

to develop interventions that improve surveillance care for patients with low-risk NMIBC.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2019.04.036>.

References

1. Noone A, Howlander N, Krapcho M, et al. *SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2015*, National Cancer Institute. Bethesda, MD, https://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2015/, based on November 2017 SEER data submission, posted to the SEER web site, April 2018. Accessed May 3, 2018. In:2018.
2. Nielsen ME, Smith AB, Meyer AM, et al. Trends in stage-specific incidence rates for urothelial carcinoma of the bladder in the United States: 1988 to 2006. *Cancer*. 2014;120:86–95.
3. Lokeshwar VB, Merseburger AS, Hautmann SH. *Bladder Tumors: Molecular Aspects and Clinical Management*. Springer Science & Business Media; 2010.
4. Oosterlinck W, Solsona E, Akaza H, et al. Low-grade Ta (noninvasive) urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. *Urology*. 2005;66:75–89.
5. Oosterlinck W, van der Meijden A, Sylvester R, et al. Guidelines on TaT1 (non-muscle invasive) bladder cancer. *Eur Assoc Urol*. 2006. Available at: <http://uroweb.org/wp-content/uploads/EAU-Guidelines-TaT1-Bladder-Cancer-2006.pdf>. [Accessed December 14, 2018].
6. Brausi M, Witjes JA, Lamm D, et al. A review of current guidelines and best practice recommendations for the management of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer by the International Bladder Cancer Group. *J Urol*. 2011;186:2158–2167.
7. Chang SS, Boorjian SA, Chou R, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer: AUA/SUO guideline. *J Urol*. 2016;196:1021–1029.
8. Babjuk M, Böhle A, Burger M, et al. EAU guidelines on non-muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder: update 2016. *Eur Urol*. 2017;71:447–461.
9. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network: NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: bladder cancer Version 3.2018. 2018. Available at: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/bladder.pdf. Accessed May 3, 2018.
10. Olsen LH, Genster HG. Prolonging follow-up intervals for non-invasive bladder tumors: a randomized controlled trial. *Scand J Urol Nephrol Suppl*. 1995;172:33–36.
11. Pruthi RS, Baldwin N, Bhalani V, Wallen EM. Conservative management of low risk superficial bladder tumors. *J Urol*. 2008;179:87–90.
12. Koo K, Zubkoff L, Sirovich BE, et al. The burden of cystoscopic bladder cancer surveillance: anxiety, discomfort, and patient preferences for decision making. *Urology*. 2017;108:122–128.
13. Yeung C, Dinh T, Lee J. The health economics of bladder cancer: an updated review of the published literature. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2014;32:1093–1104.
14. Svatek RS, Hollenbeck BK, Holmäng S, et al. The economics of bladder cancer: costs and considerations of caring for this disease. *Eur Urol*. 2014;66:253–262.
15. Skolarus TA, Ye Z, Zhang S, Hollenbeck BK. Regional differences in early stage bladder cancer care and outcomes. *Urology*. 2010;76:391–396.
16. Baxi SS, Kale M, Keyhani S, et al. Overuse of health care services in the management of cancer. *Med Care*. 2017;55:723–733.
17. Schroeck FR, Lynch KE, won Chang J, et al. Extent of risk-aligned surveillance for cancer recurrence among patients with early-stage bladder cancer. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2018;1. e183442-e183442.
18. Schroeck FR, Sirovich B, Seigne JD, Robertson DJ, Goodney PP. Assembling and validating data from multiple sources to study care for Veterans with bladder cancer. *BMC Urol*. 2017;17:78.

19. Schroeck FR, Patterson OV, Alba PR, et al. Development of a natural language processing engine to generate bladder cancer pathology data for health services research. *Urology*. 2017;110:84–91.
20. Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data. *Med Care*. 2005;1130–1139.
21. Roth J. *ZIP Code Distance Database—ZIP Code Tabulation Area (ZCTA) Distance Database*. The National Bureau of Economic Research. <http://www.nber.org/data/zip-code-distance-database.html>. Published June 16, 2017. Accessed May 29, 2018.
22. Department of Veteran Affairs: VHA Support Service Center (VSSC). 2015. Available at: <https://vssc.med.va.gov>. Accessed April 29, 2015.
23. Austin PC, Merlo J. Intermediate and advanced topics in multilevel logistic regression analysis. *Stat Med*. 2017;36:3257–3277.
24. National Collaborating Centre for Cancer, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Bladder Cancer: Diagnosis and Management*. National Collaborating Centre for Cancer (UK); 2015.
25. Mariotto AB, Robin Yabroff K, Shao Y, Feuer EJ, Brown ML. Projections of the cost of cancer care in the United States: 2010–2020. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2011;103:117–128.
26. Morgan DJ, Brownlee S, Leppin AL, et al. Setting a research agenda for medical overuse. *BMJ*. 2015;351: BMJ2015;351:h4534.
27. Levinson W, Kallewaard M, Bhatia RS, Wolfson D, Shortt S, Kerr EA. ‘Choosing Wisely’: a growing international campaign. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2015;24:167–174.
28. Hillman AL, Pauly MV, Kerstein JJ. How do financial incentives affect physicians' clinical decisions and the financial performance of health maintenance organizations? *N Engl J Med*. 1989;321:86–92.
29. Reeve BB, Potosky AL, Smith AW, et al. Impact of cancer on health-related quality of life of older Americans. *JNCI J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2009;101:860–868.
30. Smith AB, Jaeger B, Pinheiro LC, et al. Impact of bladder cancer on health-related quality of life. *BJU Int*. 2018;121:549–557.

EDITORIAL COMMENT



Cystoscopic surveillance, as recommended by the American Urology Association and the European Association of Urology guidelines, is the current gold standard for monitoring non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC).^{1,2} For low-risk NMIBC, at low risk of recurrence or progression, these guidelines recommend surveillance cystoscopies at 3 and 12 months, and annually thereafter. Moreover, there is recommendation by some national expert bodies to curtail continual cystoscopic surveillance beyond 12 months in those at lowest risk for recurrence.³ The rationale for such recommendations is to promote a more risk-adapted approach to surveillance which attempts to counterbalance overuse in low-risk cases against underuse in high-risk cases. Variations in practice, which are not risk adapted and which are significantly different to those recommended by international guidelines, may adversely affect the outcomes of the individual patient.⁴ Moreover, from a healthcare systems perspective, such practices may add considerable costs to a disease which is already known to be one of the most expensive to manage.⁵

In the Department of Veterans Affairs Healthcare system, cystoscopy is the most frequently performed surgical procedure with approximately 80,000 procedures annually.⁶ In this context, the current study needs to be commended on highlighting the overuse of cystoscopic surveillance in low-risk NMIBC in the US VA system during the study period 2005 and 2011.⁶ Allowing

for the limitations of a retrospective design and the nature of data within the VA national database, the authors performed sensitivity analyses to estimate the spectrum of likely cystoscopic overuse and found this to be significant, ranging from 45% (assuming intermediate-risk) to 75% (assuming low-risk). Multi-level logistic regression demonstrated that those diagnosed at an earlier year were more likely to have experienced cystoscopic “overuse.” The authors explain that one of the factors for this improvement in recent years may be the increasingly better appreciation and adherence to updated guidelines. By highlighting this issue, it is anticipated that the practice of cystoscopic surveillance is increasingly better risk adapted and, in particular, better aligned to the recommendations of current guidelines, not only in the Veterans Affairs system but more widely across healthcare systems, moving forward.

Pratik M.S. Gurung, Edward E. Messing, Jean V. Joseph, Department of Urology, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY

References

1. Chang SS, Boorjian SA, Chou R, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer: AUA/SUO Guideline. *J Urol.* 2016;196:1021–1029.
2. Babjuk M, Bohle A, Burger M, et al. EAU guidelines on non-muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder: update 2016. *Eur Urol.* 2017;71:447–461.
3. Bagnall P, Catto J, Chandra S, et al. Bladder cancer: diagnosis and management. *Nat Inst Health Care Excl.* 2015;96:507–514.
4. Khare SR, Aprikian A, Black P, et al. Quality indicators in the management of bladder cancer: a modified Delphi study. *Urol Oncol.* 2017;35:328–334.
5. Gore JL, Gilbert SM. Improving bladder cancer patient care: a pharmacoeconomic perspective. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther.* 2013;13:661–668.
6. #URL-D-18-02443R1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2019.04.038>.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2019.04.038>
UROLOGY 131: 118–119, 2019. Published by Elsevier Inc.