



Proteolytic Degradation of Hippocampal STEP₆₁ in LTP and Learning

Ana Saavedra^{1,2,3} · Jesús J. Ballesteros^{4,5,6} · Shiraz Tyebji^{1,2,3,7} · Sara Martínez-Torres^{1,8} · Gloria Blázquez^{1,2,3} · Rosa López-Hidalgo^{4,9} · Garikoitz Azkona^{1,2,3} · Jordi Alberch^{1,2,3} · Eduardo D. Martín^{4,10} · Esther Pérez-Navarro^{1,2,3} 

Received: 13 April 2018 / Accepted: 31 May 2018 / Published online: 12 June 2018
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

Striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase (STEP) modulates key signaling molecules involved in synaptic plasticity and neuronal function. It is postulated that STEP opposes the development of long-term potentiation (LTP) and that it exerts a restraint on long-term memory (LTM). Here, we examined whether STEP₆₁ levels are regulated during hippocampal LTP and after training in hippocampal-dependent tasks. We found that after inducing LTP by high frequency stimulation or theta-burst stimulation STEP₆₁ levels were significantly reduced, with a concomitant increase of STEP₃₃ levels, a product of calpain cleavage. Importantly, inhibition of STEP with TC-2153 improved LTP in hippocampal slices. Moreover, we observed that after training in the passive avoidance and the T-maze spontaneous alternation task, hippocampal STEP₆₁ levels were significantly reduced, but STEP₃₃ levels were unchanged. Yet, hippocampal BDNF content and TrkB levels were increased in trained mice, and it is known that BDNF promotes STEP degradation through the proteasome. Accordingly, hippocampal pTrkB^{Tyr816}, pPLCγ^{Tyr783}, and protein ubiquitination levels were increased in T-SAT trained mice. Remarkably, injection of the TrkB antagonist ANA-12 (2 mg/Kg, but not 0.5 mg/Kg) elicited LTM deficits and promoted STEP₆₁ accumulation in the hippocampus. Also, STEP knockout mice outperformed wild-type animals in an age- and test-dependent manner. Summarizing, STEP₆₁ undergoes proteolytic degradation in conditions leading to synaptic strengthening and memory formation, thus highlighting its role as a molecular constrain, which is removed to enable the activation of pathways important for plasticity processes.

Keywords Striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase · Calpains · BDNF · Proteasome · ANA-12

Jesús J. Ballesteros and Shiraz Tyebji contributed equally to this work.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-018-1170-1>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Esther Pérez-Navarro
estherperez@ub.edu

¹ Departament de Biomedicina, Facultat de Medicina i Ciències de la Salut, Institut de Neurociències, Universitat de Barcelona, C/ Casanova, 143 08036 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

² Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

³ Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red sobre Enfermedades Neurodegenerativas (CIBERNED), Madrid, Spain

⁴ Institute for Research in Neurological Disabilities (IDINE), University of Castilla-La Mancha, Albacete, Spain

⁵ Present address: Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care and Pain Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

⁶ Present address: The Picower Institute for Learning and Memory, Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

⁷ Present address: Infection and Immunity Division, The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, Melbourne, Australia

⁸ Present address: Departament de Ciències Experimentals i de la Salut, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

⁹ Present address: Grupo de Patología Celular y Molecular del Alcohol, Centro de Investigación Príncipe Felipe, Valencia, Spain

¹⁰ Present address: Instituto Cajal, CSIC, Madrid, Spain

Introduction

Striatum-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase (STEP; PTPN5), a neural-specific tyrosine phosphatase highly expressed in the striatum, and at lower levels in the cortex, hippocampus and amygdala, modulates key signaling molecules that are involved in synaptic plasticity and neuronal function. STEP dephosphorylates its target substrates such as the kinases ERK1/2, Pyk2 and Fyn, leading to their inactivation, and NMDA receptor (NMDAR) and AMPA receptor subunits, promoting their endocytosis (reviewed in [1]). Interestingly, STEP administration into the hippocampal CA1 neurons [2] or the lateral amygdala [3] prevents tetanus-induced long-term potentiation (LTP), whereas inhibiting STEP in CA1 neurons enhances transmission and occludes LTP induction [2]. Moreover, genetic deletion of STEP improves LTP in the lateral amygdala [4] and in hippocampal slices when induced by theta-burst stimulation (TBS) [5], but no differences were reported in the case of LTP induced by high frequency stimulation (HFS) [6]. In addition, it has recently been demonstrated that regulation of STEP levels participates in homeostatic synaptic plasticity in primary hippocampal neurons [7].

STEP KO mice display enhanced ERK1/2 phosphorylation in the striatum, hippocampus and central/lateral amygdala compared to wild-type littermates [4, 5, 8, 9]. They also have enhanced tyrosine phosphorylation of the GluN2B subunit of the NMDAR and Pyk2, as well as an increased phosphorylation of ERK1/2 substrates such as CREB and Elk1 in the hippocampus [8]. Moreover, STEP KO mice perform better during a reversal-training task in the Morris water maze [8] and have greater fear memory [4] than wild-type mice. Conversely, administration of TAT-STEP into the lateral amygdala disrupts fear memory consolidation [3].

Accumulating evidence indicates that protein degradation is a critical regulator of memory formation and stability, and both calpain [10–12] and proteasome [13–17] activities have been shown to participate in synaptic plasticity and learning and memory processes. Remarkably, STEP levels are regulated via cleavage by calpains at the kinase interacting motif domain, the binding site for all STEP substrates. Cleavage at this site produces a truncated STEP product, STEP₃₃, which is unable to bind to and dephosphorylate its substrates [18]. Another mechanism for reducing STEP levels is by ubiquitination and degradation through the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) [18–21].

The current functional model postulates that STEP opposes the development of LTP and promotes long-term depression (LTD) and that STEP, by inactivating its substrates, exerts a restraint on long-term memory (LTM). Thus, it is likely that STEP levels are regulated in response to stimuli that promote synaptic strengthening and hippocampal-dependent memory formation. Strikingly, this paradigm has not been directly

addressed. In the present study, we sought to examine whether STEP levels are regulated during hippocampal LTP and after training in hippocampal-dependent tasks. The main finding of this study is that STEP levels are reduced by proteolytic degradation in conditions leading to synaptic strengthening and memory formation, which underscores the idea that protein processing relieves signaling constraints in order to activate downstream pathways that are important for plasticity.

Material and Methods

Mice

Mice were housed under a standard 12:12 h light/dark cycle with access to food and water ad libitum in a colony room kept at 20–24 °C and 40–50% humidity. All animal-related procedures were in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and approved by the local animal care committees of Universitat de Barcelona and Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, following European (2010/63/UE) and Spanish (RD 53/2013) regulations.

Electrophysiology

Transverse slices (350–400 μm thick) of brains from female mice (Crl:NMRI(Han) strain) were cut with a vibratome (VT1200S, Leica Microsystems, Nussloch, Deutschland) and incubated for at least 1 h at room temperature (21–24 °C) in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) that contained (in mM) 124 NaCl, 2.69 KCl, 1.25 KH₂PO₄, 2 MgSO₄, 26 NaHCO₃, 2 CaCl₂, and 10 glucose, and gassed with a 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂ mixture to attain a pH of 7.2–7.4. Individual slices were transferred to an immersion recording chamber where they were completely submerged into oxygenated aCSF flowing at 2.5 ml/min, and 29.5 °C. Field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) were recorded via a carbon fiber microelectrode (Carbostar-1, Kation Scientific, Minneapolis, MN) placed in the stratum radiatum of the CA1 pyramidal layer. Evoked fEPSPs were elicited by stimulation of the Schaffer collateral fibers with an extracellular bipolar tungsten electrode via a 2100 isolated pulse stimulator (A-M Systems, Inc., Carlsborg, WA). The stimulation intensity was adjusted to obtain a fEPSP slope that was approximately 50% of maximal fEPSP size. Data were stored in a Pentium-based PC through an acquisition system PowerLab 4/26 (AD Instruments, Bella Vista, Australia) and the software Scope (AD Instruments) was used to display fEPSPs and measurements of fEPSPs slopes. After recording stable baseline responses for 10 min, LTP was elicited by electrical stimulation of the Schaffer collaterals pathway, using different protocols: (1) strong HFS composed of four trains of pulses given at

100 Hz, lasting 1 s and spaced 20 s; (2) weak HFS consisted of one single train of pulses given at 100 Hz, lasting 1 s; and (3) the TBS consisted of ten bursts of five pulses given at 100 Hz, spaced 200 ms, and potentiation was measured for 60 min. To test the effect of STEP inhibition, 10 μ M TC-2153 [22] was added for 60 min before starting the recording and was present throughout the experiment. All fEPSPs slope values were relativized and expressed as percentage of basal mean slope within each group. To obtain controls for biochemical studies, some slices were subjected to the same protocol in the absence of stimulation. Hippocampi were dissected from the brain slices to perform Western blot analysis. The dissection was made manually by careful removal of surrounding tissues. The slices were always submerged in aCSF at room temperature.

Training in Hippocampal-Dependent Tasks and LTM Assessment

To investigate the effect of training on hippocampal STEP₆₁ levels, 3-month-old C57BL/6J male mice were used. Training in the passive avoidance (PA) task was performed as previously described [23]. Mice were placed in the light chamber and the door between the two chambers was opened 5 s later. When the mouse entered the dark chamber, the sliding door was closed and a foot-shock (45 V, 2 s) was delivered through the grid. Twenty seconds later, mice were returned to the home cage and they were sacrificed after 30 min. During training in the T-maze spontaneous alternation task (T-SAT), one arm of the T was closed (novel arm) and mice were placed in the stem arm of the T (home arm) and allowed to explore this arm and the other available arm (familiar arm) for 10 min, after which they were returned to the home cage. Mice were sacrificed at different time points (15 min to 2 h) after the training trial.

To investigate the effect of TrkB blockade on LTM and STEP levels, mice received an intraperitoneal injection of saline, 0.5 or 2 mg/Kg ANA-12 (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO), a TrkB antagonist [24], immediately before training in the T-SAT as described above. Some mice were sacrificed 1 h post training, and others were tested 4 h later. For that, mice were placed in the stem arm of the T-maze and allowed to freely explore all three arms for 5 min. The arm preference was measured as the time exploring each arm \times 100/total time exploring. Mice were sacrificed after testing and the hippocampi processed for biochemical analysis.

To assess hippocampal-dependent learning and memory in STEP KO mice, we performed the novel object recognition test (NORT), the novel object location test (NOLT) and the T-SAT in 3- and 12-month-old mice. NORT was performed as previously described [25] and T-SAT as detailed above. Briefly, in the NORT, mice were first habituated to the arena in the absence of objects (3 days, 15 min/day). On the fourth day, two similar objects were presented to each mouse during

10 min after which they were returned to their home cage. Twenty-four hours later, mice were tested for 5 min in the arena with a familiar and a new object. Spatial LTM was assessed by using the NOLT as previously described [26]. The device consisted of a square (45 \times 45 cm) arena. Mice were first habituated to the arena in the absence of objects (two trials of 15 min, 4 h inter-trial interval). On the following day, two similar objects were presented to each mouse during 10 min. Spatial cues were provided on the wall of the arena, such that animals could detect differences in object location. Twenty-four hours later, mice were tested for 5 min in the arena with the same objects but placement of one of the objects was changed in respect to the first exposure. The position of the new object (in NORT), the new position of the object (in NOLT), and the new arm (in T-SAT) were counterbalanced between mice. The object/location/arm preference was measured as the time exploring each object/location/arm \times 100/total time exploring. Animals were tracked and recorded with SMART Junior software (Panlab, Spain).

Western Blot Analysis

Non-stimulated hippocampal slices and slices subjected to HFS or TBS were collected and homogenized in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 100 mM NaF, 5 μ M ZnCl₂, and 10 mM EGTA plus protease inhibitors [phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride, PMSF (2 mM), aprotinin (1 μ g/ml), leupeptin (1 μ g/ml) and sodium orthovanadate (1 mM)]. Three to four slices were pooled for each sample number. Hippocampi from *in vivo* experiments were homogenized in lysis buffer as above. The lysates were centrifuged at 16100 \times *g* for 20 min, supernatants were collected, and protein concentration was measured using the Dc protein assay kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Western blot analyses were performed as previously described [27]. The primary antibodies used were anti-STEP (1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), anti-spectrin (1:2000; Chemicon International, Temecula, CA), anti-BDNF (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-TrkB (BD Transduction Laboratories, San Jose, CA), anti-pTrkB^{Tyr816} (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-pPLC γ ^{Tyr783} and anti-PLC γ (Cell Signaling, Beverly, MA), and anti-ubiquitin (1:4000; DakoCytomation, Denmark). The relative abundance of STEP₆₁ and STEP₃₃ is quite different because STEP₃₃ levels are very low in control conditions ([19, 28]; see also Fig. 1f, for example). Therefore, representative immunoblots for STEP₆₁ and STEP₃₃ cannot usually be obtained from the same film exposition since to detect the 33 kDa isoform, signal from STEP₆₁ becomes overexposed (Supp. Fig. 1). Moreover, in some cases we needed to load more protein and/or incubate the membrane with less diluted anti-STEP (1:500) in order to detect the STEP₃₃ isoform. Loading control was performed by reprobing the membranes with an anti- α -tubulin antibody (1:50000; Sigma-Aldrich) for 15–20 min at room temperature.




Fig. 1 STEP₆₁ is cleaved upon HFS and TBS. **a**, **c**, and **e** Time-course of relative fEPSPs slope in basal conditions and following (arrow) **a** strong electrical stimulation, **c** weak electrical stimulation, or **e** TBS of hippocampal slices. In each experiment, $n = 9$ slices obtained from 4 to 5 mice. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM. Trace insets show representative fEPSPs recorded during periods indicated by corresponding numbers in the graphic (1, 2, and 3). Scale bars: vertical 0.25 mV, horizontal 10 ms (for **a** and **e**) and vertical 0.5 mV, horizontal 10 ms (for **c**). **b**, **d**, and **f** The levels of STEP and spectrin breakdown products (SBDPs) at 145–

150 kDa in hippocampal slices were analyzed by Western blot of protein extracts obtained 60 min after **b** 4×100 Hz HFS, **d** 1×100 Hz HFS or **f** TBS. Representative immunoblots are shown. The possibility of showing representative immunoblots for STEP₆₁ and STEP₃₃ from the same film exposition was hampered by their different relative abundance. Values (obtained by densitometric analysis of Western blot data) are expressed as percentage of control slices and shown as mean \pm SEM ($n = 5–10$). Data were analyzed by Student's *t* test. * $P < 0.05$ and *** $P < 0.001$ as compared to control

Then, membranes were washed with TBS-T (Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20), incubated for 1 h (15–20 min for loading controls) at room temperature with the corresponding horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:2000; Promega, Madison, WI), and washed again with TBS-T. Immunoreactive bands were visualized using the Western Blotting Luminol Reagent (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and quantified by a computer-assisted densitometer (Gel-Pro Analyzer, version 4, Media Cybernetics; Warrendale, PA).

Immunoprecipitation Assay

Probes were performed in order to investigate whether immunoprecipitation (IP) of STEP from native or denatured samples gives different ubiquitination patterns related to the detection of ubiquitinated protein(s) associated with immunoprecipitated STEP. Sample denaturation before IP was performed by incubating the extracts in the presence of 1% SDS for 10 min at 100 °C. Then, SDS concentration was reduced to 0.1% by the

addition of lysis buffer and samples were incubated for 30 min on ice. Since no differences were found in the ubiquitination patterns of STEP₆₁ under native and denaturing conditions (Supp. Fig. 2), the IP was performed in native conditions. Hippocampal protein extracts (200 µg) were diluted in 200 µl ice-cold IP buffer containing 40 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 10 mM sodium glycerophosphate, 1 mM EDTA, 0.3% 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPS), 2 mM PMSF, 1 µg/ml aprotinin, 1 µg/ml leupeptin, and 1 mM sodium orthovanadate. Samples were incubated overnight at 4 °C on a tube rotator with 2 µg anti-STEP antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or AffiniPure mouse anti-human IgGs (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA) as a negative control. The immune complexes were precipitated overnight at 4 °C by the addition of 25 µl protein A/G plus-Agarose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Beads were collected by centrifugation (5 min, 3300 × g at 4 °C) and washed three times with IP buffer and once with wash buffer (50 mM Hepes, pH = 7.5, 40 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA). Then, bound proteins were eluted using SDS sample buffer; samples were boiled for 5 min at 100 °C and subjected to SDS-PAGE. Ubiquitinated STEP₆₁ was quantified by accounting the total smear (MW > 61 kDa) from each lane.

Statistical Analysis

All data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by using the unpaired Student's *t* test (95% confidence). Values of *P* < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Results

HFS and TBS Induce STEP₆₁ Cleavage in Hippocampal Slices

In order to investigate whether STEP₆₁ levels are regulated in response to electrical stimulation of the Schaffer collateral pathway, we collected hippocampal slices 60 min after inducing LTP with strong HFS (4 × 100 Hz trains; Fig. 1a) and analyzed STEP₆₁ levels by Western blot. We found that STEP₆₁ levels were significantly reduced, with a concomitant increase of STEP₃₃ levels (Fig. 1b). The finding that STEP₃₃ levels were increased in hippocampal slices upon HFS indicated that calpains were implicated since these proteases cleave STEP₆₁ and generate a 33-kDa fragment [18]. Western blot analysis of spectrin breakdown products (SBDPs) at 145–150 kDa, which are specifically generated by calpain-dependent cleavage, confirmed that there was calpain activation in hippocampal slices subjected to HFS

(control, 99.99 ± 8.49% and HFS, 182.75 ± 11.29%; *n* = 6–10; *P* = 0.0002; Student's *t* test; Fig. 1b).

It has recently been shown that different signaling pathways are implicated in LTP induction by different patterns of electrical stimulation [29]. Therefore, we next analyzed STEP levels after inducing LTP by using a single train of 100 Hz for 1 s, or a TBS protocol. Induction of LTP with a 100 Hz, 1 s train (Fig. 1c) reduced STEP₆₁ levels, with an increase of STEP₃₃ levels (Fig. 1d). Accordingly, there was an almost significant increase of SBDPs levels at 145–150 kDa in hippocampal slices subjected to one train of 100 Hz, 1 s (control, 100.03 ± 10.88% and HFS, 140.58 ± 16.37%; *n* = 5–8; *P* = 0.0542; Student's *t* test; Fig. 1d). Similarly, we found that TBS-induced LTP (Fig. 1e) promoted a significant reduction of STEP₆₁ levels, and this effect was paralleled by a significant increase of STEP₃₃ levels (Fig. 1f). In agreement, SBDPs levels were also significantly higher in hippocampal slices receiving TBS as compared to control slices (control, 100.03 ± 14.00% and TBS, 166.66 ± 7.40%; *n* = 5–10; *P* = 0.0004; Student's *t* test; Fig. 1f).

Pharmacological Inhibition of STEP Improves Hippocampal LTP

Acute STEP inhibition in CA1 neurons using an anti-STEP antibody enhances synaptic transmission [2], whereas STEP KO mice have unaffected basal synaptic transmission in the lateral amygdala [4], suggesting that compensatory mechanisms occur in mice with *PTPN5* deletion. Therefore, we tested the effect of an acute pharmacological inhibition of STEP on LTP by using TC-2153, a recently characterized STEP inhibitor [22]. For that we pre-incubated hippocampal slices with 10 µM TC-2153 for 60 min and stimulated the Schaffer collateral pathway with a single train of 100 Hz for 1 s. We found that 60 min later LTP was significantly higher in slices exposed to STEP inhibitor than in non-treated ones (control, 107.14 ± 0.92% vs TC-2153, 115.13 ± 1.73%; *n* = 9/group; *P* = 0.0008; Student's *t* test; Fig. 2).

Training in Hippocampal-Dependent Memory Tasks Leads to a Reduction of STEP₆₁ Levels

Next, we were interested in addressing whether STEP levels are regulated in a more physiological condition, for instance during memory formation. For that, 3-month-old wild-type mice were trained in the PA and T-SAT, and STEP protein levels were analyzed after training. We observed that 30 min after training in the PA STEP₆₁ levels were significantly reduced in the hippocampus as compared to non-trained control mice (Fig. 3a). Similarly, 1 h after training in the T-SAT hippocampal STEP₆₁ levels were lower in trained than in control mice (Fig. 3a). However, in contrast to the findings in hippocampal slices subjected to electrical stimulation, where




Fig. 2 Inhibition of STEP improves hippocampal LTP. Time-course of relative fEPSPs slope in basal conditions and following weak HFS (arrow) in control slices (black dots, $n = 9$ slices obtained from four mice) and in slices incubated with $10 \mu\text{M}$ TC-2153 (white dots, $n = 9$ slices obtained from three mice). Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM. Traces inset shows representative fEPSPs recorded during periods indicated by the corresponding numbers in the graphic (1, 2, and 3). Scale bars: vertical 0.5 mV, horizontal 10 ms. Data were analyzed by Student's *t* test. $**P < 0.01$ as compared to control slices

STEP₆₁ levels were reduced due to calpain cleavage (Fig. 1), STEP₃₃ levels were not altered in the hippocampus of trained mice (Fig. 3a), indicating that calpains were not responsible for this decrease of STEP₆₁ levels. In this line, analysis of SBDPs levels after training also indicated that there was no calpain activation (PA, naïve mice $99.91 \pm 9.13\%$ and trained mice $107.08 \pm 10.24\%$, $n = 6-7/\text{group}$, $P = 0.6166$, Student's *t* test; T-SAT, naïve mice $100.03 \pm 9.22\%$ and trained mice $68.86 \pm 10.01\%$, $n = 5-7/\text{group}$, $P = 0.0478$, Student's *t* test).

We have recently reported that BDNF promotes STEP₆₁ degradation in cultured hippocampal neurons [19]. Thus, we next examined BDNF levels in trained mice. We found that hippocampal BDNF content was increased in mice trained in PA and T-SAT compared to control animals (Fig. 3b). Moreover, we detected higher TrkB levels in the hippocampus of T-SAT trained mice compared to naïve animals, but no changes were detected in mice trained in the PA (Fig. 3c).

Since BDNF promotes STEP degradation through the UPS in a PLC γ -dependent manner [19], we then analyzed BDNF signaling focusing on the T-SAT. We found increased pTrkB^{Tyr816} (Fig. 4a) and pPLC γ ^{Tyr783} (Fig. 4b) levels in the hippocampus of trained mice. Interestingly, we also detected increased protein ubiquitination in total extracts obtained from the hippocampus of mice trained in the T-SAT (Fig. 4c). Next, we investigated whether reduced hippocampal STEP₆₁ levels after training were due to increased ubiquitination and subsequent proteasome degradation. For that, we immunoprecipitated STEP from hippocampal extracts obtained from naïve and from T-SAT-trained mice, and performed Western blot against ubiquitin. We found that the levels of ubiquitin-conjugated STEP₆₁ 1 h after training in the T-SAT were similar in the hippocampus of naïve and trained mice ($P = 0.4948$; Fig. 4d). Thus, we hypothesized that the pool of STEP₆₁ susceptible to UPS-mediated

degradation upon training was already fully degraded 1 h post-training, so that differences in ubiquitin-conjugated STEP₆₁ levels could no longer be detected. In support of this assumption, we found that 2 h after training STEP₆₁ levels were not significantly different from those detected at 1 h (1 h post-training, $73.99 \pm 5.77\%$ of naïve values, Fig. 3a; 2 h post-training, $71.11 \pm 7.66\%$ of naïve values; $P = 0.7778$, Student's *t* test). Analysis at time-points earlier than 1 h did not show significant changes in STEP₆₁ levels in the hippocampus of trained mice ($P = 0.4437$; one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test; Supp. Fig. 3).

TrkB Antagonism Promotes STEP₆₁ Accumulation and LTM Impairment

Given that hippocampal BDNF content and signaling was increased after training and was paralleled by reduced STEP₆₁ levels, we hypothesized that preventing BDNF signaling would have an impact on STEP₆₁ levels and LTM formation. To investigate the effect of TrkB blockade on LTM and STEP levels mice were injected with saline or the TrkB antagonist ANA-12 [24] immediately before training in the T-SAT. We did not detect any difference in STEP₆₁ levels 1 h after training when comparing mice injected with saline or with 2 mg/kg ANA-12 (saline, $100.11 \pm 3.78\%$ and ANA-12, $108.52 \pm 7.27\%$; $n = 9-10/\text{group}$, $P = 0.3353$, Student's *t* test). In agreement with this result, there was no effect on pTrkB^{Tyr816} levels in ANA-12-treated mice 1 h post-injection plus training (saline, $99.80 \pm 4.60\%$ and ANA-12, $92.14 \pm 9.69\%$; $n = 9-10/\text{group}$; $P = 0.5005$, Student's *t* test). Given that the effect of ANA-12 on pTrkB levels seems to accumulate over time in different brain regions [24], we decided to analyze LTM in the T-SAT. When we tested mice 4 h after training, we found that mice injected with saline before training explored significantly more on the new arm of the T-maze, an evidence of LTM for the old arm (Fig. 5a). Conversely, mice treated with 2 mg/Kg ANA-12 showed LTM impairment as they explored the old arm significantly more than the new one (Fig. 5a). The levels of pTrkB^{Tyr816} were reduced in ANA-12-injected mice, but statistical significance was not reached (saline, $100.00 \pm 16.01\%$ and ANA-12, 66.95 ± 7.11 ; $n = 6/\text{group}$; $P = 0.0885$; Student's *t* test). Remarkably, this cognitive impairment was accompanied by increased STEP₆₁ levels in the hippocampus of ANA-12-injected mice (Fig. 5b), suggesting reduced proteasomal degradation. Accordingly, we found a trend toward reduced STEP₆₁ ubiquitination in the hippocampus of ANA-12-injected mice ($P = 0.0895$; Student's *t* test; Fig. 5c). Reinforcing our hypothesis, mice injected with 0.5 mg/Kg ANA-12 showed no LTM impairment in the T-SAT (Supp. Fig. 4a), and their hippocampal STEP₆₁ levels were not significantly different from saline-injected mice (Supp. Fig. 4b).




Fig. 3 Training in the PA and T-SAT leads to a decrease of hippocampal STEP₆₁ levels. **a** STEP₆₁, **b** BDNF, and **c** TrkB levels were analyzed by Western blot of protein extracts obtained from the hippocampus of mice trained in the PA and T-SAT and sacrificed 30 min or 1 h later, respectively. Representative immunoblots are shown. The different relative abundance of STEP₆₁ and STEP₃₃ precluded the possibility of showing

representative immunoblots for STEP₆₁ and STEP₃₃ from the same film exposition. Values (obtained by densitometric analysis of Western blot data) are expressed as percentage of naive mice and shown as mean \pm SEM ($n = 8-12$). Data were analyzed by Student's *t* test. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, and *** $P < 0.001$ as compared to naive mice

Improved Performance in Hippocampal Memory Tests in STEP KO Mice Is Age- and Test-Dependent

Finally, given that STEP₆₁ levels were reduced in the hippocampus of trained mice (Fig. 3a), suggesting its involvement in memory processes, we performed the NORT, NOLT, and T-SAT in wild-type and STEP KO mice at 3 and 12 months of age. The PA paradigm was not used because we recently reported that STEP plays a regulatory role in nociception, and STEP KO mice have thermal hyperalgesia and mechanical allodynia [30], which would interfere with the interpretation

of the results. Mice from both genotypes spent significantly more time exploring the novel object, location, and arm, respectively, at both ages tested. We found that at 3 months of age, STEP KO mice performed better in the NORT, but the performance in the NOLT and T-SAT was similar to their wild-type littermates (Fig. 6a). At 12 months of age, no differences were found in the preference for the novel object or arm between genotypes, but STEP KO mice performed better than control mice in the NOLT (Fig. 6b). The improved spatial memory of STEP KO mice in the NOLT was not related to worsening of wild-type mice, which performed similarly at




Fig. 4 Analysis of TrkB signaling, protein ubiquitination, and STEP₆₁-ubiquitin conjugates after T-SAT training. The levels of **a** pTrkB^{Tyr816}, **b** pPLC γ ^{Tyr783}, and **c** protein ubiquitination were analyzed by Western blot of protein extracts obtained from the hippocampus of naïve mice and mice trained in the T-SAT and sacrificed 1 h later. Representative immunoblots are shown. Values (obtained by densitometric analysis of Western blot data) are expressed as percentage of naïve mice and shown as mean \pm SEM (**a**, $n = 8-11$; **b**, $n = 9-10$; **c**, $n = 5-6$). Data were analyzed by Student's *t* test. * $P < 0.05$ and ** $P < 0.01$ as compared to naïve mice. **d** Levels of STEP₆₁-ubiquitin conjugates in hippocampal protein extracts obtained from naïve mice and mice trained in the T-SAT and sacrificed 1 h later. The levels of STEP₆₁-ubiquitin conjugates in the hippocampus after training were determined by performing immunoprecipitation (IP) of STEP and Western blot (WB) against ubiquitin. Representative immunoblots are shown. The ratio of ubiquitin-conjugated STEP₆₁/IP STEP₆₁ was calculated for each sample, and data are expressed as percentage of naïve mice and shown as mean \pm SEM ($n = 5-7$). Data were analyzed by Student's *t* test

hippocampal-dependent tasks. Our results also show that pharmacological inhibition of STEP increases hippocampal LTP, and that blockade of TrkB *in vivo* induces STEP₆₁ accumulation in the hippocampus and impairs LTM formation. Also, we observed improved cognitive performance in STEP KO mice that is age- and test-dependent.

Our findings indicate that hippocampal STEP₆₁ is cleaved by calpains after inducing LTP at the Schaffer collateral pathway, either by using a HFS or a TBS protocol. In this line, the three protocols of stimulation tested induced the appearance of the cleavage product STEP₃₃, as well as the generation of SBDPs at 145–150 kDa, a commonly used evidence of calpain activation. Accordingly, several studies using calpain inhibitors and genetic approaches indicate that calpains are implicated in mediating LTP at hippocampal CA1 synapses (reviewed in [12]). HFS of slices from the lateral amygdala does not produce changes in STEP levels [3], and LTP induction in spinal dorsal horn does not affect total STEP₆₁ levels, but promotes its phosphorylation-mediated inactivation [31]. Diverse pathways are engaged in LTP induced by different patterns of electrical stimulation [32–35]. For instance, PKA-dependency is limited to LTP induced by using multi-train and temporally spaced, but not massed, stimuli [36–38]. Another example is that TBS-LTP is resistant to inhibition by A β 42, but LTP and post-tetanic potentiation induced by HFS are significantly blocked by the A β 42 peptide [39]. Also, inhibition of BDNF/TrkB signaling attenuates LTP induced by TBS, but not by HFS [40–43]. Thus, LTP can be induced by a variety of stimulation protocols that may work through alternative signaling pathways and converge on a common set of mechanisms involved in the maintenance of LTP (reviewed in [44]). Therefore, the use of different stimulation protocols across laboratories might complicate interpretation and direct comparison of results from different groups. Overall, our finding that STEP₆₁ is cleaved after electrical stimulation leading to LTP at CA1 neurons is compatible with the current model, which postulates that STEP opposes the development of synaptic strengthening. In agreement, we found that

3 and 12 months of age (new location preference at 3 vs 12 months of age, $P = 0.1289$; Student's *t* test; $n = 8-12$ mice/group).

Discussion

A major finding of this study is that the tyrosine phosphatase STEP₆₁ undergoes proteolytic degradation following LTP induction in hippocampal slices and after training in





Fig. 5 Blockade of TrkB induces STEP₆₁ accumulation and LTM impairment in the T-SAT. **a** Mice received an intraperitoneal injection of saline or 2 mg/Kg ANA-12 and were trained in the T-SAT immediately after. LTM was assessed 4 h later. The graph shows the percentage of arm preference (mean \pm SEM; $n = 13$ /group). Data were analyzed by Student's t test. * $P < 0.05$ and *** $P < 0.001$ as compared to old arm. **b** STEP₆₁ levels were analyzed by Western blot of protein extracts obtained from the hippocampus of mice injected with saline or 2 mg/Kg ANA-12 before T-SAT training and subjected to LTM assessment. Representative immunoblots are shown. Values (obtained by densitometric analysis of Western blot data) are expressed as percentage of saline-treated mice and shown as mean \pm SEM ($n = 6$ /group). Data were analyzed by Student's t test. * $P < 0.05$ as compared to saline-injected mice. **c** Levels of STEP₆₁-ubiquitin conjugates in hippocampal protein extracts obtained from mice injected with saline or 2 mg/Kg ANA-12, trained in the T-SAT and tested 4 h later. Representative immunoblots are shown. The ratio of ubiquitin-conjugated STEP₆₁/IP STEP₆₁ was calculated for each sample and data are expressed as percentage of saline-injected mice and shown as mean \pm SEM ($n = 5-6$). Data were analyzed by Student's t test

pharmacological inhibition of STEP with TC-2153 improved LTP induced by a single train of HFS. Moreover, administration of STEP into CA1 neurons [2], the substrate trapping TAT-STEP into the lateral amygdala [3] or the striatum [45], or overexpression of STEP in the spinal dorsal horn [31] prevent LTP. However, although TBS-LTP was reported to be higher in the hippocampus of STEP KO than in wild-type mice [5], HFS-LTP was similar in both genotypes [6]. In addition, studies performing *PTPN5* downregulation and overexpression showed no significant differences in the magnitude of hippocampal LTP

or LTD compared to controls [46]. It is likely that the use of different stimulation protocols and experimental conditions contribute to these discrepancies. It is noteworthy that although it is unknown whether LTD is paralleled by increased STEP levels, it has been shown that STEP expression increases in hippocampal slices and primary cultures in response to the selective metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGluR) agonist (*RS*)-3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine (DHPG) [47]. Since DHPG can induce mGluR-dependent LTD [48, 49], it is likely that LTP and LTD are paralleled by opposite changes in STEP levels. In addition, our results further support the view that protein cleavage relieves signaling or physical constraints to initiate signaling cascades that are relevant for plasticity. For example, in hippocampal slices stimulated with KCl [50] or subjected to TBS [51] there is a calpain-mediated transient downregulation of a negative regulator of the MAPK pathway. Also, upregulation of protein synthesis after TBS has been shown to be mediated by the calpain-dependent cleavage of a translational repressor [52]. Activating transcription factor 4, a repressor of CREB, undergoes robust proteasome-dependent degradation following chemical induction of LTP [53], and proteasome degradation of MOV10 upon KCl depolarization allows protein translation in hippocampal neurons [54].

In the present study, we also demonstrate that STEP₆₁ levels were reduced in the hippocampus upon training in the PA and T-SAT. In contrast to the observations in hippocampal slices subjected to electrical stimulation, this phenomenon was not mediated by calpains as it was not accompanied by the accumulation of the cleaved fragment STEP₃₃. However, the reduction of hippocampal STEP₆₁ levels after training was paralleled by increased levels of BDNF. In agreement, it is known that BDNF levels increase in the hippocampus after training for memory tasks [55–58]. Remarkably, we have shown that BDNF promotes STEP degradation through the UPS [19], and one of the proposed functions of the UPS is the degradation of neural plasticity inhibitors to remove inhibitory constraints on memory formation [54, 59, 60]. In this line, here, we detected increased total protein ubiquitination after training in the T-SAT, and similar findings were reported after training in inhibitory avoidance [61]. This indicates that in the hippocampus of trained mice, in addition to STEP, other molecular restraints are degraded. The proteasome degradation of these ubiquitinated proteins will follow a protein-dependent time-course as total protein ubiquitination, but not STEP ubiquitination, was found to be increased 1 h after training in the T-SAT. Indeed, no significant changes in STEP₆₁ levels were detected at time-points earlier than 1 h, no differences in ubiquitin-conjugated STEP₆₁ levels were found 1 h after training, and STEP₆₁ levels at 1 and 2 h post-training were similar. These findings suggest that the pool of STEP₆₁ susceptible to UPS-mediated degradation upon training was completely degraded 1 h post-training in a very rapid and plastic manner. Conversely, we found reduced STEP levels and we could detect increased levels of ubiquitin-

Fig. 6 Improved performance in the NORT and NOLT in young and older STEP KO mice, respectively. Wild-type (WT) and STEP KO mice were subjected to the NORT, NOLT and T-SAT. LTM was assessed 24 h (NORT and NOLT) or 4 h (T-SAT) after the training trial. Graphs show the percentage of object (NORT), location (NOLT) and arm (T-SAT) preference (mean \pm SEM; $n = 8$ –16/group at 3 months and $n = 11$ –17/group at 12 months of age) in WT and STEP KO mice at **a** 3 and **b** 12 months of age. Data were analyzed by Student's *t* test. *** $P < 0.001$ as compared to old object/location/arm and $^{SS}P < 0.01$ as compared to preference for the new object/location in WT mice



conjugated STEP in the striatum of aged mice [62], which can be considered a scenario of plastic sustained changes over time. Importantly, we found that in conditions where blockade of TrkB using ANA-12 promoted STEP₆₁ accumulation in the hippocampus there was LTM impairment. Actually, several studies indicate that preventing protein degradation impairs memory consolidation [60, 61, 63–66]. Conversely, injection of 0.5 mg/Kg ANA-12 pre-training induced no accumulation of STEP₆₁ in the hippocampus and no cognitive impairment in the T-SAT. Similarly, injection of ANA-12 up to 0.6 mg/Kg pre-acquisition did not induce cognitive deficits in the retention phase of the PA [67]. However, others have reported that hippocampal infusion of ANA-12 in rats impairs memory consolidation in the inhibitory avoidance test [68].

The first indication that experience-dependent plasticity regulates STEP activity was the observation, a decade ago, that STEP was rapidly translated in response to fear conditioning [3]. Here, we found that STEP₆₁ levels are downregulated after training in hippocampal-dependent tasks, which is in line with the model where STEP acts as a molecular restraint that needs to be removed in order to allow memory formation. Similarly, other studies show that conditions that promote memory formation stimulate the degradation of certain molecular constrains [50, 52, 69, 70]. Moreover, here, we found that STEP₆₁ levels were downregulated through different mechanisms in response to electrical stimulation of hippocampal slices and in vivo, after training in hippocampal-dependent tasks. Interestingly, a recent study shows that the levels of

phosphorylated STEP₆₁ (pSTEP₆₁) at Ser221 increase in the striatum at the second day of training in the accelerating rotarod, whereas total STEP₆₁ levels are unchanged during learning [71]. Importantly, inhibition of PKA in the dorsal striatum reduces the levels of pSTEP₆₁ (Ser221) and dose-dependently impairs mouse performance in the accelerating rotarod, suggesting that inactivation of STEP₆₁ by PKA likely participates in striatal neuronal signaling associated with learning of motor skills [71]. Altogether, this evidence supports a model where STEP activity exerts a restraint on LTM formation, which is removed in response to stimuli that promote synaptic plasticity and memory formation, either through degradation or phosphorylation-mediated inactivation. Actually, several findings point out that STEP₆₁ levels/activity are dynamically regulated in very specific and stimulus-dependent manner [18, 72, 73]. For instance, we demonstrated in primary cortical neurons that a brief depolarization using KCl promotes STEP₆₁ degradation in a TrkB- and proteasome-dependent manner, whereas a sustained incubation with KCl induces its cleavage by calpains [19]. Remarkably, in response to sustained activity blockade there is a concurrent decrease of STEP₆₁ mRNA and protein levels, together with increased phosphorylation, which allow enhanced tyrosine phosphorylation of GluN2B and GluA2, and synaptic scaling in cultured hippocampal neurons [7]. Conversely, chronic activation increases STEP₆₁ protein levels and reduces its phosphorylation, leading to reduced tyrosine phosphorylation and surface levels of GluN2B and

GluA2 [7]. Collectively, these findings and our results in vitro and in vivo highlight that activity-dependent regulation of STEP₆₁ levels is a mechanism that contributes not only to homeostatic synaptic plasticity, but likely to synaptic strengthening and memory formation.

Another finding of the present study is that improved performance in hippocampal memory tests in STEP KO mice is age- and test-dependent. STEP KO mice outperformed wild-type mice in the NORT at 3, but not at 12 months of age, and the opposite occurred in the NOLT, while memory for the novel arm in the T-SAT was similar between genotypes at both ages tested. Our results are in line with the reports showing that STEP KO mice have improved performance in the MWM compared to their control littermates at 3–4 [8], but not at 6 [74] months of age, and 6-month-old wild-type mice treated with the STEP inhibitor TC-2153 show no differences compared to vehicle-treated mice [22]. Also, in agreement with our findings, wild-type and STEP KO mice perform similarly in the NORT and in the Y-maze at 6 months of age [5, 74]. Interestingly, genetic reduction of STEP levels alleviates age-related hippocampal-dependent memory deficits [74]. Thus, STEP can be linked to certain learning behaviors like the MWM and fear conditioning [3, 4, 8], but other types of memory can be independent, or at least less dependent, on STEP levels/activity, or its contribution evident only under certain experimental conditions.

In agreement with the current functional model that STEP opposes the development of LTP, the main finding of the present study is that STEP₆₁ levels are downregulated due to calpain-mediated cleavage in response to stimuli that induce hippocampal LTP. Moreover, STEP₆₁ levels are reduced after training in hippocampal-dependent tasks in a TrkB-dependent manner, and preventing its UPS-mediated degradation contributes to memory impairment.

Acknowledgments The authors are very grateful to A. López and M. T. Muñoz for their technical support, and to Dr. Paul J. Lombroso (Child Study Center, Yale School of Medicine, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA) for providing the STEP KO mice to establish our colony, and the STEP inhibitor TC-2153.

Funding Information This work was supported by the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain (SAF2016-08573-R to E. Pérez-Navarro and BFU2014-57929-P, cofinanced by FEDER, to E.D. Martín).

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Goebel-Goody SM, Baum M, Paspalas CD, Fernandez SM, Carty NC, Kurup P, Lombroso PJ (2012) Therapeutic implications for striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase (STEP) in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Pharmacol Rev* 64:65–87. <https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.110.003053>
- Pelkey KA, Askalan R, Paul S, Kalia LV, Nguyen TH, Pitcher GM, Salter MW, Lombroso PJ (2002) Tyrosine phosphatase STEP is a tonic brake on induction of long-term potentiation. *Neuron* 34:127–138
- Paul S, Olausson P, Venkitaramani DV, Ruchkina I, Moran TD, Tronson N, Mills E, Hakim S et al (2007) The striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase gates long-term potentiation and fear memory in the lateral amygdala. *Biol Psychiatry* 61:1049–1061. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.08.005>
- Olausson P, Venkitaramani DV, Moran TD, Salter MW, Taylor JR, Lombroso PJ (2012) The tyrosine phosphatase STEP constrains amygdala-dependent memory formation and neuroplasticity. *Neuroscience* 225:1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.07.069>
- Zhang Y, Kurup P, Xu J, Carty N, Fernandez SM, Nygaard HB, Pittenger C, Greengard P et al (2010) Genetic reduction of striatal-enriched tyrosine phosphatase (STEP) reverses cognitive and cellular deficits in an Alzheimer's disease mouse model. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 107:19014–19019. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1013543107>
- Hicklin TR, Wu PH, Radcliffe RA, Freund RK, Goebel-Goody SM, Correa PR, Proctor WR, Lombroso PJ et al (2011) Alcohol inhibition of the NMDA receptor function, long-term potentiation, and fear learning requires striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108:6650–6655. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1017856108>
- Jang S-S, Royston SE, Xu J, Cavaretta JP, Vest MO, Lee KY, Lee S, Jeong HG et al (2015) Regulation of STEP61 and tyrosine-phosphorylation of NMDA and AMPA receptors during homeostatic synaptic plasticity. *Mol Brain* 8:55. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13041-015-0148-4>
- Venkitaramani DV, Moura PJ, Picciotto MR, Lombroso PJ (2011) Striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase (STEP) knockout mice have enhanced hippocampal memory. *Eur J Neurosci* 33:2288–2298
- Venkitaramani DV, Paul S, Zhang Y, Kurup P, Ding L, Tressler L, Allen M, Sacca R et al (2009) Knockout of striatal enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase in mice results in increased ERK1/2 phosphorylation. *Synapse* 63:69–81
- Baudry M, Bi X, Gall C, Lynch G (2011) The biochemistry of memory: the 26 year journey of a “new and specific hypothesis”. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 95:125–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2010.11.015>
- Baudry M, Bi X (2016) Calpain-1 and Calpain-2: the Yin and Yang of synaptic plasticity and neurodegeneration. *Trends Neurosci* 39:235–245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2016.01.007>
- Salazar IL, Caldeira MV, Curcio M, Duarte CB (2016) The role of proteases in hippocampal synaptic plasticity: putting together small pieces of a complex puzzle. *Neurochem Res* 41:156–182. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11064-015-1752-5>
- Jarome TJ, Helmstetter FJ (2013) The ubiquitin-proteasome system as a critical regulator of synaptic plasticity and long-term memory formation. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 105:107–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2013.03.009>
- Yi JJ, Ehlers MD (2007) Emerging roles for ubiquitin and protein degradation in neuronal function. *Pharmacol Rev* 59:14–39. <https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.59.1.4>
- Mabb AM, Ehlers MD (2010) Ubiquitination in postsynaptic function and plasticity. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 26:179–210. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100109-104129>
- Tsai NP (2014) Ubiquitin proteasome system-mediated degradation of synaptic proteins: an update from the postsynaptic side. *Biochim Biophys Acta-Mol Cell Res* 1843:2838–2842. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2014.08.006>

17. Hegde AN (2010) The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway and synaptic plasticity. *Learn Mem* 17:314–327. <https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.1504010>
18. Xu J, Kurup P, Zhang Y, Goebel-Goody SM, Wu PH, Hawasli AH, Baum ML, Bibb JA et al (2009) Extrasynaptic NMDA receptors couple preferentially to excitotoxicity via calpain-mediated cleavage of STEP. *J Neurosci* 29:9330–9343
19. Saavedra A, Puigdemílvoll M, Tyebji S, Kurup P, Xu J, Ginés S, Alberch J, Lombroso PJ et al (2016) BDNF induces striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase 61 degradation through the proteasome. *Mol Neurobiol* 53:4261–4273. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-015-9335-7>
20. Mukherjee S, Poddar R, Deb I, Paul S (2011) Dephosphorylation of specific sites in the KIS domain leads to ubiquitin-mediated degradation of the tyrosine phosphatase STEP. *Biochem J* 440:115–125
21. Xu J, Kurup P, Azkona G, Baguley TD, Saavedra A, Naim AC, Ellman JA, Pérez-Navarro E et al (2015) Down-regulation of BDNF in cell and animal models increases striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase 61 (STEP61) levels. *J Neurochem* 136:285–294. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.13295>
22. Xu J, Chatterjee M, Baguley TD, Brouillette J, Kurup P, Ghosh D, Kanyo J, Zhang Y et al (2014) Inhibitor of the tyrosine phosphatase STEP reverses cognitive deficits in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS Biol* 12:1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001923>
23. Saavedra A, Giralt A, Arumí H, Alberch J, Pérez-Navarro E (2013) Regulation of hippocampal cGMP levels as a candidate to treat cognitive deficits in Huntington's disease. *PLoS One* 8:e73664. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073664>
24. Cazorla M, Prémont J, Mann A, Girard N, Kellendonk C, Rognan D (2011) Identification of a low-molecular weight TrkB antagonist with anxiolytic and antidepressant activity in mice. *J Clin Invest* 121:1846–1857. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI43992>
25. Giralt A, Saavedra A, Carretón O, Xifré X, Alberch J, Pérez-Navarro E (2011) Increased PKA signaling disrupts recognition memory and spatial memory: role in Huntington's disease. *Hum Mol Genet* 20:4232–4247
26. Lee YA, Goto Y (2011) Neurodevelopmental disruption of corticostriatal function caused by degeneration of habenula neurons. *PLoS One* 6:e19450. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019450>
27. Saavedra A, Giralt A, Rué L et al (2011) Striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase expression and activity in Huntington's disease: a STEP in the resistance to excitotoxicity. *J Neurosci* 31:8150–8162
28. Saavedra A, Fernández-García S, Cases S, Puigdemílvoll M, Alcalá-Vida R, Martín-Flores N, Alberch J, Ginés S et al (2017) Chelerythrine promotes Ca²⁺-dependent calpain activation in neuronal cells in a PKC-independent manner. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Gen Subj* 1861:922–935. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2017.01.021>
29. Zhu G, Liu Y, Wang Y, Bi X, Baudry M (2015) Different patterns of electrical activity lead to long-term potentiation by activating different intracellular pathways. *J Neurosci* 35:621–633. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2193-14.2015>
30. Azkona G, Saavedra A, Aira Z, Aluja D, Xifré X, Baguley T, Alberch J, Ellman JA et al (2016) Striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase modulates nociception: evidence from genetic deletion and pharmacological inhibition. *Pain* 157:377–386. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000329>
31. Suo Z, Liu J, Xue M et al (2017) Striatal-enriched phosphatase 61 inhibited the nociceptive plasticity in spinal cord dorsal horn of rats. *Neuroscience* 352:97–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.03.048>
32. Davies C, Collingridge G (1996) Regulation of EPSPs by the synaptic activation of GABA_B autoreceptors in rat hippocampus. *J Physiol* 496(Pt 2):451–470
33. Matias CM, Dionísio JC, Arif M, Quinta-Ferreira ME (2003) Effect of D-2 amino-5-phosphonopentanoate and nifedipine on postsynaptic calcium changes associated with long-term potentiation in hippocampal CA1 area. *Brain Res* 976:90–99
34. Raymond CR, Redman SJ (2006) Spatial segregation of neuronal calcium signals encodes different forms of LTP in rat hippocampus. *J Physiol* 570:97–111. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2005.098947>
35. Raymond CR, Redman SJ (2002) Different calcium sources are narrowly tuned to the induction of different forms of LTP. *J Neurophysiol* 88:249–255
36. Huang YY, Kandel ER (1994) Recruitment of long-lasting and protein kinase A-dependent long-term potentiation in the CA1 region of hippocampus requires repeated tetanization. *Learn Mem* 1:74–82. <https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.1.1.74>
37. Woo NH, Duffy SN, Abel T, Nguyen PV (2003) Temporal spacing of synaptic stimulation critically modulates the dependence of LTP on cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase. *Hippocampus* 13:293–300. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.10086>
38. Kim M, Huang T, Abel T, Blackwell KT (2010) Temporal sensitivity of protein kinase A activation in late-phase long term potentiation. *PLoS Comput Biol* 6:e1000691. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000691>
39. Smith JP, Lal V, Bowser D, Cappai R, Masters CL, Ciccostoto GD (2009) Stimulus pattern dependence of the Alzheimer's disease amyloid- β 42 peptide's inhibition of long term potentiation in mouse hippocampal slices. *Brain Res* 1269:176–184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2009.03.007>
40. Chen G, Kolbeck R, Barde Y et al (1999) Relative contribution of endogenous neurotrophins in hippocampal long-term potentiation. *J Neurosci* 19:7983–7990
41. Kang H, Welcher A a, Shelton D, Schuman EM (1997) Neurotrophins and time: different roles for TrkB signaling in hippocampal long-term potentiation. *Neuron* 19:653–664. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)80378-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80378-5)
42. Patterson SL, Pittenger C, Morozov A, Martin KC, Scanlin H, Drake C, Kandel ER (2001) Some forms of cAMP-mediated long-lasting potentiation are associated with release of BDNF and nuclear translocation of phospho-MAP kinase. *Neuron* 32:123–140
43. Rex CS, Lin C, Krama EA et al (2007) Brain-derived neurotrophic factor promotes long-term potentiation-related cytoskeletal changes in adult hippocampus. *J Neurosci* 27:3017–3029. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4037-06.2007>
44. Baudry M, Zhu G, Liu Y, Wang Y, Briz V, Bi X (2015) Multiple cellular cascades participate in long-term potentiation and in hippocampus-dependent learning. *Brain Res* 1621:73–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2014.11.033>
45. Tashev R, Moura PJ, Venkitaramani DV, Prosperetti C, Centonze D, Paul S, Lombroso PJ (2009) A substrate trapping mutant form of striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase prevents amphetamine-induced stereotypies and long-term potentiation in the striatum. *Biol Psychiatry* 65:637–645. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.10.008>
46. Yang C-H, Huang C-C, Hsu K-S (2012) A critical role for protein tyrosine phosphatase nonreceptor type 5 in determining individual susceptibility to develop stress-related cognitive and morphological changes. *J Neurosci* 32:7550–7562. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5902-11.2012>
47. Zhang Y, Venkitaramani DV, Gladding CM, Zhang Y, Kurup P, Molnar E, Collingridge GL, Lombroso PJ (2008) The tyrosine phosphatase STEP mediates AMPA receptor endocytosis after metabotropic glutamate receptor stimulation. *J Neurosci* 28:10561–10566. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2666-08.2008>
48. Palmer MJ, Irving AJ, Seabrook GR, Jane DE, Collingridge GL (1997) The group I mGlu receptor agonist DHPG induces a novel

- form of LTD in the CA1 region of the hippocampus. *Neuropharmacology* 36:1517–1532
49. Huber KM, Roder JC, Bear MF (2001) Chemical induction of mGluR5- and protein synthesis-dependent long-term depression in hippocampal area CA1. *J Neurophysiol* 86:321–325
 50. Shimizu K, Phan T, Mansuy IM, Storm DR (2007) Proteolytic degradation of SCOP in the hippocampus contributes to activation of MAP kinase and memory. *Cell* 128:1219–1229
 51. Wang Y, Zhu G, Briz V, Hsu YT, Bi X, Baudry M (2014) A molecular brake controls the magnitude of long-term potentiation. *Nat Commun* 5:3051. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4051>
 52. Khoutorsky A, Yanagiya A, Gkogkas CG, Fabian MR, Prager-Khoutorsky M, Cao R, Gamache K, Bouthiette F et al (2013) Control of synaptic plasticity and memory via suppression of poly(A)-binding protein. *Neuron* 78:298–311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.02.025>
 53. Dong C, Upadhy SC, Ding L, Smith TK, Hegde AN (2008) Proteasome inhibition enhances the induction and impairs the maintenance of late-phase long-term potentiation. *Learn Mem* 15:335–347. <https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.984508>
 54. Banerjee S, Neveu P, Kosik KS (2009) A coordinated local translational control point at the synapse involving relief from silencing and MOV10 degradation. *Neuron* 64:871–884. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.11.023>
 55. Alonso M, Vianna MRM, Depino AM, Mello e Souza T, Pereira P, Szapiro G, Viola H, Pitossi F et al (2002) BDNF-triggered events in the rat hippocampus are required for both short- and long-term memory formation. *Hippocampus* 12:551–560. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.10035>
 56. Goulart BK, de Lima MNM, de Farias CB, Reolon GK, Almeida VR, Quevedo J, Kapczynski F, Schröder N et al (2010) Ketamine impairs recognition memory consolidation and prevents learning-induced increase in hippocampal brain-derived neurotrophic factor levels. *Neuroscience* 167:969–973. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2010.03.032>
 57. Kim DH, Kim JM, Park SJ, Cai M, Liu X, Lee S, Shin CY, Ryu JH (2012) GABA(A) receptor blockade enhances memory consolidation by increasing hippocampal BDNF levels. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 37:422–433. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2011.189>
 58. Mizuno M, Yamada K, Olariu A, Nawa H, Nabeshima T (2000) Involvement of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in spatial memory formation and maintenance in a radial arm maze test in rats. *J Neurosci* 20:7116–7121
 59. Chain DG, Schwartz JH, Hegde AN (1999) Ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis in learning and memory. *Mol Neurobiol* 20:125–142. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02742438>
 60. Hegde AN, Inokuchi K, Pei W, Casadio A, Ghirardi M, Chain DG, Martin KC, Kandel ER et al (1997) Ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase is an immediate-early gene essential for long-term facilitation in *Aplysia*. *Cell* 89:115–126. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674\(00\)80188-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80188-9)
 61. Lopez-Salon M, Alonso M, Vianna MRM, Viola H, E Souza TM, Izquierdo I, Pasquini JM, Medina JH (2001) The ubiquitin-proteasome cascade is required for mammalian long-term memory formation. *Eur J Neurosci* 14:1820–1826. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0953-816X.2001.01806.x>
 62. Cases S, Saavedra A, Tyejji S, Giralt A, Alberch J, Pérez-Navarro E (2018) Age-related changes in STrial-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase levels: regulation by BDNF. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 86:41–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2017.11.003>
 63. Chain DG, Casadio A, Schacher S, Hegde AN, Valbrun M, Yamamoto N, Goldberg AL, Bartsch D et al (1999) Mechanisms for generating the autonomous cAMP-dependent protein kinase required for long-term facilitation in *Aplysia*. *Neuron* 22:147–156. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)80686-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80686-8)
 64. Jiang YH, Armstrong D, Albrecht U, Atkins CM, Noebels JL, Eichele G, Sweatt JD, Beaudet AL (1998) Mutation of the Angelman ubiquitin ligase in mice causes increased cytoplasmic p53 and deficits of contextual learning and long-term potentiation. *Neuron* 21:799–811. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)80596-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80596-6)
 65. Foley AG, Hartz BP, Gallagher HC, Rønn LCB, Berezin V, Bock E, Regan CM (2000) A synthetic peptide ligand of neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM) IgI domain prevents NCAM internalization and disrupts passive avoidance learning. *J Neurochem* 74:2607–2613. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-4159.2000.0742607.x>
 66. Artinian J, McGauran AMT, De Jaeger X et al (2008) Protein degradation, as with protein synthesis, is required during not only long-term spatial memory consolidation but also reconsolidation. *Eur J Neurosci* 27:3009–3019. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2008.06262.x>
 67. Jeon SJ, Lee HJ, Lee HE, Park SJ, Gwon Y, Kim H, Zhang J, Shin CY et al (2017) Oleanolic acid ameliorates cognitive dysfunction caused by cholinergic blockade via TrkB-dependent BDNF signaling. *Neuropharmacology* 113:100–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2016.07.029>
 68. Blank M, Petry FS, Lichtenfels M, Valiati FE, Dornelles AS, Roesler R (2016) TrkB blockade in the hippocampus after training or retrieval impairs memory: protection from consolidation impairment by histone deacetylase inhibition. *J Neural Transm* 123:159–165. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-015-1464-7>
 69. Liu Y, Wang Y, Zhu G, Sun J, Bi X, Baudry M (2016) A calpain-2 selective inhibitor enhances learning & memory by prolonging ERK activation. *Neuropharmacology* 105:471–477. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2016.02.022>
 70. Upadhy SC, Smith TK, Hegde AN (2004) Ubiquitin-proteasome-mediated CREB repressor degradation during induction of long-term facilitation. *J Neurochem* 91:210–219. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2004.02707.x>
 71. Chagniel L, Bergeron Y, Bureau G, Massicotte G, Cyr M (2014) Regulation of tyrosine phosphatase STEP61 by protein kinase a during motor skill learning in mice. *PLoS One* 9:1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086988>
 72. Paul S, Nairn AC, Wang P, Lombroso PJ (2003) NMDA-mediated activation of the tyrosine phosphatase STEP regulates the duration of ERK signaling. *Nat Neurosci* 6:34–42
 73. Paul S, Connor JA (2010) NR2B-NMDA receptor-mediated increases in intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration regulate the tyrosine phosphatase, STEP, and ERK MAP kinase signaling. *J Neurochem* 114:1107–1118. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2010.06835.x>
 74. Castonguay D, Dufort-Gervais J, Ménard C, Chatterjee M, Quirion R, Bontempi B, Schneider JS, Arnsten AFT et al (2018) The tyrosine phosphatase STEP is involved in age-related memory decline. *Curr Biol* 28:1079–1089. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.02.047>