ORIGINAL ARTICLE

CrossMark

Appropriate use of elective coronary angiography in patients with suspected stable coronary artery disease

Michael T. Hanrahan[1] · Anne Marie O'Flynn[1,2] · Sheena M. McHugh[1] · Peter Kearney[2] · Patricia M. Kearney[1]

Abstract

Introduction The American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF) with other professional societies developed appropriate use criteria (AUC) for diagnostic coronary angiography. This study aims to examine the appropriate use of elective coronary angiography for patients with suspected stable coronary artery disease in an Irish tertiary referral center.

Methods A retrospective chart review of all elective outpatient diagnostic coronary angiograms performed in Cork University Hospital in 2014 was carried out. Data on demographics, risk factors, clinical presentation, and prior non-invasive investigations were extracted. Each patient was evaluated according to the 2012 ACCF appropriate use criteria and classified as appropriate, uncertain, or inappropriate. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to investigate the factors that predict patients undergoing appropriate diagnostic coronary angiography.

Results Of 417 elective outpatient diagnostic coronary angiograms, 259 (62%) were included in the analysis. Of these, 108 (42%) were classified as appropriate, 97 (37%) as uncertain, and 54 (21%) as inappropriate. In multivariable analysis, those with private health insurance were more likely to have an appropriate indication for coronary angiogram (OR 2.1, 95% CI 1.2–3.7) as were those with atrial fibrillation (OR 3.9, 95% CI 1.2–12.8). Ever smokers were less likely to have an appropriate indication for coronary angiogram than never smokers (OR 0.5, 95% CI 0.3–0.9).

Conclusion This is the first Irish study to evaluate the appropriate use of elective coronary angiography. Rates were similar to those of studies in other jurisdictions; however, there remains significant potential for quality improvement.

Keywords Appropriate use criteria · Cardiac catheterization · Coronary angiography · Quality of health care

Introduction

Coronary angiography plays a vital role in the diagnosis and management of coronary artery disease (CAD). It is clearly the appropriate investigation in those who present with acute coronary syndromes. It is also appropriate for certain elective indications such as evaluation prior to valve or transplant surgery and to further evaluate those who have undergone non-invasive investigation with high risk findings, potentially indicating disease of prognostic importance, where revascularisation may have a role.

However, the low diagnostic yield of elective coronary angiography has been highlighted with 39.2% of patients having no coronary artery disease in one USA registry–based study [1]. Further evidence from the USA suggests that up to one in four cardiac catheterizations in the non-acute setting may be inappropriate [2]. Appropriate use criteria (AUC) has been developed by the American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF) in conjunction with other relevant professional societies [3]. These criteria evaluate the appropriateness of coronary angiography for selected clinical indications in order to reduce unnecessary procedures and improve quality of care. The aim of AUC is to improve patient care and outcomes in a cost-effective manner.

The rational use of investigations in clinical practice has long been discussed [4]. It is more relevant now than ever given limited fiscal resources [5]. At present, there is no available evidence on the extent of inappropriate or appropriate elective coronary angiography or the profile of patients undergoing this procedure in Ireland.

✉ Anne Marie O'Flynn
  am.oflynn@ucc.ie

1 School of Public Health, University College Cork, 4th Floor, Western Gateway Building, Western Road, Cork, Ireland

2 Department of Cardiology, Cork University Hospital, Cork, Ireland

The aim of this study is to examine the appropriate use of elective coronary angiography for patients with suspected stable CAD in a large tertiary university hospital in the south of Ireland. We also examined the factors that predict patients undergoing appropriate coronary angiography.

Methods

Setting

Cork University Hospital (CUH) is a large university teaching hospital in Ireland serving a population of approximately 550,000. Yearly, approximately 2000 diagnostic coronary angiograms are performed in the cardiac catheterization laboratory.

Design

We carried out a retrospective chart review of elective diagnostic coronary angiograms performed in the cardiac catheterization laboratory of CUH between January 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Those considered for inclusion were CUH outpatients aged ≥ 18 years undergoing elective coronary angiogram for suspected stable coronary artery disease.

We excluded those with pre-existing coronary artery disease, those undergoing emergency or urgent coronary angiogram, those who were inpatients in CUH or another institution, and those undergoing coronary angiogram as workup for other procedures such as valve surgery.

Data collection

Data on demographics, risk factors, clinical presentation, and prior non-invasive investigations, i.e., exercise stress testing, echocardiogram, or CT coronary angiography, were extracted from electronic demographic and clinical databases and in some cases from paper records by a single researcher (MH).

Medical care was defined as private if patients were covered by private health insurance. Medical care was defined as public if patients had a medical card which is a mean-tested public health insurance scheme or had no health insurance.

Height and weight measurements were recorded by nursing staff and used to calculate body mass index (BMI). Obesity was defined as BMI ≥ 30.

Details of lipid profile results and smoking status were extracted from the clinical database.

Blood pressure was recorded and entered into the clinical database by nursing staff.

Symptoms were defined as chest pain/discomfort/tightness/dyspnea/reduced exercise tolerance/shoulder pain/left arm pain/jaw pain and other symptoms potentially related to coronary artery disease.

Cardiovascular risk

The 10 year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease was estimated by the low risk SCORE (Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation) risk chart as recommended for Ireland using the risk factors sex, age, blood pressure, total cholesterol and smoking status. If blood lipid values were missing, the study population median for males and females was substituted. Individuals with SCORE < 1% were defined as low risk. Those with SCORE ≥ 1% and < 5% were defined as moderate risk. Individuals with SCORE ≥ 5% and < 10% were defined as high risk, and those with SCORE ≥ 10% were defined as very high risk [6].

Appropriateness scoring

Each patient was evaluated according to the scoring system outlined in the 2012 ACCF appropriate use criteria [3]. Appropriateness was scored on a scale of 1–9 and categorized as inappropriate [1–3], uncertain [4–6], or appropriate [7–9].

For those with non-invasive investigations, scores were assigned based on investigation outcome and the presence or absence of symptoms as recommended by Patel et al. [3].

All exercise stress tests were performed according to the Bruce protocol [7]. Duke treadmill scores were calculated for all exercise stress tests [Duke treadmill score = duration of exercise in minutes − (5 × the maximal net ST segment deviation during or after exercise, in millimeters) − (4 × the treadmill angina index)] [8]. Exercise stress test results were categorized as low risk (Duke treadmill score > 5), intermediate (Duke treadmill score − 10 to 5), and high risk (Duke treadmill score < − 10 and/or other high-risk findings such as ST elevation, hypotension with exercise, ventricular tachycardia, or prolonged ST depression) [3].

If subjects underwent two or more non-invasive investigations, the higher AUC score was assigned.

For asymptomatic patients with no prior non-invasive investigations, the SCORE risk was used to assign an appropriateness score; in the case of symptomatic patients with no prior non-invasive investigations, pre-test probability was used to assign the score [3].

Coronary anatomy

Angiographic findings were extracted from the cardiologist's electronic report. Obstructive CAD was defined as ≥ 50% stenosis in any of the left main coronary artery (LMCA), the left anterior descending (LAD), the left circumflex artery (LCx), or the right coronary artery (RCA). Patients were categorized

as having one-vessel, two-vessel, or three-vessel disease depending on the number of vessels involved. Those with < 50% coronary stenosis in all vessels was defined as having non-obstructive coronary disease. Those with no luminal irregularities were defined as having angiographically normal coronary arteries. Diagnostic yield was the rate of obstructive coronary disease in the sample.

Statistical analysis

Analysis was performed using Stata version 13. Continuous variables were summarized as mean and standard deviation (mean ± SD). Categorical variables were summarized as counts and percentages.

Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to investigate the factors that predict whether patients undergo appropriate coronary angiography. Risk scores were not included in the multivariable regression model due to multicollinearity with other study variables.

Results

There were 417 elective outpatient coronary angiograms performed between January 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014. Of these, 259 fulfilled inclusion criteria.

The mean age of patients undergoing elective coronary angiography was 63 (± 10) years. The majority, 253 (98%), were of Caucasian ethnicity and 152 (59%) were male. Table 1 outlines the baseline characteristics of the study sample.

Most patients underwent non-invasive testing prior to coronary angiography: 221 (85%) underwent exercise stress testing and 98 (38%) had an echocardiogram. Just 1 (0.4%) patient underwent CT coronary angiogram prior to invasive coronary angiogram. These investigations were used to determine appropriateness score in 215 (83%) of the patients. In the remaining 44 (17%), patients' appropriateness score was calculated based on their symptom status and either their pre-test probability of CAD (38 (15%)) or their SCORE risk (6 (2%)) (Table 2).

Of the 259 patients included in this study, 108 (42%) were classified as appropriate, 97 (37%) as uncertain, and 54 (21%) as inappropriate.

Table 3 shows the results of the univariable and multivariable logistic regression models of the association between selected variables and appropriateness.

In univariable analysis, those more likely to have an appropriate indication for coronary angiography were over 65 years of age (OR 1.8, 95% CI 1.1–2.9), those with private health insurance (OR 2.5, 95% CI 1.5–4.2), those with atrial fibrillation (OR 5.9, 95% CI 1.9–18.4), and those with symptoms (OR 1.7, 95% CI 1.0–2.8). Subjects less likely to have an appropriate

Table 1 Baseline characteristics

Total N = 259	Category	Mean ± SD, N (%)
Age		63 ± 10
	< 65 years	136 (53%)
	≥ 65 years	123 (47%)
Gender	Male	152 (59%)
	Female	107 (41%)
Ethnicity	White	253 (98%)
	Black/other	6 (2%)
Marital status	Married	180 (70%)
	Widow(er)	20 (8%)
	Single	30 (12%)
	Divorced/separated	24 (9%)
	Unknown	5 (1%)
Medical care	Public	170 (66%)
	Private	89 (34%)
BMI		29 ± 6
BMI category	Not obese	164 (63%)
	Obese	95 (37%)
Smoking	Never	98 (38%)
	Ex/current	161 (62%)
Family history of CAD	Yes	170 (66%)
Hypertension	Yes	137 (53%)
Hyperlipidemia	Yes	162 (63%)
Diabetes mellitus	Yes	38 (15%)
Atrial fibrillation	Yes	19 (7%)
Total cholesterol		5.0 ± 1.2
Systolic blood pressure		133 ± 18
Diastolic blood pressure		79 ± 11
SCORE risk	Low	23 (9%)
	Moderate	200 (77%)
	High	25 (10%)
	Very high	11 (4%)
Symptoms	Yes	106 (41%)
Exercise stress test	Yes	221 (85%)
Duke treadmill score	Low risk	57 (26%)
	Equivocal risk	145 (66%)
	High risk	10 (4%)
	Missing	9 (4%)
Echocardiography	Yes	98 (38%)
CT coronary angiogram	Yes	1 (0.4%)

indication were those with obesity (OR 0.5, 95% CI 0.3–0.9) and current or ex-smokers (OR 0.5, 95% CI 0.3–0.8).

In adjusted models, the findings for health insurance, atrial fibrillation, and current or ex-smokers persisted. Those with private health insurance were more likely to have an appropriate indication for coronary angiogram (OR 2.1, 95% CI 1.2–3.7) as were those with atrial fibrillation (OR 3.9, 95% CI 1.2–12.8). Ever

Table 2 Appropriateness categories

Indication no.	Indication	Total N = 259	Inappropriate N (%) 54 (21%)	Uncertain N (%) 97 (37%)	Appropriate N (%) 108 (42%)
	No non-invasive testing: asymptomatic				
5	Asymptomatic: low SCORE risk	3	3	–	–
6	Asymptomatic: intermediate SCORE risk	2	2	–	–
7	Asymptomatic: high SCORE risk	1	–	1	–
	No non-invasive testing: symptomatic				
9	Symptomatic: intermediate pretest probability	9	–	9	–
10	Symptomatic: high pretest probability	29	–	–	29
	Non-invasive testing				
11	Low-risk findings (e.g., DTS ≥ 5)	54	49	5	–
12	Intermediate-risk findings (e.g., DTS 4 to − 10)	78	–	78	–
13	High-risk findings (e.g., DTS ≤ − 11)	10	–	–	10
14	Other high-risk findings (ST segment elevation, hypotension with exercise, ventricular tachycardia, prolonged ST segment depression)	67	–	–	67
24	Newly recognized LV systolic dysfunction (i.e., LVEF ≤ 40%) with an unknown etiology	2	–	1	1
25	Newly recognized LV systolic dysfunction (i.e., LVEF 41% to 49%) with an unknown etiology	2	–	2	0
26	New regional wall motion abnormality with an unknown etiology and normal LV systolic function	1	–	1	0
33	Coronary CTA: lesion ≥ 50% non-left main	1	–	0	1

smokers were less likely to have an appropriate indication for coronary angiogram than never smokers (OR 0.5, 95% CI 0.3–0.9). The final model was adjusted for age, gender, medical care, BMI, smoking status, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, atrial fibrillation, and symptoms.

Table 3 Univariable and multivariable logistic regression models appropriate vs. inappropriate/uncertain indications

Variable		Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	p value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	p value
Age	< 65 years	1.0		1.0	
	> 65 years	1.8 (1.1–2.9)	0.03	1.6 (0.9–2.7)	0.1
Gender	Female	1.0		1.0	
	Male	1.1 (0.7–1.8)	0.7	1.0 (0.6–1.8)	0.9
Medical care	Public	1.0		1.0	
	Private	2.5 (1.5–4.2)	0.001	2.1 (1.2–3.7)	0.01
BMI	< 30	1.0		1.0	
	> 30	0.5 (0.3–0.9)	0.01	0.6 (0.3–1.1)	0.1
Smoking	Never	1.0		1.0	
	Ex/current	0.5 (0.3–0.8)	0.009	0.5 (0.3–0.9)	0.02
Family history of CAD	Yes	0.9 (0.5–1.5)	0.6		
Hypertension	Yes	1.1 (0.6–1.7)	0.8	1.1 (0.6–2.0)	0.7
Hyperlipidemia	Yes	0.8 (0.5–1.3)	0.4	0.9 (0.5–1.5)	0.6
Diabetes mellitus	Yes	0.5 (0.3–1.1)	0.09	0.6 (0.3–1.4)	0.3
Atrial fibrillation	Yes	5.9 (1.9–18.4)	0.002	3.9 (1.2–12.8)	0.03
Total cholesterol	/mmol/l	1.2 (0.96–1.5)	0.1		
Systolic blood pressure	/mmol/l	1.0 (0.99–1.03)	0.1		
Diastolic blood pressure	/mmHg	1.0 (0.99–1.03)	0.5		
Symptoms	Yes	1.7 (1.0–2.8)	0.046	1.6 (0.9–2.9)	0.08

The overall diagnostic yield in this sample undergoing elective coronary angiography for suspected stable CAD was 26%. The diagnostic yield by appropriateness category is presented in Table 4. Those classified as appropriate indication for coronary angiogram had higher diagnostic yield at 34%, compared to 22% in those of uncertain indication and 19% of those classified as inappropriate.

Discussion

This study reports on the appropriate use of elective outpatient coronary angiography in patients who underwent the procedure for investigation of suspected stable CAD in a single large tertiary referral center between January 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014. This is the first detailed report on the appropriate use of invasive coronary angiography in non-urgent practice in the Irish state. In total, 42% of procedures were classified as appropriate, 37% were classified as uncertain, and 21% were classified as inappropriate. The diagnostic yield of coronary angiography in this sample was 26%. Coronary angiograms that were classified as appropriate had better diagnostic yield (34%).

The proportions of coronary angiograms classified as appropriate, uncertain, and inappropriate are similar to those of other studies. Hannan et al. looked at 8986 patients undergoing diagnostic catheterization for suspected CAD in New York State in the USA and found 35.3% were rated as appropriate, 39.8% as uncertain, and 24.9% as inappropriate [2]. Mohareb et al. examined 48,336 patients in a registry of patients having elective angiography in Ontario, Canada and classified 58.2% as appropriate, 31% as uncertain, and 10.8% as inappropriate [9]. They also reported that even in those with considered inappropriate indications, 30.9% had obstructive CAD and 18.9% underwent revascularization. Accepting that selection for coronary angiography should comply with agreed appropriateness criteria, there can be justifiable exceptions that such guidelines fail to characterise. This point was highlighted in the accompanying editorial by Doll and Patel who highlight that some variables such as extremes of age, comorbidities, and patient preference are not represented in appropriate use criteria [10]. Indeed the authors of the AUC also acknowledge

this point in their preface stating that AUC should not be substitutes for clinical judgement and experience [3]. In our study, smokers were less likely to undergo coronary angiogram deemed appropriate by AUC. This may reflect clinicians making decisions based on the excess risk that smoking confers irrespective of AUC.

Variation in the diagnostic yield of elective diagnostic coronary angiography in the CathPCI Registry in the USA has been reported with a range from 23 to 100% (median 45%) [11]. The Swedish Coronary Angiography and Angioplasty Register (SCAAR) found significant CAD in 56% of 12,200 undergoing diagnostic coronary angiography [12]. Both of these studies used a stenosis of $\geq 50\%$ to define obstructive CAD. The diagnostic yield of the present study is therefore low at 26%. In our study, just a single patient underwent a CT coronary angiogram while a large majority underwent exercise stress testing prior to invasive coronary angiography. This reflects the limited availability of non-invasive techniques to assess coronary anatomy and stress imaging in the Irish healthcare system. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence in the UK recommends invasive coronary angiography as a third-line investigation for patients with stable chest pain when the results of non-invasive functional imaging are inconclusive [13]. The European Society of Cardiology states that invasive coronary angiography is rarely needed in stable patients with suspected coronary artery disease to establish or exclude the diagnosis as non-invasive testing can do this [14]. Previous work by Jennings et al. has demonstrated a higher proportion of percutaneous interventions are carried out for stable coronary artery disease in Ireland relative to the USA and UK [15]. This may be a consequence of the limited access to non-invasive investigations. Policy makers and healthcare managers need to take action on the infrastructure and resources in the Irish healthcare system to bring it into line with international best practice.

The Irish healthcare system has a complex funding system with public and private sources. The OECD describes Ireland as a duplicate system, whereby private health insurance covers services already provided by government funding while also offering access to private providers [16]. Perhaps surprisingly, those with private health insurance were more likely to have an appropriate indication for coronary angiogram in this study. This may just be a chance finding. It may reflect earlier access to a senior decision maker as these patients are more likely to have seen a consultant in the outpatient setting. Another possibility is that those with a medical card and free access to healthcare may have increased interaction with the healthcare system which may result in more referrals and investigations. Indeed in 2004, Layte et al. looked at the utilization of healthcare in Ireland and found that those in the lowest quintile of disposable income had greater utilization of GP visits, hospital inpatient, and outpatient visits and filled more prescriptions than those in any of the other quintiles [17]. This

Table 4 Diagnostic yield by appropriateness category

	Total $N = 259$	Inappropriate $N = 54$	Uncertain $N = 97$	Appropriate $N = 108$
Diagnostic yield	68 (26%)	10 (19%)	21 (22%)	37 (34%)
Normal/mild	191 (74%)	44 (81%)	76 (78%)	71 (66%)
1-vessel disease	37 (14%)	6 (11%)	14 (14%)	17 (16%)
2-vessel disease	20 (8%)	3 (6%)	4 (4%)	13 (12%)
3-vessel disease	11 (4%)	1 (2%)	3 (3%)	7 (6%)

may of course be justified as these patients are likely those at highest risk of adverse health outcomes.

Limitations

This is a single-center retrospective observational study. Therefore, the limited generalisability of the study and indeed the potential for information bias must be considered when interpreting the results.

Much of the data was extracted from demographic and clinical databases. In addition, some of the clinical data was recorded by clinical staff at the time of coronary angiography. The accuracy and reliability of such data may be an issue. One study that looked at 607 randomly selected patients in 219 US hospitals found significant deficiencies in recording of clinical details in those presenting with acute coronary syndromes [18].

Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility of misclassification of AUC scores. Misclassification may have occurred due to inconsistent reporting of variables required to assign AUC scores. This is relevant regarding symptoms in particular. Symptoms were recorded for just 106 (41%) of patients. The primary source of chest pain data was exercise stress test reports. Medical records were also checked for descriptions of the patients' symptoms. Information bias is possible where chest pain was reported inconsistently or without using standardized definitions such as the Canadian Cardiovascular Society grading of angina pectoris.

It is possible that patients with private health insurance may have had non-invasive investigations with private providers which would not have been available for review for the study. Furthermore, it was not possible to differentiate between those who had a medical card and those who had no health insurance. These patients were both classified in the public medical care category. Those with a medical card are entitled to free access to primary care services while those without a medical card have to pay for GP and other primary care services; therefore, there is likely to be significant differences between these groups.

Total cholesterol levels were missing for 28 (11%) of patients. Again, this may reflect patients having had their blood sample analysed at another institution which would not be available for review and inclusion in the study. This may have affected the estimation of cardiac risk.

Those included in this study underwent elective coronary angiography for the investigation of suspected stable CAD with no previous angiographic findings of obstructive CAD, MI, PCI, CABG, or valve disease. Our final sample size was 259 patients. This relatively small sample size may have provided inadequate statistical power to detect associations in the statistical models.

Conclusion

This is the first study to evaluate the appropriate use of elective coronary angiography in patients with suspected stable CAD in Ireland using the 2012 ACCF criteria. Overall rates were similar to that of studies in other jurisdictions. However, the study highlights a number of issues within the Irish healthcare system. Firstly, it demonstrates how the duplicate funding system in place may contribute to differences in how patients are investigated. It highlights the limited availability and use of non-invasive cardiac imaging. Finally, it underlines the need for high-quality data in order to monitor and plan services. This in turn will improve quality of care and patient outcomes which should be the goal of every healthcare system.

Compliance with ethical standards

This study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Cork Teaching Hospitals (CREC) and by the Quality and Risk Management Department of CUH.

Conflicts of interest   Dr. O'Flynn reports conference attendance and subsistence support from Pfizer pharmaceutical company and speaking honoraria from Menarini and Daiichi Sankyo pharmaceutical companies.
    No other conflicts of interest are reported.

Research involving human participants   All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed consent   This was a retrospective audit and for this type of study formal consent is not required.

Publisher's Note   Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

1. Patel M, Peterson E, Dai D (2010) Low diagnostic yield of elective coronary angiography. N Engl J Med 362:886–895

2. Hannan EL, Samadashvili Z, Cozzens K, Walford G, Holmes DR, Jacobs AK et al (2014) Appropriateness of diagnostic catheterization for suspected coronary artery disease in new york state. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 7(1):19–27

3. Patel MR, Bailey SR, Bonow RO, Chambers CE, Chan PS, Dehmer GJ et al (2012) ACCF/SCAI/AATS/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCCM/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2012 appropriate use criteria for diagnostic catheterization: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American Heart

Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society of Critical Care Medicine, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 144(1):39–71

4. Winkens R, Dinant G-J (2002) Rational, cost effective use of investigations in clinical practice. BMJ (Clinical research ed) 324(7340):783–785

5. Thomson S, Jowett M, Mladovsky P (2014) The European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies. Health system responses to financial pressures in Ireland. Policy options in an international context. www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0006/260088/Health-system-responses-to-financial-pressures-in-Ireland.pdf. Accessed December 2018

6. Perk J, De Backer G, Gohlke H, Graham I, Reiner Z, Verschuren M et al (2012) European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (version 2012). The Fifth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of nine societies and by invited experts). Eur Heart J 33(13):1635–1701

7. Bruce RA, Kusumi F, Hosmer D (1973) Maximal oxygen intake and nomographic assessment of functional aerobic impairment in cardiovascular disease. Am Heart J 85(4):546–562

8. Mark DB, Hlatky MA, Harrell FE Jr, Lee KL, Califf RM, Pryor DB (1987) Exercise treadmill score for predicting prognosis in coronary artery disease. Ann Intern Med 106(6):793–800

9. Mohareb MM, Qiu F, Cantor WJ, Kingsbury KJ, Ko DT, Wijeysundera HC (2015) Validation of the appropriate use criteria for coronary angiography: a cohort study. Ann Intern Med 162(8):549–556

10. Doll JA, Patel MR (2015) Self-regulation in the era of big data: appropriate use of appropriate use criteria. Ann Intern Med 162(8):592–593

11. Douglas PS, Patel MR, Bailey SR, Dai D, Kaltenbach L, Brindis RG, Messenger J, Peterson ED (2011) Hospital variability in the rate of finding obstructive coronary artery disease at elective, diagnostic coronary angiography. J Am Coll Cardiol 58(8):801–809

12. Johnston N, Schenck-Gustafsson K, Lagerqvist B (2011) Are we using cardiovascular medications and coronary angiography appropriately in men and women with chest pain? Eur Heart J 32(11):1331–1336

13. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. nice.org.uk/guidance/cg95. Updated 2016. Accessed Decemeber 2018

14. Montalescot G, Sechtem U, Achenbach S, Andreotti F, Arden C, Budaj A et al (2013) 2013 ESC guidelines on the management of stable coronary artery disease: the Task Force on the management of stable coronary artery disease of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J 34(38):2949–3003

15. Jennings S, Bennett K, Shelly E, Kearney P, Daly K, Fennell W (2014) Trends in percutaneous coronary intervention and angiography in ireland, 2004–2011: implications for Ireland and Europe. Ir J Med Sci 183:Supplement 8:No 17

16. OECD (2017) stats.oecd.org/. Accessed December 2018

17. Layte R, Nolan B (2004) Equity in the utilisation of health care in Ireland. Econ Soc Rev 35(2):111–134

18. Dunlay SM, Alexander KP, Melloni C, Kraschnewski JL, Liang L, Gibler WB, Roe MT, Ohman EM, Peterson ED (2008) Medical records and quality of care in acute coronary syndromes: results from crusade. Arch Intern Med 168(15):1692–1698