 2018. Compound Identifier: CID 7017. Available at. https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/7017, Accessed date: 22 August 2018.

Reynolds, K.A., Bone, S., Bright, K., Gerba, C.P., 2004. Efficacy of sodium hypochlorite disinfectant on the viability and allergenic properties of household mold. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 113, 180.

Saccomori, F., Wiggman, E.F., Bernardi, A.O., Alcano-González, M.J., Copetti, M.V., 2015. Influence of storage temperature on growth of *Penicillium polonicum* and *Penicillium glabrum* and potential for deterioration of frozen chicken nuggets. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 200, 1–4.

Samson, R.A., Frisvad, J.C., Hoekstra, E.S., 2004. Introduction to Food- and Airborne Fungi. Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Utrecht.

Sholberg, P.L., Shephard, T., Randall, P., Moyls, L., 2004. Use of measured concentrations of acetic acid vapour to control postharvest decay in d'Anjou pears. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 32, 89–98.

Snowdon, A.L., 1990. A Colour Atlas of Post-harvest Diseases and Disorders of Fruits and Vegetables. (London, (Chapter 2)).

Sørensen, L.M., Jacobsen, T., Nielsen, P.V., Frisvad, J.C., Kock, A.G., 2008. Mycobiota in the processing areas of two different meat products. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 124, 58–64.

Spotti, E., Mutti, P., Campanini, M., 1988. Occurrence of moulds on hams during preripening and ripening, contamination of the environement and growth on the muscle portion of hams. Ind. Conserve. 64, 110–113.