



Oligometastatic gastric cancer: An emerging clinical entity with distinct therapeutic implications



Massimiliano Salati ^{a, b, *}, Nicola Valeri ^b, Andrea Spallanzani ^a, Chiara Braconi ^c, Stefano Cascinu ^a

^a Department of Oncology, University Hospital of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

^b Division of Molecular Pathology, The Institute of Cancer Research, London and Sutton, UK and Gastrointestinal Unit, The Royal Marsden Hospital, London and Sutton, UK

^c Division of Cancer Therapeutics, The Institute of Cancer Research, London and Sutton, UK and Gastrointestinal Unit, The Royal Marsden Hospital, London and Sutton, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Accepted 6 November 2018

Available online 10 November 2018

Keywords:

Advanced gastric cancer
 Perioperative chemotherapy
 Oligometastases
 Surgery
 Metastasectomy

ABSTRACT

Gastric cancer (GC) remains responsible for a high burden worldwide being the third leading cause of cancer-related mortality. Most of patients present at an advanced stage at diagnosis and are thus candidates to standard chemotherapy resulting in median survival of less than 1 year. Oligometastatic gastric cancer is an increasingly recognized clinical entity characterized by limited metastatic spread that has been showing to benefit from aggressive multimodality strategies encompassing chemotherapy and surgery. The ongoing RENAISSANCE/AIO-FLOT5 (NCT02578368) phase III trial is aimed at evaluating if perioperative chemotherapy with FLOT in combination with surgical resection of the primary tumour and metastases could become the new standard of care for oligometastatic GC. In the meantime, in addition to currently available clinical parameters, the emerging predictive/prognostic role of biomarkers such mismatch repair deficiency/microsatellite instability high status needs to be specifically addressed also in this subgroup of GC to assist in patient selection.

Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Gastric cancer is still a major killer worldwide ranking third as leading cause of cancer-related mortality with 819,000 deaths in 2015 and a fatality-to-case ratio of 70% [1].

Two-thirds of patients develop advanced unresectable or metastatic disease at a some point during their clinical history. In this setting, platinum/fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy is the standard of care leading to a median overall survival (OS) of 9–11 months [2] in HER-2 negative disease, which extends to 14–16 months when trastuzumab is added to backbone chemotherapy in HER-2 positive cases [3]. Despite recent advances in the understanding of disease biology and drug development, advanced gastric cancer (AGC) remains an incurable disease [4]. In this scenario, growing evidence has suggested that a subset of carefully selected AGC with limited metastatic spread (so-called oligometastatic) exists that might achieve long-term disease control from a multimodality treatment strategy. In fact, although surgical

resection of the primary tumour in stage IV disease has been recently discouraged by REGATTA study [5] and is not routinely recommended except for treating bleeding or obstruction, metastasectomies after preoperative chemotherapy have gained interest following promising results from both clinical trials and real-world experience. In the last years, several lines of evidence have shown prolonged survival for selected patients undergoing liver and lung metastasectomies with promising 5-year OS of 27–37% [6–10] and 31–33% [11,12], respectively (Table 1). Moreover, a meta-analysis of 16 studies including 1712 patients (of whom 378 patients treated with metastasectomy) reported increased OS at 1 (67%), 3 (32%), and 5 (25%) years for resection of metastases compared with other therapies (32%, 6% and 4%, respectively) [13]. Despite being quite encouraging, these results come from retrospective, uncontrolled and monocentric case series, enrolling mainly Asian patients, thus they have to be interpreted cautiously. In addition, administering neoadjuvant chemotherapy before an aggressive surgical approach could hopefully even result in better outcomes. Indeed, upfront treatment enables early administration of systemic treatment thereby preventing delays in chemotherapy delivery and thus maximizing the chance of benefit from systemic treatment. Still, it

* Corresponding author. Department of Oncology, University Hospital of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy, Via del Pozzo 71, 41124, Modena, Italy.

E-mail address: massimiliano.salati@unimore.it (M. Salati).

Table 1
Selected studies investigating intensified treatment strategies in oligometastatic gastric cancer.

Author	Year	Study	Subgroup	N. pts	Chemo	Setting (%)	Surgical Approach	mOS	5-yr OS
Cheon et al. [25]	2008	Retro	Liver-only	41	NA	NA	Gastrectomy + Hepatic resection	17	21%
Takemura et al. [7]	2012	Retro	Liver-only	64	Yes	Preop 28%/Ad 41%	Hepatic resection + Gastrectomy in synchronous cases	34	37%
Aizawa et al. [27]	2014	Retro	Liver-only	53	Yes	Ad 77%	Gastrectomy + Hepatic resection	27.4	18.6%
Kinoshita et al. [10]	2015	Retro	Liver-only	256	No	Ad 67%	Hepatic resection + Gastrectomy in synchronous cases	31.1	31.1%
Oki et al. [28]	2016	Retro	Liver-only	94	No	Ad 69%	Hepatic resection + Gastrectomy in synchronous cases	40.8	42.3%
Markar et al. [8]	2017	Retro	Liver-only	78	No	NA	Hepatic resection + Gastrectomy in synchronous cases	NA	38.5%
Tiberio et al. [29]	2015	Retro	Liver-only	53	No	Ad 83%	Gastrectomy + Hepatic resection	13	9.3%
Kemp et al. [11]	2010	Retro	Pulmonary	43	Yes	Ad 20%	Pulmonary metastasectomy	29	33%
Oyama et al. [26]	2012	Retro	PAN	16	Yes	Preop DCS 100%	Gastrectomy + lymphadenectomy PAN	35.1	93.8% (2-yr OS)
Al-Batran et al. [16]	2017	Phase II	Limited spread*	36	Yes	Periop FLOT 100%	Gastrectomy + metastasectomy	31.3	NA

Abbreviations: N, pts, number of patients; Chemo, chemotherapy; mOS, median overall survival; 5-yr OS, 5-year overall survival; Retro, retrospective; NA, not available; PAN, para-aortic lymph nodes; DCS, docetaxel/cisplatin/S1; Preop, preoperative; FLOT, docetaxel, oxaliplatin, and fluorouracil/leucovorin; Periop, perioperative; Ad, adjuvant. *Abdominal retroperitoneal lymph nodes only and/or 1 incurable organ site.

represents a tool for patient selection according to disease biology since it allows responsive patients to proceed to surgery, while avoiding major risks associated to surgical procedure to less or non-responsive ones.

In a recent published article, Carmona-Bayonas and colleagues presented the results of a Spanish nationwide dataset regarding the real-world management of AGC [14]. The authors identified a subset of patients with oligometastatic disease, accounting for roughly 5% (n = 92) of the whole population recorded over a decade. These patients showed a longer survival with multimodality strategy including preoperative chemotherapy followed by surgical resection of metastases than without surgery (HR 0.34, 95%CI 0.06–0.80, p = 0.021). The median OS was 16.7 months and the 3-year OS was 30.6%, with most of patients disease-free at that time point. This compares very favourably with median OS and 3-year OS from historical trials of first-line chemotherapy in AGC, that are in the range of 9–11 months and <10%, respectively. Moreover, based on a survey jointly performed by EORTC-JCOG, the preferred option for both resectable synchronous and metachronous liver-only disease turned out to be preoperative chemotherapy followed by gastrectomy and hepatic resection (47.5% of Centres) [15]. Although commonly used in community practice, this approach requires confirmation in large prospective randomized trials to possibly build a new evidence-based standard of care for this clinical subset of AGC. In the AIO/FLOT3 trial, a three-arm, prospective, non-randomized phase II study, Al Batran et al. enrolled onto arm B 60 patients with limited metastatic gastric or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma to receive 4 cycles of FLOT regimen (5-fluorouracil, oxaliplatin and docetaxel) followed by curative/life-prolonging intent surgery (primary tumour and metastases) if a R0 resection or at least a macroscopic complete metastasectomy was deemed feasible and 4 subsequent adjuvant FLOT cycles [16]. Patients in arm B had a single incurable site (e.g. liver with <5 lesions, Krukenberg tumours, adrenal gland metastases) with or without retroperitoneal lymph nodes metastases, retroperitoneal lymph nodes metastases only, and no diffuse nor symptomatic nor clinically detectable peritoneal carcinomatosis. Arm A and arm C consisted of resectable and extensively metastatic tumours and were assigned to perioperative FLOT (4 cycles before and 4 cycles after surgery) and palliative FLOT, respectively. In arm B, 45% (27) of patients had only retroperitoneal lymph nodes metastases, while 18.3% (11) had liver-only metastases. Among patients with limited metastatic spread, 36 (60%) went on to surgery with a median OS of 31.3 months, while patients who did not undergo surgery had median OS of 15.9 months. The median OS was

higher in arm B (22.9 months) compared to arm C (10.7 months), while in arm A was not yet achieved. Despite the limitations pertaining to a non-randomized trial with a relatively small sample size, AIO/FLOT3 should be regarded as a proof of concept study which set the stage for confirmatory properly design phase III clinical trials, wherein standard first-line palliative chemotherapy is compared to a more aggressive treatment strategy including preoperative chemotherapy and surgical resection of metastases. One such trial, the RENAISSANCE/AIO-FLOT5 (NCT02578368), is actively recruiting patients with the aim of answering all the open questions in this setting and the results are awaited in 2021.

Several issues need in fact to be addressed. First of all, the proper selection of AGC patients more likely to benefit from a combined approach is key to optimize treatment success, while sparing medical and surgical risks to the remaining. Although no standardized definition of oligometastatic GC exists, clinical criteria employed in the AIO studies provide us the reference on which building our clinical decision making. Moreover, younger age (≤ 70 years) patients with better performance status (ECOG PS 0–1), limited number of metastatic sites (<3 sites), and limited number (≤ 5 lesions), favourable parenchymal location (unilobar) and small size of hepatic lesions have been proposed as positive prognostic factors in patients undergoing metastasectomies [6–13]. However, these findings require prospective validation and it is currently unknown whether they also apply to patients treated with preoperative chemotherapy. Secondly, novel biomarkers, other than clinical parameters, are emerging and might be useful in selecting the optimal candidates to a combined treatment strategy. Preliminary published results of the phase 2/3 FLOT4-AIO, suggest that FLOT regimen could be more effective in the intestinal type versus the diffuse type histology. This would be worth evaluating also in a more advanced disease setting as histological type could drive more individualized treatments [17]. In resectable GC, mismatch repair deficiency (MMRd) and microsatellite instability high (MSI-H) status have been shown to have a positive prognostic effect in patients treated with surgery alone and a negative predictive value in those who received perioperative chemotherapy [18]. Accordingly, recent evidence points to a positive prognostic role for MSI-H status in surgically treated stage IV AGC [19], though no data are available on the role of MSI status neither in patients undergoing metastasectomy nor preoperative chemotherapy followed by metastasectomy. In the advance-disease setting, the potential predictive role of MSI status warrants further investigation particularly in light of the suggested benefit of oligometastatic GC from multimodality approach. Moreover, based on promising data on

	Locally advanced resectable	Oligometastatic	Metastatic
Clinical definition	T3-T4 and/or N+	M1 with retroperitoneal lymph nodes and/or one potentially resectable incurable site	M1 patients other than oligometastatic
Prevalence	30-40%	Unknown	40-50%
Treatment strategy	Perioperative FLOT	Neoadjuvant FLOT followed by surgery ± adjuvant FLOT	Platinum-fluoropyrimidine-based doublet or triplet
Median OS	50 months	31.3 months	9-11 months
3-year OS	57%	NA	< 10%

Fig. 1. Treatment plan and survival outcomes according to clinical stage in gastric cancer.

anti-PD1 nivolumab and pembrolizumab in heavily pretreated AGC [20,21], the incorporation of immune checkpoint inhibitors into conventional chemotherapy regimens is currently under investigation also in earlier stages and would deserve a particular focus on oligometastatic disease. Accordingly, molecularly targeted agents represent a further way for improving the outcome of selected subgroups [22]. Indeed, in this setting, an improvement in anti-cancer activity might translate in a higher number of patients amenable to potentially curative or life-prolonging intent surgery.

Finally, specific mention also needs to be made to peritoneal carcinomatosis, which is one of the features conditioning the poorest prognosis and quality of life in AGC. Another aggressive multimodality treatment including cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (in addition to systemic treatment) has been tested in this setting. However, to date neither definitive nor convincing efficacy data have been produced, at the expense of increased toxicity with procedure-related morbidity and mortality in the range of 10%–55% and 3%–10%, respectively, in high-volume specialized centres [23]. Trial such as GASTRIPEC trial (NCT02158988) are underway to compare in a prospective randomized fashion cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy versus cytoreductive surgery in properly selected AGC patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis.

In summary, the concept of “oligometastases” first proposed by Hellman and Weichselbaum in 1995 [24] is increasingly showing to fit GC. Oligometastatic GC is indeed an emerging clinical entity with potentially distinct therapeutic implications that has no standard approach thus far. These patients seem to benefit from multimodality treatment strategies including preoperative chemotherapy followed by surgical resection, resulting in intermediate survival outcomes between those of resectable and extensively metastatic GC (Fig. 1). More interestingly, there is also hope to achieve not just long-term disease control but also curability at least in a proportion of them. Results of ongoing randomized phase III clinical trials are eagerly awaited in order to clarify whether an aggressive multimodality approach could become a new standard of care in highly selected patients with oligometastatic GC.

Funding

This work is supported in part by LILT Modena Onlus (no grant numbers apply).

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Ethical standards

All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and later versions. Informed consent to be included in the study, or the equivalent, was obtained from all patients.

References

- [1] Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration. Global, regional, and national cancer incidence, mortality, years of life lost, years lived with disability, and disability-adjusted life-years for 32 cancer groups, 1990 to 2015A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study. *JAMA Oncol* 2017;3(4): 524–48.
- [2] Wagner AD, Syn NL, Moehler M, Grothe W, Yong WP, Tai BC, et al. Chemotherapy for advanced gastric cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017 Aug 29;8:CD004064.
- [3] Bang YJ, Van Cutsem E, Feyereislova A, Chung HC, Shen L, Sawaki A, et al. Trastuzumab in combination with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for treatment of HER2-positive advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer (ToGA): a phase 3, open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2010 Aug 28;376(9742). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)61121-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61121-X). 687–97. Epub 2010 Aug 19. Erratum in: *Lancet*. 2010 Oct 16;376(9749):1302.
- [4] Salati M, Di Emidio K, Tarantino V, Cascinu S. Second-line treatments: moving towards an opportunity to improve survival in advanced gastric cancer? *ESMO Open* 2017 Jul 19;2(3). e000206.
- [5] Fujitani K, Yang HK, Mizusawa J, Kim YW, Terashima M, Han SU, et al. Gastrectomy plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for advanced gastric cancer with a single non-curative factor (REGATTA): a phase 3, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2016 Mar;17(3):309–18.
- [6] Grimes N, Devlin J, Dunne DFJ, Poston G, Fenwick S, Malik H. The role of hepatectomy in the management of metastatic gastric adenocarcinoma: a systematic review. *Surg Oncol* 2014;23:177–85.
- [7] Takemura N, Saiura A, Koga R, Arita J, Yoshioka R, Ono Y, et al. Long-term outcomes after surgical resection for gastric cancer liver metastasis: an analysis of 64 macroscopically complete resections. *Langenbeck's Arch Surg* 2012;397:951–7.
- [8] Markar SR, Mikhail S, Malietzis G, Athanasiou T, Mariette C, Sasako M, et al. Influence of surgical resection of hepatic metastases from gastric adenocarcinoma on long-term survival: systematic review and pooled analysis. *Ann Surg* 2016;263:1092–101.
- [9] Petrelli F, Coiru A, Cabiddu M, Ghilardi M, Borronovo K, Lonati V, et al. Hepatic resection for gastric cancer liver metastases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Surg Oncol* 2015;111:1021–7.
- [10] Kinoshita T, Kinoshita T, Saiura A, Esaki M, Sakamoto H, Yamanaka T.

- Multicentre analysis of long-term outcome after surgical resection for gastric cancer liver metastases. *Br J Surg* 2015;102:102–10.
- [11] Kemp CD, Kitano M, Kerker S, Ripley RT, JU Marquardt, Schrupp DS, et al. Pulmonary resection for metastatic gastric cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2010 Nov;5(11):1796–805. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JTO.0b013e3181ed3514>.
- [12] Shiono S, Sato T, Horio H, et al. Outcomes and prognostic factors of survival after pulmonary resection for metastatic gastric cancer. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2013;43:e13–6.
- [13] Gadde R, Tamariz L, Hanna M, Avisar E, Livingstone A, Franceschi D, et al. Metastatic gastric cancer (MGC) patients: can we improve survival by metastasectomy? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Surg Oncol* 2015 Jul;112(1):38–45. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jso.23945>. Epub 2015 Jun 12. Review.
- [14] Carmona-Bayonas A, Jiménez-Fonseca P, Echavarría I, Sánchez Cánovas M, Aguado G, Gallego J, et al. Surgery for metastases for esophageal-gastric cancer in the real world: data from the AGAMENON national registry. pii: S0748-7983(18)30988-0 *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2018 Mar 31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2018.03.019> [Epub ahead of print].
- [15] Kataoka K, Kinoshita T, Moehler M, Mauer M, Shitara K, Wagner AD, et al. Current management of liver metastases from gastric cancer: what is common practice? New challenge of EORTC and JCOG. *Gastric Cancer* 2017 Sep;20(5):904–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10120-017-0696-7>. Epub 2017 Feb 1.
- [16] Al-Batran SE, Homann N, Pauligk C, Illerhaus G, Martens UM, Stoehlmacher J, et al. Effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgical resection on survival in patients with limited metastatic gastric or gastroesophageal junction cancer: the AIO-FLOT3 trial. *JAMA Oncol* 2017 Sep 1;3(9):1237–44. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.0515>.
- [17] Al-Batran SE, Hofheinz RD, Pauligk C, et al. Histopathological regression after neoadjuvant docetaxel, oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin versus epirubicin, cisplatin, and fluorouracil or capecitabine in patients with resectable gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (FLOT4-AIO): results from the phase 2 part of a multicentre, open-label, randomised phase 2/3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2016 Dec;17(12):1697–708. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-2045\(16\)30531-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-2045(16)30531-9). Epub 2016 Oct 22.
- [18] Smyth EC, Wotherspoon A, Peckitt C, Gonzalez D, Hulkki-Wilson S, Eltahir Z, et al. Mismatch repair deficiency, microsatellite instability, and survival: an exploratory analysis of the medical research council adjuvant gastric infusional chemotherapy (MAGIC) trial. *JAMA Oncol* 2017 Sep 1;3(9):1197–203. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.6762>.
- [19] Polom K, Böger C, Smyth E, Marrelli D, Behrens HM, Marano L, et al. Synchronous metastatic gastric cancer—molecular background and clinical implications with special attention to mismatch repair deficiency. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2018 May;44(5):626–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2018.02.208>. Epub 2018 Mar 2.
- [20] Kang YK, Boku N, Satoh T, et al. Nivolumab in patients with advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer refractory to, or intolerant of, at least two previous chemotherapy regimens (ONO-4538-12, ATTRACTION-2): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2017;390(10111):2461–71.
- [21] Fuchs CS, Doi T, Jang RW, et al. KEYNOTE-059 cohort 1: efficacy and safety of pembrolizumab (pembro) monotherapy in patients with previously treated advanced gastric cancer. *JAMA Oncol* 2018;4(5). e180013.
- [22] Kataoka K, Deleersnijder A, Lordick F. Will molecular target agents enable the multidisciplinary treatment in stage IV gastric cancer? *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2017 Oct;43(10):1835–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2017.08.006>. Epub 2017 Aug 30. Review.
- [23] Desiderio J, Chao J, Melstrom L, Warner S, Tozzi F, Fong Y, et al. The 30-year experience—A meta-analysis of randomised and high-quality non-randomised studies of hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy in the treatment of gastric cancer. *Eur J Canc* 2017 Jul;79:1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2017.03.030>. Epub 2017 Apr 26. Review.
- [24] Weichselbaum RR, Hellman S. Oligometastases revisited. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2011 Jun;8(6):378–82. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2011.44>. Epub 2011 Mar 22. Review.
- [25] Cheon SH, Rha SY, Jeung H-C, et al. Survival benefit of combined curative resection of the stomach (D2 resection) and liver in gastric cancer patients with liver metastases. *Ann Oncol* 2008;19(6):1146–53.
- [26] Oyama K, Fushida S, Kinoshita J, et al. Efficacy of pre-operative chemotherapy with docetaxel, cisplatin, and S-1 (DCS therapy) and curative resection for gastric cancer with pathologically positive para-aortic lymph nodes. *J Surg Oncol* 2012 May;105(6):535–41. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jso.22125>. Epub 2011 Oct 17.
- [27] Aizawa M, Nashimoto A, Yabusaki H, et al. Clinical benefit of surgical management for gastric cancer with synchronous liver metastasis. *Hepato-Gastroenterology* 2014;61(133):1439–45.
- [28] Oki E, Tokunaga S, Emi Y, et al. Surgical treatment of liver metastasis of gastric cancer: a retrospective multicenter cohort study (KSCC1302). *Gastric Cancer* 2016;19(3):968–76.
- [29] Tiberio GAM, Baiocchi GL, Morgagni P, et al. Gastric cancer and synchronous hepatic metastases: is it possible to recognize candidates to R0 resection? *Ann Surg Oncol* 2015;22(2):589–96.