

INVITED EDITORIAL COMMENTARY

# CT Markers of Intracerebral Hemorrhage Expansion: Different Sides of the Same Coin?



Andrea Morotti\* 

© 2019 Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature and Neurocritical Care Society

Hematoma expansion (HE) is common in the natural history of acute intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), and its prevention appears as a valuable strategy to improve patients' outcome. Subjects at high risk of HE may be more likely to benefit from anti-expansion therapies, and therefore, accurate stratification of HE risk is a clinical research priority. The computed tomography angiography (CTA) spot sign is a robust and validated marker of HE, but CTA is not routinely performed in the workup of acute ICH [1]. Less than one in five patients underwent CTA in a large ICH randomized controlled trial [2]. Conversely, non-contrast CT (NCCT) is widely available and nearly all acute ICH cases are detected with this imaging technique. The first evidence of an association between NCCT features of ICH and hematoma growth came as early as 1994 [3]. Publications and research efforts on NCCT markers of HE have grown exponentially in the last 5 years.

In this regard, Dr. Chu and Colleagues reported a new NCCT marker of HE, namely minimal computed tomography attenuation value (MCTAV) [4]. This sign was described in a single center development cohort ( $n=148$ ) and validated in a multicenter population derived from three different institutions ( $n=311$ ). MCTAV detection requires manual drawing of a region of interest and CT attenuation measurement with an automated software. The presence of a MCTAV  $\leq 31$  Hounsfield Units was independently associated with HE and poor outcome after adjustment for potential confounders in multivariable logistic regression. MCTAV had excellent inter- and

intra-rater reliability (Cohen's  $k \geq 0.90$ ) and identified HE with 64% sensitivity and 92% specificity.

Although this study confirmed that NCCT features may be used to identify patients at high risk of HE and poor prognosis, some caveats should be kept in mind. It remains unclear whether this new marker provides additional yield in the stratification of HE risk. Good sensitivity and specificity for HE have been previously reported using markers that are easier to detect compared to MCTAV, requiring only a direct visual inspection of NCCT [5–7]. With the evidence available so far, a clear superiority of one NCCT sign over the others cannot be established. There is also significant overlap between different NCCT markers, with lack of consensus on the diagnostic criteria and optimal setting for detecting and reporting these signs.

From a clinical point of view, patients with NCCT markers do not derive clinical benefit from intensive blood pressure reduction [8]. The interaction between NCCT signs and clinical benefit from hemostatic treatments targeting HE remains unknown and deserves further investigations. Routine evaluation of NCCT markers in clinical practice may, however, identify subjects at high risk of neurological deterioration, requiring therefore a higher intensity of care and monitoring [9].

Several questions remain unanswered in the field of NCCT predictors of HE. First, a consensus on diagnostic criteria is needed. Second, future studies should investigate whether NCCT markers can improve the diagnostic performance of the currently available tools to predict HE [10]. Third, the relationship between NCCT features of ICH and the CTA spot sign remains unclear. Fourth, the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying NCCT signs are still unknown. Finally, several NCCT markers require prospective validation with assessment and comparison of their diagnostic accuracy and inter-rater reliability.

\*Correspondence: andrea.morotti85@gmail.com  
Department of Neurology and Neurorehabilitation, IRCCS Mondino Foundation, Via Mondino 2, 27100 Pavia, Italy

This comment refers to the article available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12028-019-00754-z>.

In conclusion, several NCCT signs are associated with HE in patients with acute ICH, but a consensus on the standards for detecting and reporting these markers is needed. Given the wide availability of NCCT, stratification of HE risk with NCCT markers appears as a promising strategy to expand the pool of patients eligible for clinical trials and accelerate the discovery of acute treatments limiting active bleeding.

#### Source of support

None.

#### Conflict of interest

The author declare that he has no conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Published online: 19 June 2019

#### References

1. Demchuk AM, Dowlatshahi D, Rodriguez-Luna D, et al. Prediction of haematoma growth and outcome in patients with intracerebral haemorrhage using the CT-angiography spot sign (PREDICT): a prospective observational study. *Lancet Neurol.* 2012;11(4):307–14.
2. Morotti A, Brouwers HB, Romero JM, et al. Intensive blood pressure reduction and spot sign in intracerebral hemorrhage. *JAMA Neurol.* 2017;74(8):950.
3. Fujii Y, Tanaka R, Takeuchi S, Koike T, Minakawa T, Sasaki O. Hematoma enlargement in spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. *J Neurosurg.* 1994;80(1):51–7.
4. Chu H, Huang C, Dong J et al. Minimal computed tomography attenuation value within the hematoma is associated with hematoma expansion and poor outcome in intracerebral hemorrhage patients. *Neurocrit Care.* 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12028-019-00754-z>.
5. Li Q, Zhang G, Huang YJ, et al. Blend sign on computed tomography: novel and reliable predictor for early hematoma growth in patients with intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke.* 2015;46(8):2119–23.
6. Boulouis G, Morotti A, Bart Brouwers H, et al. Association between hypodensities detected by computed tomography and hematoma expansion in patients with intracerebral hemorrhage. *JAMA Neurol.* 2016;73(8):961–8.
7. Li Q, Liu QJ, Yang WS, et al. Island sign: an imaging predictor for early hematoma expansion and poor outcome in patients with intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke.* 2017;48(11):3019–25.
8. Morotti A, Boulouis G, Romero JM, et al. Blood pressure reduction and noncontrast CT markers of intracerebral hemorrhage expansion. *Neurology.* 2017;89(6):548–54.
9. Sporns PB, Schwake M, Schmidt R, et al. Computed tomographic blend sign is associated with computed tomographic angiography spot sign and predicts secondary neurological deterioration after intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke.* 2017;48(1):131–5.
10. Al-Shahi Salman R, Frantziás J, Lee RJ, et al. Absolute risk and predictors of the growth of acute spontaneous intracerebral haemorrhage: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet Neurol.* 2018;17(10):885–94.