



Fulminant diabetes induced by PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors: what about glucose variability?

Lucien Marchand¹ · Sophie Reffet¹ · Stéphane Dalle^{2,3} · Emmanuel Disse^{1,4} · Julien Vouillarmet¹ · Christine Cugnet-Anceau^{1,3} · Charles Thivolet^{1,4}

Received: 29 October 2018 / Accepted: 17 November 2018 / Published online: 1 December 2018
© Springer-Verlag Italia S.r.l., part of Springer Nature 2018

Keywords Diabetes mellitus · Fulminant diabetes · Glucose variability · Coefficient of variation for glucose · Labile diabetes · Flash glucose monitoring · FreeStyle Libre · Autoimmune diabetes · Immune checkpoint inhibitors side effects · Immunotherapy · Programmed cell death-1 · Anti-PD-1 · Programmed death ligand 1 · Anti-PD-L1 · Beta-cell pancreatic function · Alpha-cell pancreatic function · Exocrine pancreatic function

We read with interest the paper by Tassone et al., describing difficulties in achieving a good metabolic control and high glucose variability in a subject who presented nivolumab-induced fulminant diabetes (FD) [1]. These issues were not detailed in recent reviews and the large case series of diabetes induced by immune checkpoint inhibitors.

Short-term glucose variability increases the risk of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia, and can be assessed with coefficient of variation (CV) for glucose (the standard deviation divided by the mean). The value of 36% of CV has been found to be a useful cutoff to separate stable and labile diabetes [2, 3].

We previously reported four cases of anti-PD-1/PD-L1-induced FD diabetes (subjects #1, #3, #5 and #6 in a

recent study published in this journal [4]). At last follow-up (range 9–25 months), these subjects were treated with multiple daily injections of insulin and wore sensor-based flash glucose monitoring system (FreeStyle Libre). CV was obtained from sensor data (28 days analyzed): the first subject (#1) already experienced two severe hypoglycemic episodes (complicated with traumatic C1-2 dislocation and epileptic seizure) and had a CV of 57%, the second one (#3) a CV of 43%, the third one (#5) a CV of 41% and the last one (#6) a CV of 50%.

This high short-term glucose variability is unusual for diabetes with recent onset, and we speculate that this glucose variability could be related to physiopathological particularities of FD induced by checkpoint inhibitors: completely abolished C-peptide response 1 month after onset despite the use of an ultrasensitive assay; blunted glucagon response for subjects #1 and #6 [4]. It should also be noted that subject #1 presented with nivolumab-induced corticotroph deficiency [5], which could increase the risk of hypoglycemia.

Larger studies are required to confirm these data. But, oncologists should inform patients of the risk to develop FD with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors, given the fact that diabetes could cause severe keto-acidosis, and that high glucose variability could be a tough burden to undertake, in addition to the underlying oncological condition.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest associated with this manuscript.

Managed by Massimo Porta.

This comment refers to the article available at doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00592-018-1246-4>.

Reply to this comment is available at doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00592-018-1263-3>.

✉ Lucien Marchand
lucien.marchand@chu-lyon.fr

¹ Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon-Sud Hospital, 165 chemin du Grand Revoyet, 69310 Pierre-Bénite, France

² Department of Dermatology, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon-Sud Hospital, Pierre-Bénite, France

³ ImmuCare (Immunology Cancer Research), Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France

⁴ Lyon 1 University, CarMeN Laboratory (INSERM U1060, INRA U1235, Université Claude Bernard Lyon1, INSA-Lyon), Oullins, France

Human and animal rights All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study.

References

1. Tassone F, Colantonio I, Gamarra E et al (2018) Nivolumab-induced fulminant type 1 diabetes (T1D): the first Italian case report with long follow-up and flash glucose monitoring. *Acta diabetologica*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00592-018-1246-4> (**PubMed PMID: 30361845**)
2. Monnier L, Colette C, Wojtuszczyz A et al (2017) Toward defining the threshold between low and high glucose variability in diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 40(7):832–838 (**PubMed PMID: 28039172**)
3. Marchand L, Reffet S, Vouillarmet J, Cugnet-Anceau C, Disse E, Thivolet C (2018) The 36% coefficient of variation for glucose proposed for separating stable and labile diabetes is clinically relevant: a continuous glucose monitoring-based study in a large population of type 1 diabetes patients. *Diabetes Metab*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabet.2018.05.009> (**PubMed PMID: 29910090**)
4. Marchand L, Thivolet A, Dalle S et al (2018) Diabetes mellitus induced by PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors: description of pancreatic endocrine and exocrine phenotype. *Acta Diabetol*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00592-018-1234-8> (**PubMed PMID: 30284618**)
5. Marchand L, Paulus V, Fabien N et al (2017) Nivolumab-induced acute diabetes mellitus and hypophysitis in a patient with advanced pulmonary pleomorphic carcinoma with a prolonged tumor response. *J Thorac Oncol*. 12(11):e182–e184 (**PubMed PMID: 29074210**)